

NP $\cap$ coNP-集合の多項式時間到達可能性について

2L-1

山上 智幸

立教大学数学科

Let us recall that every set  $A$  in NP $\cap$ coNP has the structure in the form

$$A = \{x \mid (\exists y, |y|=p(|x|))Q(x,y)\} = \{x \mid (\forall y, |y|=p(|x|))R(x,y)\}$$

with two defining P-predicates  $Q, R$  and a defining polynomial  $p$ . We just call it a P-predicate structure of  $A$ . To investigate the complexity of  $A$ , we now turn our attention to its P-predicate structures. Let us say  $y$  a symmetric solution for  $A$  on an input  $x$  if  $|y|=p(|x|) \wedge (Q(x,y) \vee \neg R(x,y))$  is satisfied. The symmetric solutions for  $A$  directly represent the complexity of P-predicate structures of  $A$ . For example, if we can access such a solution easily then  $A$  is easily recognizable. Hence it is less complex. So we may observe how intricate we access symmetric solutions for  $A$ .

Let us call  $f$  an access function for a set  $A \in \text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$  if  $f$  computes a symmetric solution for  $A$  on each input. This paper aims at investigating the computational complexity of each access function for NP $\cap$ coNP-sets. To see the complexity of computing access functions, let us use the following classes of functions on  $\Sigma^*$  (say  $\{0,1\}^*$ ). For any oracle machine  $M$ ,  $\text{Query}(M, B, x)$  represents the set of all query words in the computation of  $M^B(x)$ . Write  $\#B$  to denote the cardinality of a set  $B$ .

(1)  $f \in \text{PF}_T(B) \iff$  some  $p$ -time deterministic oracle transducer  $M$  computes  $f$  with  $B$  as an oracle.

(2)  $f \in \text{PF}_{tt}(B) \iff f \in \text{PF}_T(B)$  via a transducer  $M$  which  $\text{Query}(M, B, x)$  is listable by some function  $g \in \text{PF}_T$ . Call  $g$  a query list.

(3)  $f \in \text{PF}_{T[O(\log n)]}(B) \iff f \in \text{PF}_T(B)$  via a transducer  $M$ , where  $\#\text{Query}(T, B, x) \leq c \log |x| + d$  holds for all  $x$  by some absolute constants  $c, d \geq 0$ .

(4)  $f \in \text{PF}_{btt}(B) \iff f \in \text{PF}_{tt}(B)$  via a transducer  $M$ , where for all  $x$   $\#\text{Query}(M, B, x)$  is bounded by some absolute constant.

We now introduce the concept of  $p$ -time accessibilities.

**DEFINITION 1.** Assume  $r \in \{T, tt, T[O(\log n)], btt\}$  and  $B \subseteq \Sigma^*$ .

(1) A language  $A$  belongs to the  $p$ -time  $r$ -accessible class with the oracle  $B$ ,  $PA_r(B)$ , if and only if there exist two defining P-predicates  $Q, R$ , a defining polynomial  $p$  and a function  $f \in \text{PF}_r(B)$  such that

(i)  $A = \{x \mid (\exists y, |y|=p(|x|))Q(x,y)\} = \{x \mid (\forall y, |y|=p(|x|))R(x,y)\}$ , and

(ii)  $\forall x [ |f(x)| = p(|x|) \wedge (Q(x, f(x)) \vee \neg R(x, f(x))) ]$ .

(2) For a complexity class  $C$ ,  $PA_r(C)$  is the union of  $PA_r(B)$  for every oracle set  $B \in C$ .

It should be noted that  $PA_r(\emptyset) = PA_r(P) = P$  holds for every  $r \in \{T, tt, T[O(\log n)], btt\}$ , and also  $PA_r(2^{\Sigma^*}) \subseteq \text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$  is shown, where  $2^{\Sigma^*}$  denotes the power set of  $\Sigma^*$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.**  $PA_T(\text{NP}) = PA_{tt}(\Delta_2^P) = \text{NP} \cap \text{coNP}$ .

Schöningh introduced the concept of polynomially helping the robust algorithms. We can see

---

A note on polynomial-time accessibility to symmetric solutions for NP $\cap$ coNP-sets

Tomoyuki Yamakami

Department of Mathematics, Rikkyo University

the relationship of two concepts, the p-time T-accessibility and the polynomially helping.  $P_{\text{help}}(B)$  denotes the class of languages helped by B.

**THEOREM 3.**  $PA_T(B) = P_{\text{help}}(B)$ .

Some observations show that  $PF_{T[O(\log n)]}(B)$ -functions are no more powerful than  $PF_{tt}(\text{REC-TALLY})$ -functions. Therefore we get:

**THEOREM 4.**  $PA_{T[O(\log n)]}(2^{2^*}) = PA_{btt}(2^{2^*}) = P$ .

Recently Ko showed Strong-P/poly is no-helper, in other words,  $PA_T(\text{Strong-P/poly}) = P$ . Assume C is either P,  $NP \cap coNP$  or NP. His argument can be generalized for Strong-C/poly.

**PROPOSITION 5.**  $PA_T(\text{Strong-C/poly}) = PA_T(C)$ , where C is either P,  $NP \cap coNP$  or NP.

Turn to the p-time tt-accessible classes which can collapse to P. We next see two collapsing results concerning the concepts of the polynomial terseness and the p-selectivity.

Let us begin with (f,g)-pterse sets, an extension of pterse sets. In the following theorem we use the counting argument directly.

**THEOREM 6.**  $PA_{tt}(B) = P$  unless B is (poly, logpoly)-pterse.

Selman showed  $P_T(\text{PSEL}) = P_T(\text{TALLY})$ , while  $P_{tt}(\text{PSEL}) \neq P_T(\text{PSEL})$  is recently proved by Watanabe. This difference is clear in the case  $PA_r(\text{PSEL})$ . From Selman's result,

$$PA_T(\text{PSEL}) = PA_T(\text{TALLY}) = PA_T(P/\text{poly})$$

holds, however,  $PA_{tt}(\text{PSEL})$  collapses to P.

$b\text{PSEL}$  denotes the family of all bounded-p-selective sets. We here notice that  $b\text{PSEL}$  is contained between PSEL and the class  $w\text{PSEL}$  of weakly p-selective sets. Hence it is inferred that, for  $r \in \{T, tt\}$ ,

$$PA_r(\text{PSEL}) \subseteq PA_r(b\text{PSEL}) \subseteq PA_r(w\text{PSEL}).$$

Let us claim the collapse of  $PA_{tt}(b\text{PSEL})$  to P.

**THEOREM 7.**  $PA_{tt}(b\text{PSEL}) = PA_{tt}(\text{PSEL}) = P$ .

We next devote our attention to the elucidation of structural complexities of the remaining accessible classes among well-known complexity subclasses of  $NP \cap coNP$ .

APT is the family of languages A so that some almost polynomial time machine recognizing A.

**PROPOSITION 8.**  $PA_T(\text{APT}) = PA_{tt}(\text{APT}) = PA_r(\text{REC-TALLY}) = PA_r(\text{REC-SPARSE})$ , where  $r \in \{T, tt\}$ .

It reminds us that ZPP is a natural probabilistic class contained in  $BPP \cap (NP \cap coNP)$ . We can show the following theorem.

**THEOREM 9.**  $PA_T(BPP) \subseteq ZPP \subseteq PA_T(\text{APT})$ .

Another well-known class belonging to  $NP \cap coNP$  is the class  $\text{FewP} \cap \text{coFewP}$ . For the case  $\text{FewP} \cap \text{coFewP}$ , we can use Hemachandra's technique of hiding informations to a NP-set. Then we get:

**THEOREM 10.**  $\text{FewP} \cap \text{coFewP} \subseteq PA_T(\text{FewP}) \cap PA_{tt}(\text{NP})$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] T.Yamakami, Computational complexity of languages counting random oracles, to appear in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*.
- [2] T.Yamakami, Polynomial-time accessibility to symmetric solutions for  $NP \cap coNP$ -sets, submitted to *Theoretical Computer Science*.