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#### Abstract

: Given a set of $n$ disjoint intervals on a line, and an integer $k$, we want to find $k$ points in the intervals so that the minimum pairwise distance of the $k$ points is maximized. Intuitively, given a set of $n$ disjoint time intervals on a timeline, each of which is a time span we are allowed to check something, and an integer $k$, which is the number of times we will check something, we plan the $k$ checking times so that the checks occur at equal time intervals as much as possible, that is, we want to maximize the minimum time interval between the $k$ checking times. The problem is called the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals. If we need to choose exactly one point in each interval, so $k=n$, and the disjoint intervals are given in the sorted order on the line, then two $O(n)$ time algorithms to solve the problem are known. In this paper we give the first $O(n)$ time algorithm to solve the problem for any constant $k$. Here one can check twice or more in one time interval. Our algorithm works even if the disjoint intervals are given in any (not sorted) order. If the disjoint intervals are given in the sorted order on the line, then, by slightly modifying the algorithm, one can solve the problem in $O(\log n)$ time. This is the first sublinear time algorithm to solve the problem. Also we show some results on the $k$-dispersion problem on disks, including a PTAS. keywords: dispersion problem, algorithm


## 1. Introduction

The facility location problem and many of its variants have been studied [11], [12]. Typically, given a set of locations on which facilities can be placed and an integer $k$, we want to place $k$ facilities on some locations so that a designated objective function is minimized. By contrast in the dispersion problem, we want to place facilities so that a designated objective function is maximized.
In this paper we consider the dispersion problem on intervals. Given a set of $n$ disjoint intervals on a line, and an integer $k$, we want to find $k$ points in the intervals so that the minimum pairwise distance of the $k$ points is maximized. See an example in Fig. 1.
Intuitively, given a set of $n$ disjoint (non-overlapping) time intervals on a timeline, each of which is a time span we are allowed to check something, and an integer $k$, which is the number of times we will check something, we plan the $k$

Fig. 1 An example of the dispersion problem on intervals with $k=6$.

[^0]checking times so that the checks occur at equal time intervals as much as possible, that is, we want to maximize the minimum time interval between the $k$ checking times. We call the problem the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals. Let $S$ be a set of optimal $k$ points on the line (corresponding to the $k$ checking times), and $\operatorname{cost}(S)=\min _{\{s, t\} \subset S}\{d(s, t)\}$ the minimum pairwise distance of the $k$ points in $S$.
If we need to choose exactly one point in each time interval, and so $k=n$, and the disjoint intervals are given in the sorted order on the line, two $O(n)$ time algorithms to solve the problem are known [5], [18].
Our result In this paper we give the first $O(n)$ time algorithm to solve the problem for any constant $k$. Here one can choose two or more points in one interval. Our algorithm works even if the disjoint intervals are given in any (unsorted) order. Our algorithms is based on the pigeonhole principle, and is a generalization of the algorithm in [3] to solve a similar dispersion problem.
If the disjoint intervals are given in the sorted order on the line, then, by slightly modifying the algorithm, one can solve the problem in $O(\log n)$ time. This is the first sublinear time algorithm to solve the problem.
Related result Given a set $P$ of $n$ possible locations, and a distance function $d$ for each pair of locations, and an integer $k$ with $k \ll n$, the max-min $k$-dispersion problem computes a subset $S \subset P$ with $|S|=k$ such that the $\operatorname{cost} \operatorname{cost}(S)=\min _{\{u, v\} \subset S}\{d(u, v)\}$ is maximized. Several results are known for this max-min $k$-dispersion problem [1], [2], [14], [19], [21], For the max-sum version several results are also known [4], [6], [8], [9], [10], [15], [17], [19]. For
a variety of related problems, see [4], [10]. See more applications, including result diversification, in [9], [19], [20].

Given a set of disks, we want to choose one point in each disk so that the minimum distance among the points is maximized. The problem is called the dispersion problem on disks, and some results are known [7], [13], [16]. The $k$ dispersion problem on intervals is the 1D version of the dispersion problem on disks.
The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we design an $O(n)$ time simple algorithm to solve the dispersion problem when intervals are given "unsorted" on a line. Section 3 gives an $O(\log n)$ time algorithm to solve the dispersion problem when intervals are given sorted on a line. In Section 4 we show some results on the $k$-dispersion problem on disks. Finally Section 5 is a conclusion.

## 2. $\boldsymbol{k}$-dispersion for unsorted intervals

In this section we design a simple $O(n)$ time algorithm to solve the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals when the disjoint $n$ intervals are given unsorted on a line. The idea of our algorithm is a simple divide and conquer algorithm using the pigeonhole principle, as follows. Similar idea is used to solve a similar max-min dispersion problem on a line [3].

Let $I$ be a set of disjoint intervals on a horizontal line and $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$ are the leftmost point and the rightmost point in $I$. One can find $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$ in $O(n)$ time.
If $k=1$ then a solution $S$ of the 1-dispersion problem is $\left\{p_{\ell}\right\}$.
If $k=2$ then the solution $S$ of the 2-dispersion problem is $\left\{p_{\ell}, p_{r}\right\}$.
If $k=3$ then let the solution $S$ be $\left\{p_{\ell}, p_{s}, p_{r}\right\}$. The solution $S$ consists of $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$ and exactly one more point $p_{s}$ in some interval in $I$. We can compute $p_{s}$ as follows.
Let $i_{0}=p_{\ell}, i_{2}=p_{r}$, and let $i_{1}$ be the midpoint between $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$. If some interval in $I$ contains $i_{1}$ then $p_{s}=i_{1}$. Otherwise, let $U_{1}$ be the interval $\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$, and $U_{2}$ be the interval $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right)$. Now $p_{s}$ appears in either $U_{1}$ or $U_{2}$. So, by pigeonhole principle, $p_{s}$ does not appear in $U_{1}$ or $U_{2}$. Thus we have two cases.
Case 1: $p_{s}$ does not appear in $U_{1}$.
In this case, $S$ consists of $p_{\ell}$ and the solution of the 2dispersion problem on intervals in $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right]$, say $R \subset I$, which consists of (1) the leftmost point in $R$ and (2) $p_{r}$.
Case 2: $p_{s}$ does not appear in $U_{2}$.
In this case, $S$ consists of $p_{r}$ and the solution of the 2dispersion problem on intervals in $\left[i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$, say $L \subset I$, which consists of (1) the rightmost point in $L$ and (2) $p_{\ell}$.

We can generalize this method for a constant $k>3$, as follows.


Fig. 2 Illustration of $U_{1}, U_{2}, \cdots$ for $k=8$.

```
Algorithm 1 Find-dispersion-on-Intervals( \(I, k\) )
    \(/^{*} p_{\ell}\) and \(p_{r}\) are the leftmost point and the rightmost point in
    \(I^{*} /\)
    if \(k=1\) then
        \(S=\left\{p_{\ell}\right\}\)
        return \(S\)
    end if
    if \(k=2\) then
        \(S=\left\{p_{\ell}, p_{r}\right\}\)
        return \(S\)
    end if
    \(/{ }^{*} i_{0}=p_{\ell}, i_{k-1}=p_{r}\) and let \(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k-2}\) be the points which
    evenly spaced on the line between \(p_{\ell}\) and \(p_{r} * /\)
    /* \(k \geq 3^{*} /\)
    if each of \(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k-2}\) is contained in some interval in \(I\) then
        \(S=\left\{i_{0}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k-1}\right\}\)
        return \(S\)
    end if
    /* Case: \(S\) has no point in \(\left.U_{1}=\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right]\right) * /\)
    Let \(R\) be the set of intervals in \(\left(i_{1}, i_{k-1}\right]\).
    (if there is an interval in \(I\) containing \(i_{1}\) then replace its left end
    to \(i_{1}\) )
    \(S_{L}=\left\{p_{\ell}\right\}\)
    \(S_{R}=\) Find-dispersion-on-a-line \((R, k-1)\)
    \(S=S_{L} \cup S_{R}\)
    /* Case: \(S\) has no point in \(U_{j}=\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right]\) for \(j=2,3, \cdots, k-2\)
    */
    for \(j=2\) to \(k-2\) do
        Let \(L\) be the intervals in \(\left[i_{0}, i_{j-1}\right]\).
        (If there is an interval in \(I\) containing \(i_{j-1}\) then replace its
        right end to \(i_{j-1}\) )
        Let \(R\) be the intervals in ( \(\left.i_{j}, i_{k-1}\right]\).
        (If there is an interval in \(I\) containing \(i_{j}\) then replace its left
        end to \(i_{j}\) )
        for \(s=1\) to \(k-1\) do
            \(S_{L}=\) Find-dispersion-on-a-line \((L, s)\)
            \(S_{R}=\) Find-dispersion-on-a-line \((R, k-s)\)
            if \(\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{L} \cup S_{R}\right)>\operatorname{cost}(S)\) then
                \(S=S_{L} \cup S_{R}\)
            end if
        end for
    end for
    /* Case: \(S\) has no point in \(U_{k-1}=\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)^{*} /\)
    Let \(L\) be the set of intervals in \(\left[i_{0}, i_{k-2}\right]\).
    (If there is an interval in \(I\) containing \(i_{k-2}\) then replace its right
    end to \(i_{k-2}\) )
    \(S_{L}=\) Find-dispersion-on-a-line \((L, k-1)\)
    \(S_{R}=\left\{p_{r}\right\}\)
    if \(\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{L} \cup S_{R}\right)>\operatorname{cost}(S)\) then
        \(S=S_{L} \cup S_{R}\)
    end if
    return \(S\)
```

Let $i_{0}=p_{\ell}, i_{k-1}=p_{r}$ and let $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k-2}$ be the points which evenly spaced on the line between $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$. Clearly the cost of the solution is at most $d\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$, where $d\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$ is the distance between $i_{0}$ and $i_{1}$, If each of $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k-2}$ is contained in some interval in $I$, then $\left\{i_{0}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k-1}\right\}$ is the solution, and the cost is $d\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)$. Assume otherwise. Let $U_{j}$ be the interval $\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right]$ for $j=1,2, \cdots, k-2$, and $U_{k-1}$ be the interval $\left(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\right)$. See an example in Fig. 2.

The solution for the $k$-dispersion problem consists of $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$ and exactly $k-2$ points in $\left(i_{0}, i_{k-1}\right)$. So, by pigeonhole principle, $S$ has no point in at least one of $U_{1}, U_{2}, \cdots$, $U_{k-1}$. Thus we have $k-1$ cases as follows.
Case 1: $S$ has no point in $U_{1}$.
If there is an interval in $I$ containing $i_{1}$, then replace its left end to $i_{1}$.

In this case, $S$ consists of (1) $p_{\ell}$ and (2) the solution of $(k-1)$-dispersion problem for the intervals in $\left[i_{1}, i_{k-1}\right]$.
Case 2: $S$ has no point in $U_{2}$.
In this case, for some $s$ with $1 \leq s \leq k-1, S$ consists of (1) the solution of $s$-dispersion problem for the intervals, say $L$, in $\left[i_{0}, i_{1}\right.$ ] (if there is an interval in $I$ containing $i_{1}$ then replace its right end to $\left.i_{1}\right)$ and (2) the solution of $(k-s)$ dispersion problem for the intervals, say $R$, in $\left[i_{2}, i_{k-1}\right]$ (if there is an interval in $I$ containing $i_{2}$ then replace its left end to $i_{2}$ ). Note that since $S$ has no point in $U_{2}$ the solution for $L$ does not affect the solution for $R$, so we can solve the two smaller subproblems independently. Also note that if there is an interval in $I$ containing both $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$, then two subintervals of the interval appear one in $L$ and the other in $R$.
Case 3: $S$ has no point in $U_{3}$.
Similar to Case 2.

Case $k-2: S$ has no point in $U_{k-2}$.
Similar to Case 2.
Case $k-1$ : $S$ has no point in $U_{k-1}$.
If there is an interval in $I$ containing $i_{k-2}$, then replace its right end to $i_{k-2}$.

In this case, $S$ consists of $(1)$ the solution of $(k-1)$ dispersion problem for the intervals in $\left[i_{0}, i_{k-2}\right]$ and (2) $p_{r}$.

We (recursively) check all possible cases and choose the best one. See algorithm Find-dispersion-on-intervals.

Thus if we have the solution of at most $2 k^{2}$ smaller child dispersion problems then we can solve the original $k$ dispersion problem.

We have the following theorem.
Theorem 1. One can solve the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals in $O(n)$ time even when the intervals are given unsorted on a line.

Proof. Consider the tree structure of the recursive calls. Each inner node has at most $2 k^{2}$ children and the height of the tree is at most $k$, so the number of inner node is at most $\left(2 k^{2}\right)^{k}$. Before calling the children one needs to compute
$p_{\ell}, p_{r}, L$ and $R$ by scanning the list of unsorted intervals with buckets $L$ and $R$. So it needs $O(n)$ time, where $n$ is the number of intervals. Thus each inner node needs $O(n)$ time except for the calls for its children. Therefore the total running time of the algorithm is $O\left(\left(2 k^{2}\right)^{k} n\right)$. Since $k$ is a constant it is $O(n)$.

By slightly modifying the algorithm we can solve the similar dispersion problem on intervals where we can choose at most one point in each interval, as follows. (We can not choose two or more point in one interval in $I$.) If there is an interval, say $I^{\prime} \in I$, containing both endpoints of an empty interval $\left(i_{j-1}, i_{j}\right)$ in Case $j$, then we need to consider the following two more subcases. Case (L): The subinterval of $I^{\prime}$ appears only in $L$, with its right endpoint $\left(i_{j-1}\right)$. Case (R): the subinterval of $I^{\prime}$ appears only in $R$, with its left endpoint $\left(i_{j}\right)$. Now the number of subproblems is at most $\left(4 k^{2}\right)^{k}$, and the total running time of the algorithm is $O\left(\left(4 k^{2}\right)^{k} n\right)$. Since $k$ is a constant it is $O(n)$.
Theorem 2. One can solve the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals with the constraint that we can choose at most one point in each interval in $O(n)$ time even when the intervals are given unsorted on a line.

## 3. $k$-dispersion for sorted intervals

If $I$ is a set of sorted intervals on a line, and the coordinates of the endpoints of intervals are given as an array in which the coordinates are stored in the sorted order, then by slightly modifying the algorithm we can solve the dispersion problem in $O(\log n)$ time.

We can compute $p_{\ell}$ and $p_{r}$ in $O(\log n)$ time using the array. We can also decide whether some point $i$ is contained in some interval or not in $O(\log n)$ time by binary search on the array. Also instead of computing $L$ explisitly, we can compute the leftmost interval in $L$ and the rightmost interval in $L$ in $O(\log n)$ time by binary search, and we can regard $L$ as the intervals in $I$ between those two intervals. Similar for $R$. Thus we can call each child with those information as arguments, instead of $L$ and $R$. Now the running time is $O\left(\left(2 k^{2}\right)^{k} \log n\right)$, which is $O(\log n)$ since $k$ is a constant.
Theorem 3. One can solve the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals in $O(\log n)$ time when the intervals are given sorted on a line.

## 4. Dispersion on Disks

Given a set $D$ of disjoint disks and an integer $k \leq|D|$, we wish to find $k$ points in those disks so that the minimum distance between them is maximized. We can choose at most one point in each disk. The problem is called the dispersion problem on disks. The 1 D version of the problem is the $k$ dispersion problem on intervals, which we have discussed in Section 2.

We need some notations. Let $D^{*}$ be an optimal set of $k$ points in $D$ such that $D^{*}$ contains at most one point in each disk, and $C\left(D^{*}\right)$ be the set of $k$ center points of disks containing $D^{*}$. For a set $S$ of points let $\operatorname{cost}(S)$ be the min-
imum distance between two points in $S$. Let $C$ be the set of center points of the disks in $D$, and $S^{*}$ the set $S$ of $k$ points in $C$ maximizing $\operatorname{cost}(S)$. Let $d^{*}=\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right)$.

For $k=n$ the problem is NP-hard, APX-hard, and a polynomial-time 0.707 -approximation algorithm is known [13].

For $k \leq n$ no results are known for the problem. We have the following lemma and two theorems.
Lemma 1. Given a set $C$ of $n$ points and an integer $k \leq n$ one can choose a set $S_{A} \subset C$ of $k$ points in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time so that $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2$.

Proof. First we choose two points having the maximum distance in $C$. Let $S_{A}$ be the set of the two points. Then repeatedly we append to $S_{A}$ a point in $C-S_{A}$ having the maximum distance to $S_{A}$ so that $S_{A}$ has $k$ points. We call this algorithm the greedy algorithm.

Consider the set $S_{D}$ of $k$ disks with radii $d^{*} / 2$ having the centers $C\left(D^{*}\right)$. When we append a point to $S_{A}$ there is a disk in $S_{D}$ not containing a point in $S_{A}$. Now the disk has no point in $S_{A}$. Thus we can always find a point having no point in $S_{A}$ within distance $d^{*} / 2$. Therefore $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) \geq d^{*} / 2=\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2$ holds.

Theorem 4. When $D$ is a set of $n$ disjoint disks with arbitrary radii, given an integer $k \leq n$ one can choose a set $S$ of $k$ points in $D$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time so that (1) no two point is contained in a disk in $D$ and (2) $\operatorname{cost}(S) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) / 4$ holds.

Proof. Now since $D$ is disjoint $\operatorname{cost}\left(C\left(D^{*}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) / 2$ holds. Also $\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(C\left(D^{*}\right)\right)$ holds. If we find a set $S_{A}$ by Lemma 1 we have $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2 \geq$ $\operatorname{cost}\left(C\left(D^{*}\right)\right) / 2 \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) / 4$.

Theorem 5. When $D$ is a set of disjoint disks with uniform radii, say $r$, given an integer $k \leq n$ one can find a set $S$ of $k$ points in $D$ in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time so that $\operatorname{cost}(S) \geq$ $\operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) / 3$ holds.

Proof. Now $\operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right)$. Since $D$ is disjoint, $\operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right)-2 r \leq \operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right)$ holds. Thus $\operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) \leq$ $\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right)+2 r$ holds. If we find a set $S_{A}$ by Lemma 1 we have $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2$ and so $\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right)$. Now $\operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) \leq 2 \operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right)+2 r$. Therefore, since $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) \geq 2 r$, $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) / \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) /\left(2 \operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right)+2 r\right)=1 /(2+$ $\left.2 r / \operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right)\right) \geq 1 / 3$ holds.

See Fig. 3. The cost of optimal $k$ points is 2 (See Fig. $3(\mathrm{a}))$, however the cost of $k$ points computed by the greedy algorithm in the proof of Lemma 1 is 1 . (See Fig. 3(b)). Thus there is an example for which the greedy algorithm computes a set $S_{A}$ with $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right)=\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2$.
If we can choose any number of points in each disk, we have the following theorem for this version of the dispersion problem on disks. Let $D^{*}$ be an optimal set of $k$ points of the problem.
Theorem 6. When $D$ is a set of $n$ disjoint disks with arbitrary radii, given an integer $k \leq n$ and a real number


Fig. 3 An example with $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right)=\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2$. The radii of disks are 0.5 .
$\epsilon \leq 1$ one can choose a set $S$ of $k$ points in $D$ in $O\left(n^{2} / \epsilon^{4}\right)$ time so that $\operatorname{cost}(S) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) /(2(1+\epsilon))$ holds.

Also one can choose a set $S$ of $k$ points in $D$ in $O\left(\left(n / \epsilon^{2}\right)^{k}\right)$ time so that $\operatorname{cost}(S) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) /(1+\epsilon)$ holds.

Proof. Let $r$ be the radius of the largest disk in $D$, and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ the coordinate of the center of the largest disk. Since we can locate $k$ points in the largest disk so that they evenly spaced on a line segment corresponding to the diameter, $\operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) \geq 2 r /(k-1)>2 r / k$ holds.

A point $p$ located at $(x, y)$ is a grid point iff $x=x^{\prime}+$ $(r \epsilon / c k) i$ and $y=y^{\prime}+(r \epsilon / c k) j$ with some integers $i$ and $j$. We will explain later the constant $c$ which define the size of the cell of the grid.

Let $S$ be the set of points that consists of (1) the centers of disks in $D$, and (2) the grid points contained in disks in $D$. Now $|S| \leq n+(2 r /(r \epsilon / c k))^{2} n=n+4(c k / \epsilon)^{2} n$ holds, so $|S|$ is $O\left(n / \epsilon^{2}\right)$.

Let $S\left(D^{*}\right)$ be the set of points derived from $D^{*}$ by choosing a nearest point in $S$ for each point in $D^{*}$. We choose $c$ large enough so that $(1) \operatorname{cost}\left(S\left(D^{*}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) /(1+\epsilon)$ holds and (2) no two points in $D^{*}$ have the common nearest point in $S$.

If we find a set $S_{A}$ from $S$ in $O\left(|S|^{2}\right)$ time by the greedy algorithm in the proof of Lemma 1, we have $\operatorname{cost}\left(S_{A}\right) \geq$ $\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) / 2 \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S\left(D^{*}\right)\right) / 2 \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) /(2(1+\epsilon))$.

If we find a set $S^{*}$ in $O\left(|S|^{k}\right)$ time by a brute force algorithm we have $\operatorname{cost}\left(S^{*}\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(S\left(D^{*}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{cost}\left(D^{*}\right) /(1+$ $\epsilon)$.

Thus this version of the dispersion problem on disks has a PTAS.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper we have designed a simple algorithm to solve the $k$-dispersion problem on intervals. This is the first $O(n)$ time algorithm to solve the problem for any constant $k$.
Then we have shown, if intervals are given sorted on a line, by slightly modifying the algorithm, one can solve the problem in $O(\log n)$ time. This is the first sublinear time algorithm to solve the problem.
If disjoint intervals on a circle are given sorted an $O(n)$ time algorithm to solve the $n$-dispersion problem is known [5], [18]. Can we apply the method in this paper for the $k$-dispersion problem on disjoint intervals on a circle for any constant $k$ ?

We also have given some results for the $k$-dispersion prob-
lem on disjoint disks.
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