# Systematic Codes Using Binary Shift Register Sequences

### TADAO KASAMI\*

### 1. Abstract

It is shown that most of the systematic codes using binary shift register sequences can be treated with in a unified manner, and their algebraic properties are clarified, from which the basic theory of encoding and decoding is derived.

Further, a new class of systematic codes, denoted by  $\Pi_0$ -type code hereafter, is introduced, which has a remarkable feature as will be stated below. Let C denote the cyclic shift operator. For any two error vectors e and e', if there exists an integer p such that  $e' = C^p e$ , we define that they belong to the same error-pattern. Then,  $\Pi_0$ -type codes are defined to be the systematic codes with the following property:

If a code S can correct an error e, then S can always correct all errors of the error-pattern including e through a suitable choice of decoding procedure.

Since even in the case of non-independent errors most members of an error-pattern have a common probability of occurrence, it may be reasonable to treat with error-patterns rather than to treat with errors one by one.

This paper presents the necessary and sufficient condition of  $\Pi_0$ -type code, from which all  $\Pi_0$ -type codes with a given odd code length can explicitly be obtained. This class contains several new efficient sodes as well as the cyclic Hamming codes and the the cyclic Golay codes. Also, the codes of  $\Pi_0$ -type may be instrumented in a simple fashion.

#### 2. Basic Theorems

Let  $V_n$  be the space of binary *n*-tuples  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ ; let S, the code, be a k-dimensional subspace and let C, the cyclic shift operator, be defined as

$$C \cdot (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \equiv (x_{n-1}, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})$$
.

A code S is called a cyclic code if for each vector x in S, the vector Cx is also in S. Some cyclic codes have been studied by Prange from a different point of view<sup>(1)</sup>. Also, the Abramson codes<sup>(2)</sup>, the Fire codes<sup>(3)</sup> and the Melas codes<sup>(4)</sup> are all cyclic.

In the mathematical versions, the definition of  $\Pi_0$ -type code follows

<sup>\*</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University

such that:

If for any vector  $x \notin S$ , x, Cx,  $\cdots$ ,  $C^{n-1}x$  all belong respectively to n distinct coset of  $V_n$  modulo S different from S, then S is defined to be  $\Pi_0$ -type.

From this definition, it follows that  $\Pi_0$ -type codes are of the cyclic codes. In what follows, S is assumed to be a cyclic code except for Section 5. For two vectors x and y, if there exists an integer p such that x and  $C^py$  belong to the some coset of  $V_n$  modulo S, then we denote

$$x \sim y$$

This relation " $\sim$ " classifies the vectors of  $V_n$  uniquely into disjoint clases, denoted by  $\Gamma_0(=S)$ ,  $\Gamma_1, \cdots, \Gamma_r$ .  $\Gamma_i$  consists of  $\gamma_i$  cosets and is invariant under C. If a member of an error-pattern P is included in  $\Gamma_i$ , all members of P are also included in  $\Gamma_i$ . Then we denote  $P \in \Gamma_i$ . If S is a  $\Pi_0$ -type code,

$$r_i = n$$
;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r = \frac{2^{n-k}-1}{n}$ .

Lemma: For any set of r' error-patterns  $\{P_j\}$  such that  $P_j \in T_{i_j}$  ( $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{r'}$ ) and  $\gamma_{i_j} = n$ , S can correct all errors belonging to  $P_j$ 's through a suitable decoding procedure. If S is of  $\Pi_0$ -type, S can correct just r error-patterns.

Let  $R_n$  denote the set of all polynomials of degree less than n with coefficients from GF(2). Let  $x=(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  correspond to the polynomial  $x(u) \equiv x_0 + x_1 u + \dots + x_{n-1} u^{n-1}$ , and let I denote the subset of R corresponding to S.

The following theorems are proved.

Theorem 1: The necessary and sufficient condition that S is a cyclic code is that there exists a polynomial g(u) of degree m (=n-k) such that

(i) 
$$u^n + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2, g(u)},$$

and (ii) a polynomial x(u) in  $R_n$  is in I, if and only if

$$x(u) \equiv 0$$
 [modd 2,  $g(u)$ ].

The polynomial g(u) in Theorem 1 will be called the characteristic polynomial of S and a cyclic code whose characteristic polynomial is g(u) will be designated as S(g(u)).

Corrollary: Suppose that

$$x \in \Gamma_i$$
,  $y \in \Gamma_i$ ,

then  $i \neq j$ , if and only if

$$y(u)+u^px(u)\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, g(u)$$
;  $0\leq p\leq n-1$ .

Theorem 2: A cyclic code S(g(u)) is a  $\Pi_0$ -type code, if and only if the characteristic polynomial g(u) is an irreducible polynomial or a product of distinct irreducible polynomials having the common period n.

Based on this theorem, all of  $\Pi_0$ -type codes with any given odd n may be formed by referring to the table of irreducible polynomials over  $GF(2)^{(2)}$ .

In what follows, S is assumed to correct at least any single error. Hence, n is the least integer such that

$$u^n+1\equiv 0 \qquad [\bmod 2, g(u)].$$

#### 3. Encoding and Decoding

Let  $SR_m(f)$  denote the *m*-stage linear feedback shift-register with the characteristic polynomial<sup>(8)</sup>

$$f(u) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m} f_i u^i$$
,

let  $\Xi_i \equiv (\xi_{i+m-1}, \dots, \xi_i)$  denote the state vector of  $SR_m(f)$  at the *i*-th clock-time, and let delay operator D be defined such that

$$D \cdot (\xi_{i+m-1}, \dots, \xi_i) \equiv (\xi_{i+m-2}, \dots, \xi_{i-1}).$$

From the definition of the characteristic polynomial, it follows that

$$\xi_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{m-i} \xi_{j-i}$$
.

The state diagram of  $SR_m(f)$  consists of a cycle of 1-cycle length,  $K_0$ , and r cycles  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_r$ .

Theorem 3: Let the initial state vector  $\mathcal{E}_0$  be equal to  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , and let A denote the  $n \times m$  matrix whose i-th row is equal to the state vector  $\mathcal{E}_i$  of SR(g). Then the transposed matrix of A is a parity-check matrix of the cyclic code S(g(u)).

Let us designate the parity-check sequence corresponding to an error vector e as  $\eta(e)$ , i.e.

$$\eta(e) = e \cdot A$$
.

Theorem 4: By appropriate numbering of  $K_i$ , a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Gamma_i$  and  $K_i$  holds in such a manner that: if  $e \in \Gamma_i$ , then  $\eta(e) \in K_i$  and

$$\eta(C^p e) = D^{-p} \eta(e)$$
.

Based on Theorems 3 and 4, a cyclic code S(g(u)) may be instrumented in a simple fashion by employing  $SR_m(g)$  and the reverse shift-register of  $SR_m(g)$ .

## 4. Examples of Π<sub>0</sub>-Type Codes

Several efficient codes of  $\Pi_0$ -type have been found. Let  $E_1, E_{11}, E_{101}, \cdots$  denote a single error, a double-adjacent error, a three-binit-wide double error,  $\cdots$  respectively.

By forming the state diagram of  $SR_m(g)$ , the following results (i) and (ii) are easily ascertained from Lemma and Theorems 3 and 4.

- (i) The (21, 15) code with  $g(u)=u^6+u^4+u^2+u+1$  corrects error-patterns  $E_1$ ,  $E_{11}$  and  $E_{101}$ . This code is a quasi-perfect code.
- (ii) The (17, 9) code with  $g(u)=u^8+u^7+u^6+u^4+u^2+u+1$  can correct error-patterns  $E_{111}$ ,  $E_{1101}$ ,  $E_{10101}$ ,  $E_{1010001}$ ,  $E_{1010001}$ ,  $E_{100010001}$  besides all single and double errors. This code is also quasi-perfect, and has the least redundancy among the known double-error-correcting systematic codes with 8 check-digits.
- (iii) A simple consideration shows that a perfect cyclic code is necessarily of  $\Pi_0$ -type. Hence, the cyclic Hamming codes and the cyclic Golay code found by Prange are of  $\Pi_0$ -type. A simple proof that  $S(u^{11}+u^{10}+u^5+u^5+u^4+u^2+1)$  is perfect is presented.

# 5. Extension

Now, let C' denote the noncyclic shift operator such that  $C'^{l} \cdot x$  or  $C'^{-l} \cdot x$  is defined only when no nonzero digits are lost by the shift operation. A code S will be called a H'-type code if for any vector x in S, every vector that has the form  $C'^{l} \cdot x$  is also in S. Clearly, a cyclic code is of H'-type. Some similar theorems to those in Sections 2 and 3 hold with regard to this class of codes. For example, the following theorem is obtained.

Theorem 1': The necessary and sufficient condition that S is of  $\Pi'$ -type is that there exists a polynomial g(u) of degree m such that

(i) 
$$g(0)=1$$
,

and (ii) a polynomial x(u) in  $R_n$  is in I, if and only if

$$x(u) \equiv 0$$
 [modd 2,  $g(u)$ ].

This theorem implies that every  $\Pi'$ -type code may be formed by omitting some leading information symbols from code vectors of a suitable cyclic code.

The Reiger codes<sup>(6)</sup>, the Fire codes<sup>(3)</sup> and the codes considered by the author<sup>(7)</sup> are of  $\Pi'$ -type.

### REFERENCES

 E. PRANGE: Some Cyclic Error-Correcting Codes with Simple Decoding Algorithms, AFCRC, ASTIA Document No. AD 152386; April, 1958.

- E. Prange: The Use of Coset Equivalence in the Analysis and Decoding of Group Codes, AFCRC-TR-59-164; June, 1959.
- (2) N. M. ABRAMSON: A Class of Systematic Codes for Non-Independent-Errors, Trans. IRE, PGIT-5, p. 150; December, 1959.
- (5) P. FIRE: A Class of Multiple-Error Correcting Binary Codes for Non-Independent Errors, Sylvania Report RSL-E-2; 1959.
- (3) C. M. Melas: A New Group of Codes for Correction of Dependent Errors in Data Transmission, IBM J. Research Develop., 4, p. 58; January, 1960.
- (5) R.W. MARSH: Tables of Irreducible Polynomials over GF(2) Through Degree 19, NSA; October, 1957.
- (6) S. H. REIGER: Codes for the Correction of Clustered Errors, Trans. IRE, PGIT-6, p. 16; March, 1960.
- (7) T. KASAMI: A Systematic Code for Non-Independent Errors, J. Information Processing Soc. Japan, 1, p. 132; November, 1960.
- (8) B. ELSPAS: The Theory of Autonomous Linear Sequential Networks, Trans. IRE, PGCT-6, p. 45; March, 1959.