

Chernoff Bounds を用いた辺彩色アルゴリズムの解析

謝 旭珍 柳浦 睦憲 小野 孝男 平田 富夫

名古屋大学大学院情報科学研究科

Telephone: +81-52-789-3440

Fax: +81-52-789-3089

sharryx@hirata.nuee.nagoya-u.ac.jp, yagiura@nagoya-u.jp

takao@hirata.nuee.nagoya-u.ac.jp, hirata@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp

概要: グラフの均等辺彩色とは、多重無向グラフと色数が与えられたとき、任意の頂点と任意の2色に対して、その頂点に接続する辺のうちそれぞれの色で塗られているものの本数の差が高々2であるような辺彩色である。与えられたグラフの頂点数を n 、辺数を m とし、色数を k とする。謝らは、任意の多重グラフを $O(m^2/k)$ 時間で均等辺彩色するアルゴリズムを提案した。本論文では、彼らのアルゴリズムに変更を加え、初期辺彩色をランダムに与える場合の実行時間を解析する。そして、任意の定数 $\epsilon > 0$ に対し、実行時間が高い確率で $O(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\epsilon})$ となることを示す。これは、グラフが密で k が小さいときには、既存のアルゴリズムよりも速くグラフを均等辺彩色できることを示す結果である。

Analysis of an Edge Coloring Algorithm Using Chernoff Bounds

Xuzhen Xie Mutsunori Yagiura Takao Ono Tomio Hirata

Graduate School of Information Science, Nagoya University

Telephone: +81-52-789-3440

Fax: +81-52-789-3089

sharryx@hirata.nuee.nagoya-u.ac.jp, yagiura@nagoya-u.jp

takao@hirata.nuee.nagoya-u.ac.jp, hirata@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract: Given a multigraph $G = (V, E)$ with n vertices and m edges and a color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the nearly equitable edge coloring is an assignment of given colors to edges in G such that, among the edges incident to each vertex, the numbers of edges colored with any two colors differ by at most two. Xie et al. presented an algorithm to solve this problem in $O(m^2/k)$ time. In this paper, we investigate the running time of a modified version of their algorithm in which the initial edge coloring is generated randomly. The new time complexity is proved to be $O(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\epsilon})$ for arbitrarily small constant $\epsilon > 0$ with high probability for sufficiently large n , which is better than Xie et al.'s original algorithm when the graph is dense and k is small.

1 Introduction

Given a multigraph $G = (V, E)$ with n vertices and m edges and a color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the nearly equitable edge coloring is an assignment of given colors to edges in G such that, among the edges incident to each vertex, the numbers of edges colored with any two colors differ by at most two. The notion of the nearly equitable edge coloring was introduced in 1982 by Hilton and de Werra [1], who also proved that any graph has a nearly equitable edge coloring. Their proof is constructive and easily leads to an algorithm for finding such

a coloring in $O(km^2)$ time as mentioned in [2]. Later, Nakano et al. [2] showed an algorithm that runs in $O(m^2/k + mn)$ time. In 2004, Xie et al. [3] presented a more efficient algorithm, which improved the running time to $O(m^2/k)$ and moreover satisfied the following *balanced constraint*: The numbers of the edges colored with any two colors differ by at most one.

The previous algorithm presented by Xie et al. works as follows: Initially assign k colors $1, 2, \dots, k$ to k uncolored edges repeatedly until all the edges are colored, and then invokes an al-

algorithm called RECOLOR to modify the current edge coloring whenever it is not nearly equitable. Hence, the running time of the algorithm is decided by the running time of RECOLOR and the number of calls to RECOLOR. To analyze the number of calls to RECOLOR, they introduced a potential function Φ_π , and showed that RECOLOR always runs in $O(|E_\pi(i) \cup E_\pi(j)|)$ time for relevant colors i and j and decreases Φ_π by at least one, where π is the initial edge coloring and $E_\pi(i)$ is the set of edges colored with i .

In this paper, we investigate the running time of a modified version of their algorithm in which the initial edge coloring is generated randomly according to the following rule: Randomly pick a color $i \in \mathcal{C}$ to assign an edge $e \in E$ until all the edges are colored. Using Chernoff bound [4], we show that, for arbitrary constants $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, with high probability for sufficiently large n , such a random color assignment π satisfies $|E_\pi(i)| \leq 2m/k$ for all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ if $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$. Hence, by repeatedly using RECOLOR, the random color assignment can be modified to a nearly equitable edge coloring in $O(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\varepsilon})$ time with high probability for sufficiently large n . This time complexity is better than Xie et al.'s original algorithm when the graph is dense and k is small.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some definitions. Section 3 introduces the new version of Xie et al.'s algorithm when it starts with a random color assignment, and Section 4 analyzes its time complexity. Finally, concluding remarks are in Section 5. To make the paper self-contained, we give the proofs of RECOLOR in Appendix.

2 Preliminaries

A multigraph G is a graph that allows multiple number of edges between vertices. Let V and E denote the sets of vertices and edges of G , respectively. The following definitions will be used throughout this paper.

- Let $n = |V|$ and $m = |E|$. Note that $m \geq n - 1$ holds for any connected graph.
- We denote the given color set by $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, where k is the number of given colors.

- We denote an edge coloring by a mapping $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$; i.e., if an edge $e \in E$ is colored with a color i , then $\pi(e) = i$.
- For each vertex $v \in V$, let $N(v) = \{(v, w) \in E\}$ denote the edges incident to v in G and $d(v) = |N(v)|$ be its degree. Then, $d_\pi(v, i) = |\{e \in N(v) \mid \pi(e) = i\}|$ stands for the number of edges colored with i and incident to v , while $E_\pi(i) = \{e \in E \mid \pi(e) = i\}$ stands for the set of edges in E colored with i .
- Let $V_\pi(i, j)$ be the set of end vertices of edges in $E_\pi(i) \cup E_\pi(j)$; i.e., $V_\pi(i, j) = \{v \in V \mid \exists w \in V, (v, w) \in (E_\pi(i) \cup E_\pi(j))\}$. Then let $G_\pi(i, j) = (V_\pi(i, j), E_\pi(i) \cup E_\pi(j))$ be the subgraph whose edges are $E_\pi(i) \cup E_\pi(j)$ and vertices are their end vertices.

Then, the definition of nearly equitable edge coloring introduced by Hilton and de Werra [1] is as follows.

Definition 1 [1]: *Given a multigraph $G = (V, E)$ and a color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the nearly equitable edge coloring π is an assignment of the given k colors to all the edges in G , such that for any vertex $v \in V$ and different colors $i, j \in \mathcal{C}$, $|d_\pi(v, i) - d_\pi(v, j)| \leq 2$.*

Without loss of generality, we assume that G is connected.

3 The Algorithm Starting with a Random Color Assignment

We describe the modified version of Xie et al.'s algorithm, which starts with a random color assignment and calls algorithm RECOLOR [3] until a nearly equitable edge coloring is obtained. We call it algorithm RCAR, which stands for *Random Color Assignment and Recolor*.

The recoloring phase works as follows. Whenever the current coloring π has a vertex u that breaks the condition of Definition 1, choose two colors α and β with maximum and minimum $d_\pi(u, i)$, respectively, and call algorithm RECOLOR to recolor those edges in $E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)$. Algorithm RECOLOR first constructs an augmented graph $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ by adding a vertex and some edges to make $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$ connected and the degrees of all vertices even. It then finds an Euler cir-

cuit in \hat{G} starting at the additional vertex and colors the edges alternately with α and β along the Euler circuit, so that the resulting coloring π' is balanced with respect to the two colors, i.e., $||E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| - |E_{\pi'}(\beta)|| \leq 1$ holds after recoloring. The algorithm is formally described as follows.

Algorithm RCAR(G, \mathcal{C})

Input: a multigraph $G = (V, E)$ and a k -color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$

Output: a nearly equitable edge coloring π for G

1. For each $e \in E$, randomly pick a color $i \in \mathcal{C}$ (the probability of choosing a color i is $1/k$) and let $\pi(e) \leftarrow i$.
2. **while** there exists $u \in V$ and different $i, j \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $|d_\pi(u, i) - d_\pi(u, j)| \geq 3$ **do**
3. For the vertex u , find two colors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying

$$d_\pi(u, \alpha) = \max_{i \in \mathcal{C}} (d_\pi(u, i))$$

$$d_\pi(u, \beta) = \min_{i \in \mathcal{C}} (d_\pi(u, i)) .$$
4. Call **RECOLOR**(G, α, β, π) to modify π .
5. Output π and stop.

Algorithm RECOLOR(G, α, β, π)

Input: a multigraph $G = (V, E)$, colors α and β , and a coloring π

Task: modify the edge coloring π for $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$

1. Let $\hat{V} \leftarrow V_\pi(\alpha, \beta) \cup \{\hat{v}\}$ ($\hat{v} \notin V$) and $\hat{E} \leftarrow E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)$.
2. **for each** connected component H in $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$ **do**
3. **if** H has odd-degree vertices **then**
4. For each odd-degree vertex v in H , add an edge (v, \hat{v}) into \hat{E} .
5. **else**
6. **if** H has a vertex v such that $|d_\pi(v, \alpha) - d_\pi(v, \beta)| \geq 2$ **then** Draw two parallel edges between v and \hat{v} , and add them into \hat{E} .
7. **else** Let v be an arbitrary vertex in H . Draw two parallel edges between v and \hat{v} , and add them into \hat{E} .
8. Let $\hat{G} \leftarrow (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$.
9. Let a sequence of edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l be an Euler circuit of \hat{G} such that the tail of e_1 is \hat{v} . Then let $\hat{\pi}(e_t) \leftarrow \alpha$ if t is odd and $\hat{\pi}(e_t) \leftarrow \beta$ otherwise for all $t = 1, 2, \dots, l$.
10. Let $\pi(e) \leftarrow \hat{\pi}(e)$ for all edge e in $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$, and stop.

4 Analysis of Algorithm RCAR

From the stopping criterion, it is clear that algorithm RCAR outputs a nearly equitable edge coloring if it stops. As the initial phase of coloring each edge randomly requires $O(m)$ time, the running time of algorithm RCAR is decided by the running time of **RECOLOR** and the number of calls to **RECOLOR**. To analyze the number of calls to **RECOLOR**, we use a potential function Φ_π , which was introduced in [3] and is defined as follows. For all vertices $v \in V$, let $\bar{d}(v) = \lfloor d(v)/k \rfloor$. Define

$$\Phi_\pi(v) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, i))$$

$$\Phi_\pi = \sum_{v \in V} \Phi_\pi(v),$$

where $\varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, i))$ is defined by

$$\varphi_a^b(x) = \max\{x - a - b, a - x, 0\} \quad (1)$$

with $x = d_\pi(v, i)$, $a = \bar{d}(v) - 1$ and $b = 2$. By definition, $\Phi_\pi \geq 0$ holds for any coloring π .

Xie et al. [3] showed that, (1) **RECOLOR** runs in $O(|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|)$ time,* and (2) for the coloring π and π' before and after the execution of **RECOLOR**, respectively, $||E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| - |E_{\pi'}(\beta)|| \leq 1$ and $\Phi_{\pi'} \leq \Phi_\pi - 1$ hold. These imply that the running time of **RECOLOR** is $O(\max_{i \in \mathcal{C}} |E_\pi(i)|)$ and the number of calls to **RECOLOR** is $O(\Phi_\pi)$, where $|E_\pi(i)|$ and Φ_π are those of the initial coloring π . We summarize these results in Section 4.1.

In Section 4.2, we show that for arbitrary constants $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, a random coloring π satisfies $|E_\pi(i)| \leq 2m/k$ for all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ with high probability for sufficiently large n if $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$. We combine these results in Section 4.3 and show that the total running time of algorithm RCAR is $O(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\varepsilon})$.

*Note that algorithm **RECOLOR**(G, α, β, π) only modifies the coloring for $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$, which can be extracted from G in $O(|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|)$ time if appropriately implemented. It is also possible to implement the algorithm so that the total computation time for finding the vertex u and the colors α and β in Line 3 of algorithm RCAR does not dominate the other part. The data structure for this is straightforward, though it is rather elaborate, and hence we omit its explanation.

4.1 Results of RECOLOR

Recoloring edges along with traversals of Euler circuits in graphs is a powerful tool often used for edge coloring. Below we summarize some results in [3], which are necessary for analyzing the time complexity of algorithm RCAR, and to make the paper self-contained, we give their proofs in Appendix.

Lemma 1 [3]: *Let π and π' be the coloring before and after calling RECOLOR. Then RECOLOR runs in $O(|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|)$ time and the coloring π' satisfies $||E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| - |E_{\pi'}(\beta)|| \leq 1$.*

Lemma 2 [3]: *Assume that there exists a vertex u and colors α, β such that $d_\pi(u, \alpha) \geq \bar{d}(u) + 1$, $d_\pi(u, \beta) \leq \bar{d}(u)$ and $d_\pi(u, \alpha) - d_\pi(u, \beta) \geq 3$ for a coloring π , and let π' be the coloring after calling RECOLOR(G, α, β, π). Then $\Phi_{\pi'} \leq \Phi_\pi - 1$ holds.*

4.2 Analysis of Random Color Assignment

In this section, we use Chernoff bound [4] to show that, for arbitrary constants $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the random color assignment π of algorithm RCAR satisfies $|E_\pi(i)| \leq 2m/k$ for all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ with high probability for sufficiently large n if $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$. The explanation of Chernoff bound can be found in many books such as [5, 6]. Here we use the following two versions of it in [5] and [6], respectively.

Theorem 1 [4]: *Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r be independent Poisson trials such that, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\Pr(X_i = 1) = p_i$ and $\Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p_i$, where $0 < p_i < 1$. Then, for $X = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i$, $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i$, and any $\delta > 0$,*

$$\Pr(X > (1 + \delta)\mu) < \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{1+\delta}} \right)^\mu. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 2 [4]: *Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_r be mutually independent random variables such that, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\Pr(Y_i = 1 - p_i) = p_i$ and $\Pr(Y_i = -p_i) = 1 - p_i$, where $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$. Then, for $Y = \sum_{i=1}^r Y_i$ and any $a > 0$, $\Pr(Y > a) < e^{-2a^2/r}$ holds. Note that $\Pr(Y < -a) < e^{-2a^2/r}$ also holds by symmetry, and hence we have*

$$\Pr(|Y| > a) < 2e^{-2a^2/r}. \quad (3)$$

Each edge $e \in E$ is colored with a color $i \in \mathcal{C}$ with probability $1/k$. For each $e \in E$ and $i \in \mathcal{C}$, we define an indicator random variable $X_\pi^e(i)$ that takes value 1 if and only if e is colored with i . Then we have

$$X_\pi^e(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{k} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases}$$

For the random coloring, $|E_\pi(i)|$ is a random variable satisfying

$$|E_\pi(i)| = \sum_{e \in E} X_\pi^e(i). \quad (4)$$

Using Chernoff bound (2) with $\delta = 1$ and $\mu = m/k$, for all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\Pr\left(|E_\pi(i)| > \frac{2m}{k}\right) < \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{m/k}. \quad (5)$$

For $k \leq cm^{1-\gamma}$ ($c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ are constants), the probability of the existence of a color $i \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $|E_\pi(i)| > 2m/k$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\left(\exists i, |E_\pi(i)| > \frac{2m}{k}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \Pr\left(|E_\pi(i)| > \frac{2m}{k}\right) \\ & \leq k \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{m/k} \leq cm^{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{e}{4}\right)^{m^\gamma/c}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Thus, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3: *Given an n -vertex m -edge multigraph $G = (V, E)$ and a k -color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, for any constants $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, the random coloring π satisfies $|E_\pi(i)| \leq 2m/k$ for all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$ with probability at least $1 - cm^{1-\gamma}(e/4)^{m^\gamma/c}$ if $k \leq cm^{1-\gamma}$.*

We now consider the bound on Φ_π of the random coloring. To make the proofs in the following simple, we define $\hat{\Phi}_\pi(v)$ and $\hat{\Phi}_\pi$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_\pi(v) &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi_{d(v)/k}^0(d_\pi(v, i)) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \left| d_\pi(v, i) - \frac{d(v)}{k} \right| \\ \hat{\Phi}_\pi &= \sum_{v \in V} \hat{\Phi}_\pi(v), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{d(v)/k}^0(d_\pi(v, i))$ is defined by equation (1) with $x = d_\pi(v, i)$, $a = d(v)/k$ and $b = 0$. It is easy to show that for any constants $a, a', b \geq 0$ and $b' \geq 0$ satisfying $a \leq a'$ and $a' + b' \leq a + b$, $\varphi_a^b(x) \leq \varphi_{a'}^{b'}(x)$ holds for all x . Thus, for $\bar{d}(v) - 1 \leq d(v)/k$ and $(d(v)/k) + 0 \leq (\bar{d}(v) - 1) + 2$, we obtain $\Phi_\pi(v) \leq \hat{\Phi}_\pi(v)$ for all vertices $v \in V$ and $\Phi_\pi \leq \hat{\Phi}_\pi$.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ be an arbitrary constant. For the vertices $v \in V$ with $d(v) \leq n^\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_\pi(v) &= \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{C} \\ d_\pi(v, i) > d(v)/k}} \left(d_\pi(v, i) - \frac{d(v)}{k} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{C} \\ d_\pi(v, i) \leq d(v)/k}} \left(\frac{d(v)}{k} - d_\pi(v, i) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{C} \\ d_\pi(v, i) > d(v)/k}} d_\pi(v, i) + \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{C} \\ d_\pi(v, i) \leq d(v)/k}} \frac{d(v)}{k} \\ &\leq 2d(v) = O(n^\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the contribution of such vertices to the value of $\hat{\Phi}_\pi$ is

$$\sum_{v: d(v) \leq n^\varepsilon} \hat{\Phi}_\pi(v) = O(n^{\varepsilon+1}). \quad (7)$$

For the remaining vertices $v \in V$ with $d(v) > n^\varepsilon$, we use Chernoff bound (3) to show their contribution to the value of $\hat{\Phi}_\pi$. For convenience, we define a random variable $Y_\pi^e(i) = X_\pi^e(i) - 1/k$, which satisfies

$$Y_\pi^e(i) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{k} & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{k} \\ -\frac{1}{k} & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases}$$

Then, let

$$Y_\pi(v, i) = \sum_{e \in N(v)} Y_\pi^e(i),$$

which signifies the number of the edges incident to a vertex v and colored with i . Then, $d_\pi(v, i)$ becomes a random variable, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d_\pi(v, i) &= \sum_{e \in N(v)} X_\pi^e(i) = \sum_{e \in N(v)} \left(Y_\pi^e(i) + \frac{1}{k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{e \in N(v)} Y_\pi^e(i) + \frac{d(v)}{k} = Y_\pi(v, i) + \frac{d(v)}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Chernoff bound (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left(\left| d_\pi(v, i) - \frac{d(v)}{k} \right| > (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right) &= \Pr \left(|Y_\pi(v, i)| > (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right) \\ &< 2e^{-2(d(v))^{2\varepsilon}} < 2e^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all vertices $v \in V$ with $d(v) > n^\varepsilon$ and all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, the probability that there exists a pair of $v \in V$ with $d(v) > n^\varepsilon$ and $i \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $|d_\pi(v, i) - d(v)/k| > (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr \left(\exists v \text{ s.t. } d(v) > n^\varepsilon, \exists i, \right. \\ \left. \left| d_\pi(v, i) - \frac{d(v)}{k} \right| > (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right) \\ &< \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{v: d(v) > n^\varepsilon} 2e^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}} \\ &\leq 2kne^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Then, we have

$$\forall v \text{ s.t. } d(v) > n^\varepsilon, \forall i, \left| d_\pi(v, i) - \frac{d(v)}{k} \right| \leq (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

with probability at least $1 - 2kne^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}}$. When this happens, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v: d(v) > n^\varepsilon} \hat{\Phi}_\pi(v) &\leq k \sum_{v: d(v) > n^\varepsilon} (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq k \sum_v (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq kn \left(\frac{2m}{n} \right)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \\ &= O(kn^{1/2-\varepsilon} m^{1/2+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Note that the third inequality $\sum_v (d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \leq n(2m/n)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ follows from the fact that $(d(v))^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ is a concave function of $d(v)$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2m$ holds.

Then, (7) and (10) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\pi \leq \hat{\Phi}_\pi &= O(n^{\varepsilon+1} + kn^{1/2-\varepsilon} m^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \\ &= O(kn^{1/2} m^{1/2+\varepsilon}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

(recall that we assumed G is connected, which implies $m \geq n - 1$). Thus, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4: *Given an n -vertex m -edge multigraph $G = (V, E)$ and a k -color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, for any constant $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the random coloring π satisfies $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2} m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ with probability at least $1 - 2kne^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}}$.*

Using Lemmas 3 and 4, we have

$\forall i, |E_\pi(i)| \leq \frac{2m}{k}$ and $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ with probability at least $1 - cm^{1-\gamma}(e/4)^{m^\gamma/c} - 2kne^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}}$. For

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - cm^{1-\gamma} \left(\frac{e}{4} \right)^{m^\gamma/c} - 2kne^{-2n^{2\varepsilon^2}} \right) = 1,$$

we have the following lemma about the random coloring.

Lemma 5: *Given an n -vertex m -edge multigraph $G = (V, E)$ and a k -color set $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, randomly pick a color $i \in \mathcal{C}$ to assign an edge $e \in E$ until all the edges are colored. Then, for any constants $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, such a random coloring π satisfies $|E_\pi(i)| \leq 2m/k$ for all colors $i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ almost surely for sufficiently large n if $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$.*

4.3 Running Time of Algorithm RCAR

We now consider the total running time of algorithm RCAR. For arbitrary constants $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, assume that $\max_{i \in \mathcal{C}} |E_\pi(i)| \leq 2m/k$ and $\Phi_\pi = O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ hold for the initial random coloring, which are satisfied almost surely for sufficiently large n if $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$ by Lemma 5. Then, Lemma 1 implies that RECOLOR runs in $O(m/k)$ time and $\max_{i \in \mathcal{C}} |E_{\pi'}(i)| \leq 2m/k$ holds for the coloring π' after executing RECOLOR. Whenever RECOLOR is called from algorithm RCAR, the vertex u and colors α and β in Line 3 of RCAR satisfy $d_\pi(u, \alpha) \geq \bar{d}(u) + 1$, $d_\pi(u, \beta) \leq \bar{d}(u)$ and $d_\pi(u, \alpha) - d_\pi(u, \beta) \geq 3$. Then, using Lemma 2, Φ_π decreases by at least 1 when invoking RECOLOR. Recall that $\Phi_\pi \geq 0$ holds for any coloring π . Hence the number of calls to RECOLOR cannot exceed the initial value of Φ_π . The above assumption implies that, after $O(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ invocations of RECOLOR, algorithm RCAR must stop. Thus, the total running time of algorithm RCAR becomes

$$O\left(kn^{1/2}m^{1/2+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)\right) = O\left(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\varepsilon}\right), \quad (12)$$

and we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3: *For any constants $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, algorithm RCAR solves the nearly equitable edge coloring problem in $O\left(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\varepsilon}\right)$*

time almost surely for sufficiently large n if the number of given colors $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$, where m and n are the numbers of edges and vertices, respectively.

Below we discuss when the above running time of algorithm RCAR becomes faster than $O(m^2/k)$, which is the fastest running time among the existing algorithms.

Consider the ratio

$$\frac{n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\varepsilon}}{m^2/k} = kn^{1/2}m^{-1/2+\varepsilon}. \quad (13)$$

The condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(kn^{1/2}m^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \right) = 0 \quad (14)$$

is equivalent to

$$kn^{1/2} = o(m^{1/2-\varepsilon}). \quad (15)$$

This is satisfied when the graph is dense and k is small; e.g., when $k = O(1)$ and $m = \Omega(n^\theta)$ for a constant $\theta > 1$.

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we considered the nearly equitable edge coloring problem and introduced an algorithm called RCAR, which is a modified version of Xie et al.'s algorithm [3] in that it starts with a random color assignment. For any constants $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, algorithm RCAR runs in $O\left(n^{1/2}m^{3/2+\varepsilon}\right)$ time with high probability for large n if the number of given colors $k = O(m^{1-\gamma})$, where n and m are the numbers of vertices and edges, respectively. This computation time is faster than the existing algorithms when the graph is dense and k is small.

Appendix

A Analysis of Algorithm RECOLOR

In this section, we give detailed analysis of algorithm RECOLOR. To show that it works properly, it is sufficient to observe that the augmented

graph \hat{G} has an Euler circuit. Any graph (including $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$) has even number of odd-degree vertices; hence the degree of the newly added vertex \hat{v} is even. Thus all vertices in \hat{G} have even degrees, which implies that \hat{G} has an Euler circuit. Below we give proofs for Lemmas 1 and 2 introduced in Section 4.1. The proof for Lemma 2 explained below is much simpler than the one given in Xie et al. [3].

Lemma 1 [3]: *Let π and π' be the coloring before and after calling RECOLOR. Then RECOLOR runs in $O(|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|)$ time and the coloring π' satisfies $||E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| - |E_{\pi'}(\beta)|| \leq 1$.*

Proof. We first consider the running time of RECOLOR. It is clear that the running time of RECOLOR is dominated by the time for finding an Euler circuit, which is linear to the number of edges in the augmented graph \hat{G} . Let us consider the number of newly added edges in \hat{G} , all of which are incident to the new vertex \hat{v} . For each vertex v in $V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$, the number of new edges between v and \hat{v} is at most two. Moreover, $|V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)| \leq \sum_{v \in V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)} (d_\pi(v, \alpha) + d_\pi(v, \beta)) = 2|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|$ holds because each vertex v in $V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$ must be an endpoint of an edge of color α or β . Hence the number of new edges is $O(|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|)$, which implies that the total running time of RECOLOR is $O(|E_\pi(\alpha) \cup E_\pi(\beta)|)$.

We next prove that after an invocation of RECOLOR, $||E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| - |E_{\pi'}(\beta)|| \leq 1$ holds. If \hat{G} has odd number of edges, then the coloring along the Euler circuit begins and ends with α at the new vertex \hat{v} . For there are even number of edges incident to \hat{v} , $|E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| = |E_{\pi'}(\beta)| - 1$ holds after calling RECOLOR. Otherwise, $|E_{\pi'}(\alpha)| = |E_{\pi'}(\beta)|$ is obvious. \square

To prove Lemma 2, we first show the following fact.

Lemma 6: *For four numbers p, q, r and s satisfying $p+q = r+s$ and $|p-q| \geq |r-s|$, if a function f satisfies $f((1-\lambda)p + \lambda q) \leq (1-\lambda)f(p) + \lambda f(q)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then $f(r) + f(s) \leq f(p) + f(q)$. Moreover, the last inequality is proper if the first two inequalities are proper.*

Proof. For four numbers p, q, r and s satisfying $p+q = r+s$ and $|p-q| > |r-s|$, suppose by

symmetry that $p < r \leq s < q$. Then there exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ that satisfies $r = (1-\lambda)p + \lambda q$ and $s = \lambda p + (1-\lambda)q$. If a function f satisfies

$$f((1-\lambda)p + \lambda q) < (1-\lambda)f(p) + \lambda f(q) \quad (16)$$

for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) + f(s) &= f((1-\lambda)p + \lambda q) + f(\lambda p + (1-\lambda)q) \\ &< (1-\lambda)f(p) + \lambda f(q) + \lambda f(p) + (1-\lambda)f(q) \\ &= f(p) + f(q). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By a similar argument, we have

$$f(r) + f(s) \leq f(p) + f(q) \quad (18)$$

if p, q, r and s satisfy $p+q = r+s$ and $|p-q| \geq |r-s|$, and f satisfies $f((1-\lambda)p + \lambda q) \leq (1-\lambda)f(p) + \lambda f(q)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. \square

Lemma 2 [3]: *Assume that there exists a vertex u and colors α, β such that $d_\pi(u, \alpha) \geq \bar{d}(u) + 1$, $d_\pi(u, \beta) \leq \bar{d}(u)$ and $d_\pi(u, \alpha) - d_\pi(u, \beta) \geq 3$ for a coloring π , and let π' be the coloring after calling RECOLOR(G, α, β, π). Then $\Phi_{\pi'} \leq \Phi_\pi - 1$ holds.*

Proof. We consider $d_\pi(v, \alpha)$ and $d_{\pi'}(v, \alpha)$, which are the numbers of edges incident to v and colored with α before and after the invocation of RECOLOR, respectively. We also denote by $\bar{d}_\pi(v, \alpha)$ the number of edges incident to v and colored with α in the augmented graph \hat{G} after executing Line 9 of RECOLOR. We first show that

$$|d_{\pi'}(v, \alpha) - d_{\pi'}(v, \beta)| \leq |d_\pi(v, \alpha) - d_\pi(v, \beta)| \quad (19)$$

holds for all vertices $v \in V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $\eta(v)$ be the number of edges between v and the newly added vertex \hat{v} . Then $\eta(v) = 0, 1$ or 2 by the definition of \hat{G} . For any $v \in V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$, $d_\pi(v, \alpha) = \bar{d}_\pi(v, \alpha)$ holds because we color edges alternately along an Euler circuit; hence we have

$$|d_{\pi'}(v, \alpha) - d_{\pi'}(v, \beta)| \leq \eta(v). \quad (20)$$

If $\eta(v) = 0$, then (19) is immediate from (20). If $\eta(v) = 1$, then $|d_\pi(v, \alpha) - d_\pi(v, \beta)|$ is odd, and hence is at least 1, which implies (19). For the case with $|d_\pi(v, \alpha) - d_\pi(v, \beta)| \geq 2$, (19) is also immediate from (20) and $\eta(v) \leq 2$. The rest is the case with $\eta(v) = 2$ and $|d_\pi(v, \alpha) - d_\pi(v, \beta)| = 0$

(when $\eta(v)$ is even, $|d_\pi(v, \alpha) - d_\pi(v, \beta)|$ is even and cannot be 1). This happens only if the vertex v is connected to \hat{v} in Line 7 of RECOLOR. In this case, $d_\pi(w, \alpha) = d_\pi(w, \beta)$ holds for all vertices w in the connected component of $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$ that includes v , which implies that the number of edges in this component is even. Hence the two parallel edges between the v and \hat{v} receive different colors, and therefore we have (19).

Recall that the definition of $\Phi_\pi(v)$ is

$$\Phi_\pi(v) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, i)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, i)) \\ &= \max\{d_\pi(v, i) - (\bar{d}(v) + 1), \\ & \quad (\bar{d}(v) - 1) - d_\pi(v, i), 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is a convex piecewise linear function of $d_\pi(v, i)$. From the convexity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2((1-\lambda)d_\pi(v, \beta) + \lambda d_\pi(v, \alpha)) \\ & \leq (1-\lambda)\varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, \beta)) \\ & \quad + \lambda\varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, \alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, we have $d_{\pi'}(v, \alpha) + d_{\pi'}(v, \beta) = d_\pi(v, \alpha) + d_\pi(v, \beta)$ and (19). Hence Lemma 6 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\pi'}(v) - \Phi_\pi(v) \\ &= \left(\varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_{\pi'}(v, \beta)) + \varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_{\pi'}(v, \alpha)) \right) \\ & \quad - \left(\varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, \beta)) + \varphi_{\bar{d}(v)-1}^2(d_\pi(v, \alpha)) \right) \\ & \leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

for all vertices $v \in V_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$.

The vertex u chosen in Line 3 of algorithm RCAR satisfies $d_\pi(u, \alpha) \geq \bar{d}(u) + 1$, $d_\pi(u, \beta) \leq \bar{d}(u)$ and $d_\pi(u, \alpha) - d_\pi(u, \beta) \geq 3$. Then, two points

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(d_\pi(u, \beta), \varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_\pi(u, \beta)) \right) \text{ and} \\ & \left(d_\pi(u, \alpha), \varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_\pi(u, \alpha)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

cannot be on the same line segment of the convex piecewise linear function $\varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(\cdot)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2((1-\lambda)d_\pi(u, \beta) + \lambda d_\pi(u, \alpha)) \\ & < (1-\lambda)\varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_\pi(u, \beta)) \\ & \quad + \lambda\varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_\pi(u, \alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. As $|d_{\pi'}(u, \alpha) - d_{\pi'}(u, \beta)| \leq 2 < 3 \leq |d_\pi(u, \alpha) - d_\pi(u, \beta)|$, Lemma 6 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\pi'}(u) - \Phi_\pi(u) \\ &= \left(\varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_{\pi'}(u, \beta)) + \varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_{\pi'}(u, \alpha)) \right) \\ & \quad - \left(\varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_\pi(u, \beta)) + \varphi_{\bar{d}(u)-1}^2(d_\pi(u, \alpha)) \right) \\ & < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Recall that Φ_π is an integer and $\Phi_{\pi'}(v)$ does not change for the vertices not in $G_\pi(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus, (21) and (22) imply $\Phi_{\pi'} \leq \Phi_\pi - 1$. \square

References

- [1] A.J.W. Hilton and D. de Werra. Sufficient conditions for balanced and for equitable edge-colouring of graphs. *O. R. Working paper 82/3*, 1982. Dépt. of Math., École Polytechnique Fédérative de Lausanne, Switzerland.
- [2] S. Nakano, Y. Suzuki, and T. Nishizeki. An algorithm for the nearly equitable edge-coloring of graphs (in Japanese). *The IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems (Japanese Edition)*, J78-D-I(5):437-444, 1995.
- [3] X. Xie, T. Ono, S. Nakano, and T. Hirata. An improved algorithm for the nearly equitable edge-coloring problem. *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals*, E87-A(5):1029-1033, 2004.
- [4] H. Chernoff. A measure of the asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 23:493-509, 1952.
- [5] R. Motwani and P. Raghavan. *Randomized Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [6] N. Alon and J.H. Spencer. *The Probabilistic Method (2nd Edition)*. John Wiley & Sons, 2000.