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Information systems are composed of various types of computers interconnected in networks. In addition, information systems are being shifted from the traditional client-server model to the peer-to-peer (P2P) model. The P2P systems are scalable and fully distributed without any centralized coordination. It is getting more significant to discuss how to reduce the total electric power consumption of computers in information systems in addition to developing distributed algorithms to minimize the computation time. In this paper, we do not discuss the micro level like the hardware specification of each computer. We discuss a model to show the relation of the computation and the total power consumption of multiple peer computers to perform types of processes at macro level. We also discuss algorithms for allocating a process to a computer so that the deadline constraint is satisfied and the total power consumption is reduced.

1. Introduction

Information systems are getting scalable so that various types of computational devices like server computers and sensor nodes1) are interconnected in types of networks like wireless and wired networks. Various types of distributed algorithms6) are so far developed, e.g. for allocating computation resources to processes and synchronizing multiple conflicting processes are discussed to minimize the computation time and response time, maximize the throughput, and minimize the memory space. On the other hand, the green IT technologies9) have to be realized in order to reduce the consumptions of natural resources like oil and resolve air pollution on the Earth. In information systems, total electric power consumption has to be reduced. Various hardware technologies like low-power consumption CPUs2(3) are now being developed. Biancini et al.8) discuss how to reduce the power consumption of a data center with a cluster of homogeneous server computers by turning off servers which are not required for executing a collection of web requests. Various types of algorithms to find required number of servers in homogeneous and heterogeneous servers are discussed3,9). In wireless sensor networks3), routing algorithms to reduce the power consumption of the battery in a sensor node are discussed.

In this paper, we consider peer-to-peer (P2P) overlay networks7) where computers are in nature heterogeneous and cannot be turned off by other persons different from the owners. In addition, the P2P overlay networks are scalable and fully distributed with no centralized coordination. Each peer has to find peers which not only satisfy QoS requirement but also spend less electric power. First, we discuss a model for performing processes on a computer. Then, we measure how much electric power a type of computers spends to perform a Web application process. Next, we discuss simple and multi-level power consumption models for performing a process in a computer based on the experiments with servers and personal computers. In the simple model, each computer consumes maximally the electric power if at least one process is performed. Otherwise, the computer consumes minimum electric power. The simple model shows a personal computer with one CPU independently of the number of cores. In the multi-level model, the energy consumption of a computer depends on how many processes are concurrently performed. A server computer with multiple CPUs follows the multi-level model. A request to perform a process like a Web page request is allocated to one of the computers. We discuss allocation algorithms to reduce not only execution time but also power consumption in a collection of computers. In the algorithms, processes are allocated to computers so that the deadline constraints are satisfied based on the laxity concept.

In section 2, we present a systems model for performing a process on a computer. In section 3, we discuss a power consumption model obtained from the experiment. In section 4, we discuss how to allocate each process with a computer to reduce the power consumption. In section 5, we evaluate the algorithms.

2. Computation Model

2.1 Normalized computation rate

A system S is includes a set C of computers c1, ..., cn (n ≥ 1) interconnected in reliable networks. A user issues a request to perform a process like a Web page request.
The process is performed on one computer. There are a set of application processes \( p_1, \ldots, p_m \) \((m \geq 1)\) which can be performed on any computer in \( C \). A term process means an application process in this paper.

First, a user issues a request to perform a process \( p_s \) to a load balancer \( K \). For example, a user issues a request to read a web page on a remote computer. The load balancer \( K \) selects one computer \( c_i \) in the set \( C \) for a process \( p_s \) and sends a request to the computer \( c_i \). On receipt of the request, the process \( p_s \) is performed on the computer \( c_i \) and a reply, e.g. Web page is sent back to the requesting user.

Requests from multiple users are performed on a computer \( c_i \). A process being performed at time \( t \) is current. A process which already terminates before time \( t \) is referred to as previous. Let \( P_i(t) \subseteq P \) be a set of current processes on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \). \( N_i(t) \) shows the number of the current processes in the set \( P_i(t) \), \( N_i(t) = |P_i(t)| \). Let \( P(t) \) be \( \cup_{i=1, \ldots, n} P_i(t) \).

Suppose a process \( p_s \) is performed on a computer \( c_i \). Here, \( T_{is} \) is the total computation time of \( p_s \) on \( c_i \) and \( \min T_{is} \) shows the computation time \( T_{is} \) where a process \( p_s \) is exclusively performed on \( c_i \), i.e. without any other process. Hence, \( \min T_{is} \leq T_{is} \) for every process \( p_s \). Let \( \max T_{is} \) and \( \min T_{is} \) be \( \min(\min T_{is}, \ldots, \min T_{ns}) \) and \( \min(\min T_{is}, \ldots, \min T_{ns}) \), respectively. If a process \( p_s \) is exclusively performed on the fastest computer \( c_i \) and the slowest computer \( c_j \), \( \min T_{is} = \min T_{is} \) and \( \max T_{is} = \min T_{js} \), respectively.

A time unit \((tu)\) shows the minimum time to perform a smallest process. We assume \( 1 \leq \min T_{is} \leq \max T_{is} \).

The average computation rate \((ACR)\) \( F_{is} \) of a process \( p_s \) on a computer \( c_i \) is defined as \( F_{is} = 1 / T_{is} \) \([1/tu]\). Here, \( 0 < F_{is} \leq 1 / \min T_{is} \leq 1 \). The maximum ACR \( \max F_{is} \) is \( 1 / \min T_{is} \). \( F_{is} \) shows how many percentages of the total amount of computation of a process \( p_s \) are performed for one time unit. Let \( \max F_{is} \) and \( \min F_{is} \) be \( \max(\max F_{is}, \ldots, \max F_{ns}) \) and \( \max(\max F_{is}, \ldots, \max F_{ns}) \), respectively. \( \max F_{is} \) and \( \min F_{is} \) show the maximum ACRs \( \max F_{is} \) and \( \max F_{js} \) for the fastest computer \( c_i \) and the slowest computer \( c_j \), respectively.

The more number of processes are performed on a computer \( c_i \), the longer it takes to perform each of the processes on \( c_i \). Let \( \alpha(t) \) indicate the degradation rate of a computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \) \( (0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq 1) \). \( \alpha_i(t_2) \leq \alpha_i(t_1) \leq 1 \) if \( N_i(t_1) \leq N_i(t_2) \) for every pair of different times \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \). We assume \( \alpha_i(t) = 1 \) if \( N_i(t) = 1 \) and \( \alpha_i(t) < 1 \) if \( N_i(t) > 1 \). For example, suppose it takes 50 [msec] to exclusively perform a process \( p_s \) on a computer \( c_i \). Here, \( \min T_{is} = 50 \). Here, \( F_{is} = \max F_{is} = 1/50 \) [1/msec]. Suppose it takes 75 [msec] to concurrently perform the process \( p_s \) with other processes. Here, \( F_{is} = 1/75 \) [1/msec]. Hence, \( \alpha_i(t) = 50/75 = 0.67 \) [1/msec].

We define the normalized computation rate \((NCR)\) \( f_{is}(t) \) of a process \( p_s \) on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \) as follows:

\[
f_{is}(t) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_i(t) \cdot \max F_{is} / \max F_s & [1/tu] \\
\alpha_i(t) \cdot \min T_{is} / \min T_{is} & [1/tu]
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

For the fastest computer \( c_i \), \( f_{is}(t) = 1 \) if \( \alpha_i(t) = 1 \), i.e. \( N_i(t) = 1 \). If a computer \( c_i \) is faster than \( c_j \) and the process \( p_s \) is exclusively performed on \( c_i \) at time \( t_i \) and \( t_j \), respectively, \( f_{is}(t_i) > f_{js}(t_j) \). If a process \( p_s \) is exclusively performed on \( c_i \), \( \alpha_i(t) = 1 \) and \( f_{is}(t) = \max F_{is} / \max F_s \). The maximum NRC \( \max f_{is} \) shows \( \max F_{is} / \max F_s \). \( 0 \leq f_{is}(t) \leq \max f_{is} \leq 1 \). \( f_{is}(t) \) shows how many steps of a process \( p_s \) are performed on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \). The average computation rate \((ACR)\) \( F_{is} \) depends on the size of the process \( p_s \) while \( f_{is}(t) \) depends on the speed of the computer \( c_i \).

Next, suppose that a process \( p_s \) is started and terminated on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( st_{is} \) and \( et_{is} \), respectively. Here, the total computation time \( T_{is} \) is \( et_{is} - st_{is} \).

\[
\int_{st_{is}}^{et_{is}} f_{is}(t) \, dt = \int_{st_{is}}^{et_{is}} \frac{\alpha_i(t)}{\min T_{is}} \, dt = \min T_{is}
\]  

(2)

If there is no other process, i.e. \( \alpha_i(t) = 1 \) on the computer \( c_i \), \( f_{is}(t) = \max F_{is} / \max F_s \). \( T_{is} = et_{is} - st_{is} = \min T_{is} \). Hence, \( T_{is} = et_{is} - st_{is} = \min T_{is} \). If other processes are performed, \( T_{is} = et_{is} - st_{is} > \min T_{is} \). Here, \( T_{is} \) shows the total amount of computation to be performed by the process \( p_s \).

Figure 1 shows the NCRs \( f_{is}(t) \) and \( f_{js}(t) \) of a process \( p_s \) which are exclusively performed on a pair of computers \( c_i \) and \( c_j \), respectively. Here, the computer \( c_i \) is the fastest in the computer set \( C \). The NCR \( f_{is}(t) = \max f_{is} = 1 \) for \( st_{is} \leq t \leq et_{is} \) and \( T_{is} = et_{is} - st_{is} = \min T_{is} \). On the slower computer \( c_j \), \( f_{js}(t) = \max f_{js} \), \( f_{js}(t) < 1 \) and \( T_{js} = et_{js} - st_{js} > \min T_{js} \). Here, \( \max f_{is} \cdot \min T_{is} = \min T_{is} = \max f_{js} \cdot \min T_{js} \) from the equation (2). The areas shown by \( f_{is}(t) \) and \( f_{js}(t) \) have the same size \( \min T_{is} \).
Next, we define the computation laxity $L_{is}(t)$ [tu] as follows:

$$L_{is}(t) = \min T_s - \int_{st_is}^{t} (f_{is}(x)) \, dx.$$  

(3)

The laxity $L_{is}(t)$ shows how much computation the computer $c_i$ has to spend to perform up a process $p_s$ at time $t$. $L_{is}(st_is) = \min T_s$ and $L_{is}(et_is) = 0$. If the process $p_s$ would be exclusively performed on the computer $c_i$, the process $p_s$ is expected to terminate at time $et_is = t + L_{is}(t)$.

### 2.2 Simple computation model

There are types of computers with respect to the performance. First, we consider a simple computation model. In the simple computation model, a computer $c_i$ satisfies the following properties:

**[Simple computation model]**

1. $\max f_{is} = \max f_{iu}$ for every pair of different processes $p_s$ and $p_u$ performed on a computer $c_i$.

2. $\sum_{p_r \in P_i(t)} f_{is}(t) = \max f_i$.  

(4)

The maximum NCR $\max f_i$ of a computer $c_i$ is $\max f_{is}$ for any process $p_s$. This means, the computer $c_i$ is working to perform any process with the maximum clock frequency. $P_i(t)$ shows a set of processes being performed on a computer $c_i$ at time $t$. In the simple computation model, we assume the degradation factor $\alpha \cdot (t) = 1$.

On a computer $c_i$, each process $p_s$ starts at time $st_is$ and terminates at time $et_is$. We would like to discuss how $f_{is}(t)$ of each process $p_s$ changes in presence of multiple processes on a computer $c_i$. A process $p_s$ is referred to as $\textit{precedes}$ another process $p_u$ on a computer $c_i$ if $et_is < st_iu$. A process $p_s$ is $\textit{interleaved}$ with another process $p_u$ on a computer $c_i$ if $et_is \geq et_iu \geq st_iu$. The interleaving relation is symmetric but not transitive. A process $p_s$ is referred to as $\textit{connected}$ with another process $p_u$ iff (1) $p_s$ is interleaved with $p_u$ or (2) $p_s$ is interleaved with some process $p_v$ and $p_u$ is connected with $p_v$. The connected relation is symmetric and transitive. A schedule $sch_i$ of a computer $c_i$ is a history of processes performed on the computer $c_i$. Processes in the schedule $sch_i$ are partially ordered in the precedent relation and related in the connected relation. Here, let $K_i(p_s)$ be a closure subset of the processes in the schedule $sch_i$ which are connected with a process $p_s$, i.e. $K_i(p_s) = \{ p_u \mid p_u \text{ is connected with } p_s \}$. $K_i(p_s)$ is an equivalent class with the connected relation, i.e. $K_i(p_s) = K_i(p_u)$ for every process $p_u$ in $K_i(p_s)$. $K_i(p_s)$ is a knot in the schedule $sch_i$. The schedule $sch_i$ is divided into knots $K_i1, \ldots, K_iL$, which are pairwise disjounting. Let $p_u$ and $p_v$ be a pair of processes in a knot $K_i(p_s)$ where the starting time $st_iu$ is the minimum and the termination time $et_iv$ is the maximum. That is, the process $p_u$ is first performed and the process $p_v$ is last finished in $K_i(p_s)$. The execution time $TK_i$ of the knot $K_i(p_s)$ is $et_iv - st_iu$. Let $KP_i(t)$ be a current knot which is a set of current or previous processes which are connected with at least one current process in $P_i(t)$ at time $t$.

**[Theorem]** Let $K_i$ be a knot in a schedule $sch_i$ of a computer $c_i$. The execution time $TK_i$ of the knot $K_i$ is $\sum_{p_s \in K_i} \min T_{is}$.

Let us consider a knot $K_i$ of three processes $p_1, p_2$, and $p_3$ on a computer $c_i$ as shown in Figure 2 (1). Here, $K_i = \{ p_1, p_2, p_3 \}$. First, suppose that the processes $p_1$, $p_2$, and $p_3$ are serially performed, i.e. $et_i1 = st_i2$ and $et_i2 = st_i3$. Here, the execution time $TK_i$ is $et_i3 - st_i1 = \min T_{i1} + \min T_{i2} + \min T_{i3}$. Next, three processes $p_1$, $p_2$, and $p_3$ start at time $st_i1$ and terminate at time $et_i3$ as shown in Figure 2 (2). Here, the execution time $TK_i = \min T_{i1} + \min T_{i2} + \min T_{i3}$. Lastly, let us consider a knot $K_i$ where the processes are concurrently performed. The processes $p_1$, $p_2$, and $p_3$ start at the same time, $st_i1 = st_i2 = st_i3$, are concurrently performed, and the process $p_3$ lastly terminates at time $et_i3$ after $p_1$ and $p_2$ as shown in Figure 2 (3). Here, the execution time $TK_i$ of the knot $K_i$ is
et_{i3} - st_{i1} = minT_{i1} + minT_{i2} + minT_{i3}. The current knot \( KP_i(t_1) \) is \( \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} \) and \( KP_i(t_2) \) is \( \{p_1, p_2\} \).

The execution time of a new process is given as follows: \( T(st, t) = \min T_{i1} + \min T_{i2} + \cdots + \min T_{i_n} \) \( (6) \)

In Figure 2 (3), \( t_1 \) shows the current time. A process \( p_1 \) is first initiated at time \( st_{i1} \) and is terminated before time \( t_1 \) on a computer \( c_i \). A pair of processes \( p_2 \) and \( p_3 \) are currently performed at time \( t_1 \). Here, \( KP_i(t) \) is a current knot \( \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} \) at time \( t_1 \). The execution time from time \( st_{i1} \) to \( t_1 \) is \( t_1 - st_{i1} \). At time \( t_1 \), we can estimate that the concurrent processes \( p_2 \) and \( p_3 \) are performed and terminate at the time \( t_1 + T(st_{i1}, t_1) - (t_1 - st_{i1}) = st_{i2} + T(st_{i1}, t_1) \). \( st_{i1} \) is starting time of the current knot \( KP_i(t) \).

The estimated termination time \( ET_i(t) \) of current processes on a computer \( c_i \) means time when every current process of time \( t \) terminates if no other process would be performed after time \( t \). \( ET_i(t) \) is given as follows:

\[
ET_i(t) = t + T(st_{is}, t) - (t - st_{is}) = st_{is} + T(st_{is}, t)
\] \( (7) \)

Suppose a new process \( p_i \) is started at current time \( t \). By using the equation (7), we can obtain \( ET_i(t) \) of the current processes on each computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \). From the computation model, \( ET_{is}(t) \) of a new process \( p_s \) starting on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \) is given as follows [Figure 3]:

\[
ET_{is}(t) = ET_i(t) + \min T_{is}
\] \( (8) \)

### 2.3 Estimated termination time

Suppose there are a set \( P \) of processes \( \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \) and a set \( C \) of computers \( \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\} \) in a system \( S \). Here, we assume the system \( S \) to be heterogeneous, i.e. some pair of computers \( c_i \) and \( c_j \) have different specifications and performance. Suppose a process \( p_s \) is started on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( st_{is} \). A set \( P_i(t) \) of current processes are being performed on a computer \( c_i \) at time \( t \).

[Computation model] Let \( KP_i(t) \) be a current knot = \( \{p_{i1}, \ldots, p_{id}\} \) of processes, where the starting time is \( st \). The total execution time \( T(st, t) \) of processes in the current knot \( KP_i(t) \) is given as:

\[
T(st, t) = \min T_{i1} + \min T_{i2} + \cdots + \min T_{id}
\] \( (6) \)
max(maxE₁, ..., maxEₙ) and min(minE₁, ..., minEₙ), respectively. Here, minEᵢ shows the power consumption of a computer cᵢ which is in idle state.

We define the normalized power consumption rate (NPCR) eᵢ(t) [1/tu] of a computer cᵢ at time t as follows:

\[ eᵢ(t) = Eᵢ(t)/maxE \leq 1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Let mineᵢ and maxeᵢ show the maximum power consumption rate minEᵢ/ maxE and the minimum one maxEᵢ/ maxE of the computer cᵢ, respectively. If the fastest computer cᵢ maximally spends the electric power with the maximum clock frequency, eᵢ(t) = maxeᵢ = 1. In the lower-speed computer cⱼ, i.e. \( \text{max} fⱼ < \text{max} fᵢ \), eⱼ(t) = maxeⱼ < 1.

We propose two types of power consumption models for a computer cᵢ, simple and multi-level models. In the simple model, eᵢ(t) is given depending on how many number of processes are performed as follows:

\[ eᵢ(t) = \begin{cases} 
\text{maxeᵢ} & \text{if } Nᵢ(t) \geq 1, \\
\text{mineᵢ} & \text{if otherwise}. 
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

A personal computer with one CPU satisfies the simple model as discussed in the experiments of the succeeding section.

### 3.2 Multi-level model

In the multi-level model, the electric power consumption of a computer cᵢ depends on how many number of processes are concurrently performed on the computer cᵢ. The NPCR eᵢ(t) of a computer cᵢ at time t is given as follows:

\[ eᵢ(t) = \begin{cases} 
\betaᵢ(t) \cdot \text{maxeᵢ} & \text{if } Nᵢ(t) \geq 1, \\
\text{mineᵢ} & \text{if otherwise}. 
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Here, the factor \( \betaᵢ(t) \) shows how much the power consumption is degraded depending on the number of processes being concurrently performed on the computer cᵢ at time t, i.e. \( \text{mineᵢ} \cdot \text{maxeᵢ} \leq \betaᵢ(t) \leq 1 \) if \( Nᵢ(t) \geq 1 \). \( \betaᵢ(t₁) < \betaᵢ(t₂) \) if \( Nᵢ(t₁) < Nᵢ(t₂) \).

In a computer cᵢ with multiple CPUs, the NPCR eᵢ(t) depends on how many number of CPUs are active independently of the number of cores in each CPU. The NPCR eᵢ(t) depends on the number of active CPUs. The number of active CPUs depends on the scheduling algorithm to allocate processes to CPUs.

### 3.3 Total power consumption

The total normalized power consumption \( \text{TPCᵢ}(t₁, t₂) \) of a computer cᵢ from time \( t₁ \) to time \( t₂ \) is given as follows:

\[ \text{TPCᵢ}(t₁, t₂) = \int_{t₁}^{t₂} eᵢ(t)dt \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Next, \( \text{TPCᵢ}(t₁, t₂) < t₂ - t₁ \). In the fastest computer cᵢ, \( \text{TPCᵢ}(t₁, t₂) = \text{maxeᵢ} \cdot (t₂ - t₁) = t₂ - t₁ \) if at least one process is performed at any time from \( t₁ \) to \( t₂ \) in the simple model.

Let \( Kᵢ \) be a knot of a computer cᵢ whose starting time is \( stᵢ \) and termination time is \( etᵢ \). The normalized total power consumption of the computer cᵢ to perform every process in the knot \( Kᵢ \) is \( \text{TPCᵢ}(stᵢ, etᵢ) \). In the simple model, \( \text{TPCᵢ}(stᵢ, etᵢ) = \int_{stᵢ}^{etᵢ} \text{maxeᵢ}dt = (etᵢ - stᵢ) \cdot \text{maxeᵢ} = \sum_{pᵢ \in Kᵢ} \text{minTᵢ} \cdot \text{maxeᵢ} \).

### 4. Process Allocation Algorithms

#### 4.1 Round-robin algorithms

We consider two types of the round-robin algorithms, weighted round robin (WRR) and weighted least connection (WLC) algorithms. For each of the WRR and WLC algorithms, we consider two cases, \( Per \) (performance) and \( Pow \) (power). In \( Per \) the weight is given in terms of the performance ratio of the servers. That is, the higher performance a server supports, the more number of processes are allocated to the server. In \( Pow \), the weight is defined in terms of the power consumption ratio of the servers. The less power a server consumes, the more number of processes are allocated to the server.

#### 4.2 Latency-based algorithm

Some application has the deadline constraint \( TCᵢ \) on a process \( pᵢ \) issued by the application, i.e. a process \( pᵢ \) has to terminate until the deadline. Here, a process \( pᵢ \) has to be allocated to a computer cᵢ so that the process \( pᵢ \) can terminate by the deadline \( TCᵢ \). \( Cᵢ(t) \) denotes a set of computers which satisfy \( TCᵢ \), i.e. \( Cᵢ(t) = \{ cᵢ \mid ETᵢ(t) \leq TCᵢ \} \). That is, in a computer cᵢ in \( Cᵢ(t) \), the process \( pᵢ \) is expected to terminate by \( TCᵢ \). Here, if the process \( pᵢ \) is allocated to one computer cᵢ in \( Cᵢ(t) \), the process \( pᵢ \) can terminate.
Next, we assume that the NPCR \( e_i(t) \) of each computer \( c_i \) is given as equation (10) according to the simple model. We can estimate the total power consumption laxity \( l_e(t) \) of a process \( p_s \) between time \( t \) and \( E(T_i) \) at time \( t \) when the process \( p_s \) is allocated to the computer \( c_i \) [Figure 4]. \( l_e(t) \) of the computer \( c_i \) is given as equation (13):

\[
l_e(t) = \max e_i \ast (E(T_i) - t)
\]

Suppose a process \( p_s \) is issued at time \( t \). A computer \( c_i \) in the computer set \( C \) is selected for a process \( p_s \) with the constraint \( T(C_s) \) at time \( t \) as follows:

\[
\text{Alloc}(t, C, p_s, T(C_s)) \{
\begin{array}{l}
C_s = \phi; \ NoC_s = \phi; \\
\text{for each computer } c_i \text{ in } C, \\
\quad \text{if } E(T_i) \leq T(C_s), C_s = C_s \cup \{c_i\}; \\
\quad \text{else if } E(T_i) > T(C_s), * \ NoC_s = NoC_s \cup \{c_i\}; \\
\text{if } C_s \neq \phi, \{ * \text{ candidate computers are found */} \\
\quad \text{computer } = c_i \text{ such that } E(T_i) \text{ is the minimum in } C_s; \\
\quad \text{return } (\text{computer}); \\
\text{else } \{ * \text{ C_s } = \phi */}
\end{array}
\}
\]

\( C_s \) and \( NoC_s \) are sets of computers which can and cannot satisfy the constraint \( T(C_s) \), respectively. Here, \( C_s \cup NoC_s = C \) and \( C_s \cap NoC_s = \phi \).

In the procedure Alloc, if there is at least one computer which can satisfy the time constraint \( T(C_s) \) of process \( p_s \), one of the computers which consumes the minimum power consumption is selected. If there is no computer which can satisfy the application time constraint \( T(C_s) \), one of the computers which can most early terminate the process \( p_s \) is selected in the computer set \( C \).

5. Evaluation

5.1 Environment

We measure how much electric power computers consume for Web applications. We
consider a cluster system composed of Linux Virtual Server (LVS) systems which are interconnected in gigabit networks as shown in Figure 5. The NAT based routing system VS-NAT\textsuperscript{21} is used as the load balancer $K$. The cluster system includes three servers $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$ in each of which Apache 2.0\textsuperscript{11} is installed, as shown in Table 1. The load generator server $L$ first issues requests to the load balancer $K$. Then, the load balancer $K$ assigns each request to one of the servers according to some allocation algorithm. Each server $s_i$ compresses the reply file by using the Deflate module\textsuperscript{13} on receipt of a request from the load generator server $L$.

We measure the peak consumption of electric power and the average response time of each server $s_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The power consumption ratio of the servers $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$ is $0.9 : 0.6 : 1$ as shown in Table 1. On receipt of a Web request, each server $s_i$ finds a reply file of the request and compresses the reply file by using the Deflate module. The size of the original reply file is 1Mbyte and the compressed reply file is 7.8Kbyte in size. The Apache benchmark software\textsuperscript{10} is used to generate Web requests, where the total number 10,000 of requests are issued where 100 requests are concurrently issued to each server. Here, the performance ratio of the servers $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$ are $1 : 1.2 : 4$ as shown in Table 1. Thus, $s_3$ is the fastest and mostly consumes the electric power. The server $s_1$ is slower than $s_3$ but more consumes the electric power than $s_2$.

![Cluster system](image)

**Fig. 5 Cluster system.**

### 5.2 Experimental results

If the weight is based on the performance ratio ($Per$), the requests are allocated to the servers $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$ with the ratio $1 : 1.2 : 4$, respectively. On the other hand, if the weight is based on the power consumption ratio ($Pow$), the requests are allocated to the servers $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$ with the ratio $0.9 : 0.6 : 1$, respectively. Here, by using the Apache benchmark software, the load generation server $L$ transmits totally 100,000 requests to the servers $s_1$, $s_2$, and $s_3$ where six requests are concurrently issued to the load balancer $K$. The total power consumption of the cluster system and the average response time of a request from a web server are measured. We consider a static web server where the size of a reply file for a request is not dynamically changed, i.e. the compressed version of the same HTML reply file is sent back to each user. In this experiment, the original HTML file and the compressed file are 1,025,027 [Byte] and 13,698 [Byte] in size, respectively. On the load balancer $K$, types of process allocation algorithms are adopted; the weighted round-robin (WRR)\textsuperscript{20} algorithms, WRR-Per and WRR-Pow; the weighted least connection (WLC)\textsuperscript{21} algorithms, WLC-Per and WLC-Pow.

Figure 6 shows the total power consumption [W/H] of the cluster system for time. In WRR-Per and WLC-Per, the total execution time and peak power consumption are almost the same. In addition, the total execution time and peak power consumption are almost the same in WRR-Pow and WLC-Pow. This experimental result shows that the total power consumption and total execution time are almost the same for the two allocation algorithms if the same weight ratio is used. Here, if the weight of the load balance algorithm is given in terms of the performance ratio ($Per$), the peak power consumption is higher than $Pow$. However, the total execution time of $Per$ is longer than $Pow$.

Here, the total power consumption is calculated by the multiplication of the execution time and power consumption. The experiment shows the total power consumption is reduced by using the performance based weight ($Per$).

### 6. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we discussed the simple and multi-level power consumption models of computers. The simple model shows a computer with one CPU while the multi-level model denotes a computer with multiple CPUs. We discussed the laxity-based algorithm to allocate a process to a computer so that the deadline constraint is satisfied and the total power consumption is reduced on the basis of the laxity concept. We obtained experimental results on electric power consumption of Web servers. We evaluated the simple model through the experiment of the PC cluster. Then, we showed the PC cluster...
Table 1  Servers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Server 1</th>
<th>Server 2</th>
<th>Server 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of CPUs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cores</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU</td>
<td>Intel Pentium 4 (2.8GHz)</td>
<td>AMD Athlon 1648B (2.7GHz)</td>
<td>AMD Opteron 2216HE (2.4GHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>1,024MB</td>
<td>4,096MB</td>
<td>4096MB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Computation rate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum power consumption rate $\max e_i$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following figure shows power consumption.

\[
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Fig. 6  Power consumption.

follows the simple model. We are now considering types of applications like database transactions and measuring the power consumption of multi-CPU servers.
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