

Polybasic Polyhedra: Structure of Polyhedra with Edge Vectors of Support Size at Most 2

Satoru FUJISHIGE[†], Kazuhisa MAKINO[†], Takashi TAKABATAKE[†], and Kenji KASHIWABARA[‡]

[†] Division of Systems Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University,

[‡] Department of General Systems Studies, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo,

Abstract We consider a class of pointed convex polyhedra in \mathbf{R}^V whose edge vectors have the support of size at most 2. We call such a convex polyhedron a polybasic polyhedron and show that for a pointed polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ the following three statements are equivalent:

- (1) P is a polybasic polyhedron.
- (2) Each face of P with a normal vector of the full support V is obtained from a base polyhedron by a reflection and scalings along axes.
- (3) The support function of P is a submodular function on each orthant of \mathbf{R}^V .

複基多面体: 台の大きさが 2 以下の辺ベクトルを有する多面体の構造

藤重 悟[†] 牧野 和久[†] 高畑 貴志[†] 柏原 賢二[‡]

[†] 大阪大学大学院 基礎工学研究科 システム科学分野

[‡] 東京大学大学院 総合文化研究科 広域システム科学系専攻

概要 各辺ベクトルの台の大きさが 2 以下である多面体のクラスについて考える。このような凸多面体を複基多面体と呼び、頂点をもつ任意の多面体 $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ について、次の 3 つが等価であることを示す。

- (1) P が複基多面体である。
- (2) 台 V の法線ベクトルをもつ P の各面は、ある基多面体の反転および軸方向のスケールリングによって得られる。
- (3) P の支持関数は、 \mathbf{R}^V の各象限上の劣モジュラ関数である。

1. Introduction

We consider a class of pointed convex polyhedra in \mathbf{R}^V whose edge vectors have the support of size at most 2. We call such a convex polyhedron a polybasic polyhedron. Polybasic polyhedra are closely related to base polyhedra associated with submodular set functions (see [7]).

In Section 2 we give some definitions and preliminaries together with some examples of polybasic polyhedra. In Sections 3 and 4 we show that for a pointed polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$

the following three statements are equivalent:

- (1) P is a polybasic polyhedron.
- (2) Each face of P with a normal vector of the full support V is obtained from a base polyhedron by a reflection and scalings along axes.
- (3) The support function of P is a submodular function on each orthant of \mathbf{R}^V .

2. Definitions and Preliminaries

Let V be a finite set and P be a pointed polyhedron in \mathbf{R}^V . For any extreme point x of P

denote by $T(x)$ the tangent cone of P at x . We call an extreme vector of the tangent cone $T(x)$ for some extreme point x of P an *edge vector* of P . The *support* of a vector x in \mathbf{R}^V is defined by $\text{supp}(x) = \{v \mid v \in V, x(v) \neq 0\}$. We also define $\text{supp}^+(x) = \{v \mid v \in V, x(v) > 0\}$ and $\text{supp}^-(x) = \{v \mid v \in V, x(v) < 0\}$. If each edge vector x of P satisfies $|\text{supp}(x)| \leq 2$, then we call such a polyhedron P a *polybasic polyhedron*. (The meaning of this term will be made clear later.)

Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq 2^V$ be a distributive lattice, i.e., for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $X \cup Y, X \cap Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Also let $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a submodular function, i.e.,

$$f(X) + f(Y) \geq f(X \cup Y) + f(X \cap Y) \quad (X, Y \in \mathcal{D}).$$

We assume $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f(\emptyset) = 0$. The *base polyhedron* $B(f)$ associated with such a submodular function f is defined by

$$B(f) = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^V, \forall X \in \mathcal{D} : x(X) \leq f(X), \\ x(V) = f(V)\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where for any $X \subseteq V$ we define $x(X) = \sum_{v \in X} x(v)$ (see [7] for more details about submodular functions). Note that $B(f)$ is pointed if and only if \mathcal{D} is simple, i.e., the length of a maximal chain of \mathcal{D} is equal to $|V|$ ([7, Th. 3.11]).

For each $X \subseteq V$ denote by χ_X the characteristic vector of X in \mathbf{R}^V , i.e., $\chi_X(v) = 1$ ($v \in X$) and $\chi_X(v) = 0$ ($v \in V \setminus X$). For each $u \in V$ we also denote $\chi_{\{u\}}$ by χ_u .

We have

Theorem 2.1 (see [7]): *For the base polyhedron $B(f)$ given by (2.1) let $\hat{f} : \mathbf{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the support function of $B(f)$, i.e.,*

$$\hat{f}(p) = \sup\{\langle p, x \rangle \mid x \in B(f)\},$$

where $\langle p, x \rangle = \sum_{v \in V} p(v)x(v)$. Then,

$$D = \{X \mid X \subseteq V, \hat{f}(\chi_X) < +\infty\}, \\ \hat{f}(\chi_X) = f(X) \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}).$$

□

Base polyhedra are characterized by the following.

Theorem 2.2 (Tomizawa[12]): *For any pointed polyhedron $Q \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$, Q is a base polyhedron if and only if each edge vector of Q is a multiple of one of the forms $\chi_u - \chi_v$ ($u, v \in V, u \neq v$).* □

For any disjoint $S, T \subseteq V$ with $S \cup T = V$ we call the ordered pair (S, T) an *orthant* of \mathbf{R}^V . For any polyhedron Q and any $T \subseteq V$ the *reflection of Q by T* is defined by

$$Q:T = \{y \mid x \in Q, y \in \mathbf{R}^V, \forall v \in T : y(v) = -x(v), \\ \forall v \in V \setminus T : y(v) = x(v)\}.$$

A hyperplane $H : \langle p, x \rangle = d$ is called a *supporting hyperplane* of a polyhedron P if P is included in the half space $\langle p, x \rangle \leq d$ and $P \cap H$ is nonempty. We call $F = P \cap H$ the *face of P with a normal vector p* .

3. Polybasic Polyhedra

The face structure of polybasic polyhedra is revealed by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1: *Suppose that $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ is a polybasic polyhedron. Let F be a nonempty face of P with a normal vector p having the full support V . Then there uniquely exists a base polyhedron $B(f)$ associated with a submodular function $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$F = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^V, y \in B(f), \forall v \in V : y(v) = p(v)x(v)\}.$$

(Proof) Define

$$B = \{y \mid y \in \mathbf{R}^V, x \in F, \\ \forall v \in V : y(v) = p(v)x(v)\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Then, since F lies on a hyperplane $\sum_{v \in V} p(v)x(v) = d (= \text{const.})$, the polyhedron B lies on the hyperplane

$$y(V) = d. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, since P is a polybasic polyhedron, its face F is also a polybasic polyhedron. It follows from (3.1) that B is also a polybasic polyhedron. This, together with (3.2), implies that each edge vector of B is a multiple of one of the forms $\chi_u - \chi_v$ ($u, v \in V, u \neq v$). Hence B is a base polyhedron, due to Theorem 2.2. □

From this theorem we have

Theorem 3.2: For a pointed polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$, P is a polybasic polyhedron if and only if each face of P with a normal vector having the full support V is obtained from a base polyhedron by a reflection and scalings along axes.

(Proof) The “if part” easily follows from Theorem 2.2. So, we show the “only if” part. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1 that for each face F of a polybasic polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ with a normal vector p having the full support V there uniquely exists a base polyhedron $B(f)$ associated with a submodular function $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$F = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^V, y \in B(f), \\ \forall v \in V: y(v) = p(v)x(v)\}.$$

This means that F is obtained from the base polyhedron $B(f)$ by means of the reflection by $T = \{v \mid v \in V, p(v) < 0\}$ and of scalings along axes by $1/|p(v)|$ ($v \in V$). \square

Remark 3.1: It should be noted that if a vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^V$ satisfies $\langle p, x \rangle \neq 0$ for each $p \in \mathbf{R}^V$ of full support V , then we have $|\text{supp}(x)| = 1$. Hence each edge of a (not necessarily polybasic) polyhedron that does not have any normal vector of the full support V has the edge vector of the form $\pm\chi_v$ ($v \in V$). \square

Examples of polybasic polyhedra are given as follows.

Example 1: Base polyhedra

See Theorem 2.2. \square

Example 2: Generalized polymatroids [6]

Each edge vector is a multiple of one of the forms $\chi_u - \chi_v$ ($u, v \in V, u \neq v$) and $\pm\chi_v$ ($v \in V$). \square

Example 3: Bisubmodular polyhedra [2], [3], [4], [5], [7, Sec. 3.5(b)]

Each edge vector is a multiple of one of the forms $\pm\chi_u \pm \chi_v$ ($u, v \in V, u \neq v$) and $\pm\chi_v$ ($v \in V$). \square

Example 4: Extended submodular polyhedra [8]

An extended submodular polyhedron P is considered by Kashiwabara and Takabatake

[8]. A pointed extended submodular polyhedron is a polybasic polyhedron that is lower-hereditary, i.e., $x \leq y \in P$ implies $x \in P$. For each edge vector x with $|\text{supp}(x)| = 2$, we have $|\text{supp}^+(x)| = |\text{supp}^-(x)| = 1$. \square

Example 5: Polybasic zonotopes

Let $D: V \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a $|V| \times |A|$ real matrix such that each column vector $D(\cdot, a)$ ($a \in A$) satisfies $|\text{supp}(D(\cdot, a))| \leq 2$. For a positive vector $u \in \mathbf{R}^A$ consider the polyhedron $P(D, u)$ defined by

$$P(D, u) = \{y \mid y = Dx, 0 \leq x \leq u\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here, $P(D, u)$ is a zonotope and is a polybasic polyhedron, as can be seen from (3.3). Note that edge vectors of $P(D, u)$ are given by $\pm D(\cdot, a)$ for column vectors $D(\cdot, a)$ ($a \in A$) of D . $P(D, u)$ can be regarded as the set of boundaries of flows in a bidirected network determined by D and u .

If each column vector $D(\cdot, a)$ of D has exactly two nonzero components, one being equal to 1 and the other to $-\gamma(a)$ with $\gamma(a) > 0$, then D can be regarded as the incidence matrix of a generalized network \mathcal{N} with the vertex set V , the arc set A , and a gain $\gamma(a)$ and a capacity $u(a)$ for each arc $a \in A$ (see, e.g., [1, Chapt. 15]). The corresponding $P(D, u)$ is the set of the boundaries Dx of all flows x in \mathcal{N} such that $0 \leq x \leq u$.

For a polybasic zonotope $P(D, u)$ we can easily compute

$$h(p) = \max\{\langle p, y \rangle \mid y \in P(D, u)\}$$

for each coefficient vector $p \in \mathbf{R}^V$. We can also easily show that the support function h is submodular on each orthant of \mathbf{R}^V . \square

4. Submodular Functions Associated with Polybasic Polyhedra

Let $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ be a polybasic polyhedron and let $h: \mathbf{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the support function of P , i.e.,

$$h(p) = \sup\{\langle p, x \rangle \mid x \in P\}.$$

As is well known, the support function h is positively homogeneous and convex, and hence h is subadditive, i.e., $h(p) + h(q) \geq h(p + q)$.

When p is a normal vector of P that determines a face F , we simply say that p is a normal vector of F , in the following.

Based on Theorem 3.1, we have

Lemma 4.1: *Let F be a face of a polybasic polyhedron P in \mathbf{R}^V with a positive normal vector $p \in \mathbf{R}^V$. Then, for a sufficiently small α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, there uniquely exists a simple distributive lattice $\mathcal{D} \subseteq 2^V$ with $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$ such that F is the set of vectors x satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p, x \rangle \\ \leq h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}), \\ \langle p, x \rangle = h(p), \end{aligned}$$

where p^X is a vector in \mathbf{R}^V such that $p^X(v) = p(v)$ ($v \in X$) and $p^X(v) = 0$ ($v \in V \setminus X$). Moreover, $h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p)$ as a set function in $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is submodular on \mathcal{D} .

(Proof) It follows from Theorem 3.1 that for a uniquely determined submodular set function $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on a simple distributive lattice \mathcal{D} with $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$ the face F is the set of vectors $x \in \mathbf{R}^V$ satisfying

$$\langle p^X, x \rangle \leq f(X) \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\langle p, x \rangle = f(V). \quad (4.2)$$

For any α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, (4.1) and (4.2) are equivalently written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p, x \rangle \\ \leq \alpha f(X) + (1 - \alpha)f(V) \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}), \quad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle p, x \rangle = f(V).$$

Recall that P is a polyhedron. Because of the finiteness characteristic of P , for a sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$ the equation obtained from (4.3) for each $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is a supporting hyperplane of P and we have from Theorem 2.1

$$h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) = \alpha f(X) + (1 - \alpha)f(V).$$

This completes the proof. \square

We also have

Lemma 4.2: *Let α be a positive real such that Lemma 4.1 holds. Also let $C(F, p, \alpha)$ be the cone generated by $\{\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p \mid X \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Then for any $q \in C(F, p, \alpha)$ there uniquely exist a chain \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{D} :*

$$\mathcal{C} : (\emptyset \neq) S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \cdots \subset S_k \quad (4.4)$$

and positive reals $\lambda_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$) such that

$$q = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p), \quad (4.5)$$

and we have

$$h(q) = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i h(\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p). \quad (4.6)$$

Moreover, for any $q_1, q_2 \in C(F, p, \alpha)$ we have

$$h(q_1) + h(q_2) \geq h(q_1 \vee q_2) + h(q_1 \wedge q_2), \quad (4.7)$$

where $(q_1 \vee q_2)(v) = \max\{q_1(v), q_2(v)\}$ and $(q_1 \wedge q_2)(v) = \min\{q_1(v), q_2(v)\}$ for each $v \in V$.

(Proof) We can easily show (4.4)~(4.6) by the greedy algorithm for base polyhedra (see [7]; this is essentially the same as the Lovász extension of a submodular set function [9]).

We show (4.7). Suppose that q_1 and q_2 are expressed as

$$q_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p),$$

$$q_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{k_2} \mu_i (\alpha p^{T_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)$$

for some chains of \mathcal{D}

$$S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \cdots \subset S_{k_1}, \quad T_0 \subset T_1 \subset \cdots \subset T_{k_2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Also suppose without loss of generality that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \lambda_i \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k_2} \mu_i, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \lambda_i > \sum_{i=0}^{k_2} \mu_i (1 - \alpha). \quad (4.9)$$

Note that if the latter inequality in (4.9) does not hold, we have $q_1 \leq q_2$ and hence (4.7) trivially holds.

Now, put

$$L_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \lambda_i (1 - \alpha), \quad L_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{k_2} \mu_i (1 - \alpha)$$

and let

$$\eta_0 > \eta_1 > \cdots > \eta_{k_3+1}$$

be the distinct values of

$$L_1, \quad L_2, \quad \sum_{i=r}^{k_1} \lambda_i \alpha + L_1 \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, k_1),$$

$$\sum_{i=r}^{k_2} \mu_i \alpha + L_2 \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, k_2).$$

Suppose that $\eta_{t+1} = L_2$ and $\eta_s = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \lambda_i \alpha + L_1$, where $s \leq t$ from (4.9). Define

$$\nu_i = (\eta_i - \eta_{i+1})/\alpha (> 0) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, k_3), \quad (4.10)$$

$$S'_i = \text{supp}(q_1 - (q_1 \wedge \eta_{i+1}p)) \quad (i = s, s+1, \dots, k_3), \quad (4.11)$$

$$T'_i = \text{supp}(q_2 - (q_2 \wedge \eta_{i+1}p)) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, t). \quad (4.12)$$

Then q_1 and q_2 are rewritten as

$$q_1 = \sum_{i=s}^{k_3} \nu_i (\alpha p^{S'_i} + (1-\alpha)p), \quad (4.13)$$

$$q_2 = \sum_{i=0}^t \nu_i (\alpha p^{T'_i} + (1-\alpha)p), \quad (4.14)$$

where note that the two monotone nondecreasing sequences of subsets of V

$$\begin{aligned} (S_0 =) S'_s \subseteq S'_{s+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq S'_{k_3} (= S_{k_1}), \\ (T_0 =) T'_0 \subseteq T'_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq T'_t (= T_{k_2}) \end{aligned}$$

are obtained from those in (4.8) by possibly repeating some elements in (4.8). It follows from (4.10)~(4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} h(q_1) + h(q_2) &= \sum_{i=s}^{k_3} \nu_i \tilde{h}(S'_i) + \sum_{i=0}^t \nu_i \tilde{h}(T'_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=t+1}^{k_3} \nu_i \tilde{h}(S'_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \nu_i \tilde{h}(T'_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=s}^t \nu_i \{\tilde{h}(S'_i) + \tilde{h}(T'_i)\} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=t+1}^{k_3} \nu_i \tilde{h}(S'_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \nu_i \tilde{h}(T'_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=s}^t \nu_i \{\tilde{h}(S'_i \cup T'_i) + \tilde{h}(S'_i \cap T'_i)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \nu_i \tilde{h}(T'_i) + \sum_{i=s}^t \nu_i \tilde{h}(S'_i \cup T'_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=s}^t \nu_i \tilde{h}(S'_i \cap T'_i) + \sum_{i=t+1}^{k_3} \nu_i \tilde{h}(S'_i) \\ &= h(q_1 \vee q_2) + h(q_1 \wedge q_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{h}(X)$ denotes $h(\alpha p^X + (1-\alpha)p)$ for $X \subseteq V$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

For any orthant (S, T) define

$$\mathbf{R}^{(S, T)} = \{q \mid q \in \mathbf{R}^V, \text{supp}^+(q) \subseteq S, \text{supp}^-(q) \subseteq T\}.$$

Also, for any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{(S, T)}$ define

$$(q_1 \vee_{(S, T)} q_2)(v) = \begin{cases} \max\{q_1(v), q_2(v)\} & (v \in S) \\ \min\{q_1(v), q_2(v)\} & (v \in T), \end{cases}$$

$$(q_1 \wedge_{(S, T)} q_2)(v) = \begin{cases} \min\{q_1(v), q_2(v)\} & (v \in S) \\ \max\{q_1(v), q_2(v)\} & (v \in T). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.3: *For any orthant (S, T) and any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{(S, T)}$, if $h(q_1), h(q_2) < +\infty$, then $h(q_1 \vee_{(S, T)} q_2), h(q_1 \wedge_{(S, T)} q_2) < +\infty$.*

(Proof) We consider the positive orthant (V, \emptyset) . Suppose to the contrary that $h(q_1), h(q_2) < +\infty$ and $h(q_1 \vee q_2) = +\infty$. Then there exists a facet F of P such that

$$\sup\{\langle q_1 \vee q_2, x \rangle \mid x \in F\} = +\infty. \quad (4.15)$$

On the other hand, from the assumption

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{\langle q_1, x \rangle \mid x \in F\} < +\infty, \\ \sup\{\langle q_2, x \rangle \mid x \in F\} < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Since F is obtained from a base polyhedron by scalings and the present lemma for $(S, T) = (V, \emptyset)$ holds for a base polyhedron (see [9], [11]), (4.15) contradicts (4.16). Hence, $h(q_1 \vee q_2) < +\infty$. Similarly, we have $h(q_1 \wedge q_2) < +\infty$. \square

From Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 we can show the following. Denote by F_p the face of P having a normal vector p .

Theorem 4.4: *For any orthant (S, T) and any $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{(S, T)}$ there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} h(q_1) + h(q_2) \\ \geq h(q_1 \vee_{(S, T)} q_2) + h(q_1 \wedge_{(S, T)} q_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

(Proof) It suffices to consider the positive orthant (V, \emptyset) . We can suppose that $h(p) < +\infty$ for some positive vector $p \in \mathbf{R}^V$. Consider any positive vector $q \in \mathbf{R}^{(V, \emptyset)}$, any positive reals $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$, and any distinct $u_1, u_2 \in V$ such that $h(q + \beta_1 \chi_{u_1}), h(q + \beta_2 \chi_{u_2}) < +\infty$. Then, there exist (sufficiently small) positive numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that $\beta_i = N_i \delta_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) for some positive integers N_i ($i = 1, 2$) and that for each j_i with $0 \leq j_i < N_i$ ($i = 1, 2$), putting

$$q_0 = q + j_1 \delta_1 \chi_{u_1} + j_2 \delta_2 \chi_{u_2}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$q_1 = q + (j_1 + 1) \delta_1 \chi_{u_1} + j_2 \delta_2 \chi_{u_2}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$q_2 = q + j_1 \delta_1 \chi_{u_1} + (j_2 + 1) \delta_2 \chi_{u_2}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$q_3 = q + (j_1 + 1) \delta_1 \chi_{u_1} + (j_2 + 1) \delta_2 \chi_{u_2}, \quad (4.21)$$

either (i) we have $F_{q_0} = F_{q_1} = F_{q_2} = F_{q_3}$ or (ii) for a unique minimal face F^* that contains F_{q_i} ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$), we have $q_i \in C(F^*, p_{F^*}, \alpha_{p_{F^*}})$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) for an appropriate positive normal vector p_{F^*} of F^* belonging to the convex hull of $\{q_i \mid i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and for a positive real $\alpha_{p_{F^*}} > 0$. Here, note that due to Lemma 4.3 and the convexity of h the values of h for all the vectors given by (4.18)~(4.21) are finite. In Case (i),

$$h(q_1) + h(q_2) = h(q_3) + h(q_0) \quad (4.22)$$

and in Case (ii),

$$h(q_1) + h(q_2) \geq h(q_3) + h(q_0) \quad (4.23)$$

due to Lemma 4.2, where note that $q_1 \vee q_2 = q_3$ and $q_1 \wedge q_2 = q_0$. From (4.22) and (4.23) for $0 \leq j_i < N_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(q + \beta_1 \chi_{u_1}) + h(q + \beta_2 \chi_{u_2}) \\ \geq h(q + \beta_1 \chi_{u_1} + \beta_2 \chi_{u_2}) + h(q). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the submodularity inequality (4.17) with $(S, T) = (V, \emptyset)$ for any positive vectors $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{(V, \emptyset)}$. Hence, (4.17) with $(S, T) = (V, \emptyset)$ holds for any nonnegative vectors $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{(V, \emptyset)}$ since h is a closed convex function. \square

For a function $g : \mathbf{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ define $\text{dom}(g) = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^V, g(x) < +\infty\}$, which is called the effective domain of g . Also, for any orthant (S, T) , if g satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \text{ For any } q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{(S, T)}, \\ g(q_1) + g(q_2) \geq g(q_1 \vee_{(S, T)} q_2) + g(q_1 \wedge_{(S, T)} q_2), \end{aligned}$$

then we say that g is *submodular on the orthant (S, T) of \mathbf{R}^V* .

Lemma 4.5: *Let $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ be a pointed polyhedron and $h : \mathbf{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the support function of P . Suppose that h is submodular on the positive orthant (V, \emptyset) of \mathbf{R}^V . Also let F be a face of P with a positive normal vector p and define*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = \{X \mid X \subseteq V, \\ h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) < +\infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

where α is a sufficiently small positive real so that $\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p$ for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is a normal vector of a face of F (of P). Then, \mathcal{D} is a simple distributive lattice with $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$.

(Proof) It easily follows from the submodularity of h on orthant (V, \emptyset) that $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $X \cup Y, X \cap Y \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence \mathcal{D} is a distributive lattice and we easily see that $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$. So, let us show that \mathcal{D} is simple.

Suppose to the contrary that \mathcal{D} is not simple. Let $u, v \in V$ be a pair of distinct elements of V such that for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ we have $|X \cap \{u, v\}| \neq 1$. Then, we claim that for any $x \in F$ and any $\beta > 0$

$$x + \beta((1/p(u))\chi_u - (1/p(v))\chi_v) \in F. \quad (4.24)$$

For, otherwise there exists $\beta^* = \max\{\beta \geq 0 \mid x + \beta((1/p(u))\chi_u - (1/p(v))\chi_v) \in F\}$ for some $x \in F$ and let q be a positive normal vector of the unique minimal face of P that contains $x + \beta^*((1/p(u))\chi_u - (1/p(v))\chi_v)$. We can choose such a q satisfying

$$q(u)/p(u) > q(v)/p(v), \quad h(q) < +\infty. \quad (4.25)$$

Let $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_l$ be the distinct values of $q(w)/p(w)$ ($w \in V$) and suppose that $\gamma_k = q(u)/p(u)$ and $\gamma_{k-1} = q(z)/p(z)$ for some $z \in V$. Note that from (4.25) $q(z)/p(z) \geq q(v)/p(v)$. Defining $Z = \{w \mid w \in V, \gamma_k \leq q(w)/p(w)\}$, since $q, \gamma_k p, \gamma_{k-1} p \in \text{dom}(h)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q \wedge \gamma_k p) \vee \gamma_{k-1} p \\ = (\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1})p^Z + \gamma_{k-1} p \in \text{dom}(h). \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Since we can choose q close enough to p so that $(1 - \alpha)\gamma_k \leq \gamma_{k-1}$ and hence $(\gamma_k - \gamma_{k-1})p^Z + \gamma_{k-1} p = \lambda(\alpha p^Z + (1 - \alpha)p) + \delta p$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$, it follows from (4.26) that $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. From the definition of Z we have $u \in Z$ and $v \notin Z$, which contradicts the assumption on u, v . Hence this completes the proof of the claim (4.24).

Now, because of the symmetry in u, v in the claim of (4.24) we see that F contains an affine space of dimension greater than or equal to one. Hence F is not pointed. This contradicts the pointedness of P . \square

Lemma 4.6: *Under the same assumption as in Lemma 4.5, for any nonempty face F' of F there exists a normal vector q of F' that is close enough to p so that $q \in C(F, p, \alpha)$.*

(Proof) By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.5 from (4.24)~(4.26) q can be ex-

pressed as

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^l (\gamma_i - \gamma_{i-1}) p^{Z_i} + \gamma_0 p, \quad (4.27)$$

where $Z_i \in \mathcal{D}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. We can choose q close enough to p so that $(1 - \alpha)\gamma_l \leq \gamma_0$. Then it follows from (4.27) that $q \in C(F, p, \alpha)$. \square

Lemma 4.7: *Under the same assumption as in Lemma 4.5, let F be a face of P with a positive normal vector p . Then, for a sufficiently small positive real $\alpha > 0$ the face F is expressed by*

$$\langle \alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p, x \rangle \leq h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}), \quad (4.28)$$

$$\langle p, x \rangle = h(p) \quad (4.29)$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \{X \mid X \subseteq V, h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) < +\infty\}$ is a simple distributive lattice with $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$, due to Lemma 4.5.

(Proof) It follows from Lemma 4.6 that for any nonempty face F' of F there exists a normal vector q of F' that is close enough to p so that $q \in C(F, p, \alpha)$. For such a normal vector q there uniquely exist a chain \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{D} :

$$\mathcal{C} : S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_k \quad (4.30)$$

and positive reals $\lambda_i > 0$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$) such that

$$q = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p). \quad (4.31)$$

Note that the support function h of P is positively homogeneous and convex, and hence subadditive. It follows from (4.30), (4.31), and the submodularity assumption on h that

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)\right) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i\right) h(p) \\ & \geq h\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p) + \lambda_k p\right) \\ & \quad + h(\lambda_k (\alpha p^{S_k} + (1 - \alpha)p)) \\ & \quad + (1 - \alpha) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lambda_i\right) p + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lambda_i\right) h(p) \\ & = h\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)\right) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i\right) h(p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + h(\lambda_k (\alpha p^{S_k} + (1 - \alpha)p)) \\ & \geq h\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)\right) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i\right) h(p) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=k-1}^k h(\lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)) \\ & \geq \dots \\ & \geq h(\lambda_0 (\alpha p^{S_0} + (1 - \alpha)p)) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i\right) h(p) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k h(\lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)) \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^k h(\lambda_i (\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p)) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i\right) h(p), \end{aligned}$$

where note that $h(q' + \lambda p) = h(q') + \lambda h(p)$ for any $q' \in C(F, p, \alpha)$ due to (4.29) (recall that we choose α sufficiently small). From this we have

$$h(q) \geq \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i h(\alpha p^{S_i} + (1 - \alpha)p).$$

(In fact, this holds with equality, due to the homogeneity and convexity of h .) It follows that (4.28) and (4.29) imply the following inequality.

$$\langle q, x \rangle \leq h(q).$$

Hence F is expressed by (4.28) and (4.29). \square

The following theorem gives another characterization of polybasic polyhedra.

Theorem 4.8: *Let $P \subseteq \mathbf{R}^V$ be a pointed polyhedron and $h : \mathbf{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the support function of P . Then, P is a polybasic polyhedron if and only if h is submodular on each orthant of \mathbf{R}^V .*

(Proof) *The "only if" part:* This follows from Theorem 4.4.

The "if" part: We show that each edge vector of P has the support of size at most 2. Let F be an edge of P and $z \in \mathbf{R}^V$ be an associated edge vector of F . Suppose $|\text{supp}(z)| \geq 2$ and let p be a normal vector of F in P . Then we can perturb p to get a new normal vector p' of F with $\text{supp}^+(p) \subseteq \text{supp}^+(p')$, $\text{supp}^-(p) \subseteq \text{supp}^-(p')$, and $\text{supp}(p') = V$. So, we assume that F has a normal vector p with $\text{supp}(p) = V$. Suppose without loss of generality that p is

a positive vector. Then we see from Lemma 4.7 that for a sufficiently small positive real $\alpha > 0$ the edge F is expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p, x \rangle \\ & \leq h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) \quad (X \in \mathcal{D}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

$$\langle p, x \rangle = h(p) \quad (4.33)$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \{X \mid X \subseteq V, h(\alpha p^X + (1 - \alpha)p) < +\infty\}$ is a simple distributive lattice with $\emptyset, V \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence F is obtained from a base polyhedron by scalings along axes, and we thus have $|\text{supp}(z)| = 2$. \square

Remark 4.1: It should be noted that the class of polybasic polyhedra in \mathbf{R}^V is closed with respect to the following operations:

- (1) taking the Minkowski sum (or the vector sum) of two polybasic polyhedra,
- (2) taking the intersection of a polybasic polyhedron P and a box $B = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^V, a \leq x \leq b\}$ with $P \cap B \neq \emptyset$, where $a \in (\mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty\})^V$ and $b \in (\mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\})^V$.

Note that the nonempty intersection of a base polyhedron and a box is again a base polyhedron and that of a submodular polyhedron and a bounded box is a translation of a polymatroid polytope (see [7]). \square

Remark 4.2: There is some similarity between the class of polybasic polyhedra and that of M -convex functions considered by Murota ([10], [11]). For each face F , which is not parallel to the axis of the function values, of the epigraph of an M -convex function from \mathbf{R}^V to \mathbf{R} , F is a projection, along the axis of the function values, of a base polyhedron in \mathbf{R}^V . Here each such face is related to a base polyhedron by a projection while each face of a polybasic polyhedron is related to a base polyhedron by scalings and a reflection. \square

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to Kazuo Murota for his useful comments. The present work is supported by a Grant-in-Aid of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

References

- [1] R. K. Ahuja, T. L. Magnanti and J. B. Orlin: *Network Flows — Theory, Algorithms, and Applications* (Prentice-Hall, 1993).
- [2] K. Ando and S. Fujishige: On structures of bisubmodular polyhedra. *Mathematical Programming* **74** (1996) 293–317.
- [3] A. Bouchet and W. H. Cunningham: Delta-matroids, jump systems and bisubmodular polyhedra. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **8** (1995) 17–32.
- [4] R. Chandrasekaran and S. N. Kabadi: Pseudomatroids. *Discrete Mathematics* **71** (1988) 205–217.
- [5] F. D. J. Dunstan and D. J. A. Welsh: A greedy algorithm for solving a certain class of linear programmes. *Mathematical Programming* **62** (1973) 338–353.
- [6] A. Frank: Generalized polymatroids. In: *Finite and Infinite Sets I* (A. Hajnal, L. Lovász, and V. T. Sós, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), pp. 285–294.
- [7] S. Fujishige: *Submodular Functions and Optimization* (Annals of Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 47, North-Holland, 1991).
- [8] K. Kashiwabara and T. Takabatake: Polyhedra with submodular support functions and their unbalanced simultaneous exchangeability. *Discrete Mathematics and Systems Science Research Report*, No. 00-10, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, July 2000.
- [9] L. Lovász: Submodular functions and convexity. In: *Mathematical Programming – the State of the Art* (A. Bachem, M. Grötschel, and B. Korte, eds., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983), pp. 235–257.
- [10] K. Murota: Convexity and Steinitz’s exchange property. *Advances in Mathematics* **124** (1996) 272–311.
- [11] K. Murota and A. Shioura: Extension of M -convexity and L -convexity to polyhedral convex functions. *Advances in Applied Mathematics* (to appear).
- [12] N. Tomizawa: Theory of hyperspace XVI — on the structures of hedrons (in Japanese). Papers of the Technical Groups on Circuits and Systems, Institute of Electronics and Communication Engineers of Japan, CAS82-174 (March 1983).