

A-018

Balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -Foil Decomposition Algorithm of Complete Graphs

Kazuhiko Ushio
Department of Informatics
Faculty of Science and Technology
Kinki University
ushio@info.kindai.ac.jp

1. Introduction

Let K_n denote the complete graph of n vertices. Let C_4 and C_7 be the 4-cycle and the 7-cycle, respectively. The (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil is a graph of t edge-disjoint C_4 's and t edge-disjoint C_7 's with a common vertex and the common vertex is called the center of the (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil. In particular, the (C_4, C_7) -2-foil is called the (C_4, C_7) -bowtie. When K_n is decomposed into edge-disjoint sum of (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foils, we say that K_n has a (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition. Moreover, when every vertex of K_n appears in the same number of (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foils, we say that K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition and this number is called the replication number. Note that (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil has $9t + 1$ vertices and $11t$ edges.

It is a well-known result that K_n has a C_3 decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. This decomposition is known as a Steiner triple system. See Colbourn and Rosa[2] and Wallis[15]. Horák and Rosa[3] proved that K_n has a (C_3, C_3) -bowtie decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $9 \pmod{12}$. This decomposition is known as a bowtie system.

In this sense, our balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition of K_n is to be known as a balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil system.

2. Balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition of K_n

Theorem. K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{22t}$.

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose that K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition. Let b

be the number of (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foils and r be the replication number. Then $b = n(n-1)/22t$ and $r = (9t+1)(n-1)/22t$. Among r (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foils having a vertex v of K_n , let r_1 and r_2 be the numbers of (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foils in which v is the center and v is not the center, respectively. Then $r_1 + r_2 = r$. Counting the number of vertices adjacent to v , $4tr_1 + 2r_2 = n - 1$. From these relations, $r_1 = (n-1)/22t$ and $r_2 = 9(n-1)/22$. Therefore, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{22t}$ is necessary.

(Sufficiency) Put $n = 22st + 1$, $T = st$. Then $n = 22T + 1$.

When $T = 1$, construct a balanced (C_4, C_7) -2-foil decomposition of K_{23} as follows:

$$B_i = \{(i, i+5, i+13, i+6), (i, i+1, i+3, i+7, i+10, i+20, i+9)\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 23).$$

First, consider a sequence $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_T$.

When $T = 2$, put $S : g_1, g_2$ with $g_1 = 21, g_2 = 19$.

When $T = 3$, put $S : g_1, g_2, g_3$ with $g_1 = 28, g_2 = 30, g_3 = 29$.

When $T = 4$, put $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4$ with $g_1 = 39, g_2 = 41, g_3 = 38, g_4 = 37$.

When $T = 5$, put $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5$ with $g_1 = 51, g_2 = 47, g_3 = 49, g_4 = 48, g_5 = 46$.

When $T \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $T \geq 6$, put $T = 4p + 2$ and $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{4p+2}$ with $S_1 : g_1, g_3, g_5, \dots, g_{2p-1}$, $S_2 : g_2, g_4, g_6, \dots, g_{2p}$, $S_3 : g_{2p+1}$, $S_4 : g_{2p+2}, g_{2p+3}, g_{2p+4}, \dots, g_{4p+2}$ such as $S_1 : 10T - 2, 10T - 4, 10T - 6, \dots, 10T - 2p$
 $S_2 : 10T + 1, 10T - 1, 10T - 3, \dots, 10T - 2p + 3$
 $S_3 : 10T - 2p + 1$ $S_4 : 10T - 2p - 1, 10T - 2p - 2, 10T - 2p - 3, \dots, 9T + 1$.

When $T \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $T \geq 7$, put $T = 4p + 7$ and $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{4p+7}$ with $S_1 : g_1, g_{2p+3}, g_{4p+5}, g_{4p+6}, g_{4p+7}$, $S_2 : g_2, g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{2p+2}$,
 $S_3 : g_{2p+4}, g_{2p+6}, g_{2p+8}, \dots, g_{4p+4}$, $S_4 : g_{2p+5}, g_{2p+7}, g_{2p+9}, \dots, g_{4p+3}$ such as $S_1 : 10T +$

$1, 10T - 2p - 3, 9T + 5, 9T + 3, 9T + 1$ $S_2 :$
 $10T - 1, 10T - 2, 10T - 3, \dots, 10T - 2p - 1$ $S_3 :$
 $10T - 2p - 5, 10T - 2p - 7, 10T - 2p - 9, \dots, 9T + 2$
 $S_4 : 10T - 2p - 2, 10T - 2p - 4, 10T - 2p - 6, \dots, 9T + 7.$

When $T \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $T \geq 8$, put $T = 4p + 4$ and $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{4p+4}$ with $S_1 :$
 $g_1, g_3, g_5, \dots, g_{2p-1}$, $S_2 : g_2, g_4, g_6, \dots, g_{2p+2}$, $S_3 :$
 g_{2p+1} , $S_4 : g_{2p+3}, g_{2p+4}, g_{2p+5}, \dots, g_{4p+4}$ such as
 $S_1 : 10T - 2, 10T - 4, 10T - 6, \dots, 10T - 2p$
 $S_2 : 10T + 1, 10T - 1, 10T - 3, \dots, 10T - 2p + 1$
 $S_3 : 10T - 2p - 1$ $S_4 : 10T - 2p - 2, 10T - 2p - 3, 10T - 2p - 4, \dots, 9T + 1.$

When $T \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $T \geq 9$, put $T = 4p + 9$ and $S : g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_{4p+9}$ with $S_1 :$
 $g_1, g_{2p+5}, g_{4p+7}, g_{4p+8}, g_{4p+9}$, $S_2 :$
 $g_2, g_3, g_4, \dots, g_{2p+3}$
 $S_3 : g_{2p+4}, g_{2p+6}, g_{2p+8}, \dots, g_{4p+6}$, $S_4 :$
 $g_{2p+7}, g_{2p+9}, g_{2p+11}, \dots, g_{4p+5}$ such as $S_1 : 10T + 1, 10T - 2p - 3, 9T + 5, 9T + 3, 9T + 1$ $S_2 :$
 $10T - 1, 10T - 2, 10T - 3, \dots, 10T - 2p - 2$ $S_3 :$
 $10T - 2p - 5, 10T - 2p - 7, 10T - 2p - 9, \dots, 9T + 2$
 $S_4 : 10T - 2p - 4, 10T - 2p - 6, 10T - 2p - 8, \dots, 9T + 7.$

Next, construct n (C_4, C_7) - $2T$ -foils as follows:
 $B_i = \{(i, i + T + 1, i + 15T + 2, i + 2T + 1), (i, i + 1, i + 3T + 2, i + 10T + 2, i + 15T + 3, i + 20T + 3, i + g_1)\} \cup \{(i, i + T + 2, i + 15T + 4, i + 2T + 2), (i, i + 2, i + 3T + 4, i + 10T + 3, i + 15T + 5, i + 20T + 4, i + g_2)\} \cup \{(i, i + T + 3, i + 15T + 6, i + 2T + 3), (i, i + 3, i + 3T + 6, i + 10T + 4, i + 15T + 7, i + 20T + 5, i + g_3)\} \cup \dots \cup \{(i, i + 2T, i + 17T, i + 3T), (i, i + T, i + 5T, i + 11T + 1, i + 17T + 1, i + 21T + 2, i + g_T)\}$
 $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$

Last, decompose each (C_4, C_7) - $2T$ -foil into s (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foils. Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_7) - $2t$ -foil decomposition of K_n .

Corollary. K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_7) -bowtie decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{22}$.

References

[1] C. J. Colbourn, CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, 1996. [2] C. J. Colbourn and A. Rosa, Triple Systems, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1999. [3] P. Horák and A. Rosa, Decomposing Steiner triple systems into small configurations, *Ars Combinatoria*, Vol. 26, pp. 91–105, 1988. [4] C. C. Lindner, Design The-

ory, CRC Press, 1997. [5] K. Ushio, G-designs and related designs, *Discrete Math.*, Vol. 116, pp. 299–311, 1993. [6] K. Ushio, Bowtie-decomposition and trefoil-decomposition of the complete tripartite graph and the symmetric complete tripartite digraph, *J. School Sci. Eng. Kinki Univ.*, Vol. 36, pp. 161–164, 2000. [7] K. Ushio, Balanced bowtie and trefoil decomposition of symmetric complete tripartite digraphs, *Information and Communication Studies of The Faculty of Information and Communication Bunkyo University*, Vol. 25, pp. 19–24, 2000. [8] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie and trefoil decomposition of complete tripartite multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E84-A, No. 3, pp. 839–844, March 2001. [9] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced foil decomposition of complete graphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E84-A, No. 12, pp. 3132–3137, December 2001. [10] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E86-A, No. 9, pp. 2360–2365, September 2003. [11] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie decomposition of symmetric complete multi-digraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E87-A, No. 10, pp. 2769–2773, October 2004. [12] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced quatrefoil decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Information and Systems*, Vol. E88-D, No. 1, pp. 19–22, January 2005. [13] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced C_4 -bowtie decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E88-A, No. 5, pp. 1148–1154, May 2005. [14] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced C_4 -trefoil decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E89-A, No. 5, pp. 1173–1180, May 2006. [15] W. D. Wallis, Combinatorial Designs, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1988.