

A_011

Balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -Foil Decomposition Algorithm of Complete Graphs

Kazuhiko Ushio
 Department of Informatics
 Faculty of Science and Technology
 Kinki University
 ushio@info.kindai.ac.jp

1. Introduction

Let K_n denote the complete graph of n vertices. Let C_k be the k -cycle. The (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil is a graph of t edge-disjoint C_4 's and t edge-disjoint C_5 's with a common vertex and the common vertex is called the center of the (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil. In particular, the (C_4, C_5) -2-foil is called the (C_4, C_5) -bowtie. When K_n is decomposed into edge-disjoint sum of (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foils, we say that K_n has a (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition. Moreover, when every vertex of K_n appears in the same number of (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foils, we say that K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition and this number is called the replication number. Note that (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil has $7t + 1$ vertices and $9t$ edges.

It is a well-known result that K_n has a C_3 decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. This decomposition is known as a Steiner triple system. See Colbourn and Rosa[2] and Wallis[15]. Horák and Rosa[3] proved that K_n has a C_3 -bowtie decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $9 \pmod{12}$. This decomposition is known as a bowtie system.

In this sense, our balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition of K_n is to be known as a balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil system.

2. Balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition of K_n

Notation. We denote a (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil passing through

$v_1 - v_2 - v_3 - v_4 - v_1 - v_5 - v_6 - v_7 - v_8 - v_1,$
 $v_1 - v_9 - v_{10} - v_{11} - v_1 - v_{12} - v_{13} - v_{14} - v_{15} - v_1,$
 $v_1 - v_{16} - v_{17} - v_{18} - v_1 - v_{19} - v_{20} - v_{21} - v_{22} - v_1,$
 $\dots, v_1 - v_{7t-5} - v_{7t-4} - v_{7t-3} - v_1 - v_{7t-2} - v_{7t-1} -$

$v_{7t} - v_{7t+1} - v_1$

by

$\{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4), (v_1, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8)\}$
 $\cup \{(v_1, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_1, v_{12}, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{15})\} \cup$
 $\{(v_1, v_{16}, v_{17}, v_{18}), (v_1, v_{19}, v_{20}, v_{21}, v_{22})\} \cup \dots \cup$
 $\{(v_1, v_{7t-5}, v_{7t-4}, v_{7t-3}), (v_1, v_{7t-2}, v_{7t-1}, v_{7t}, v_{7t+1})\}.$

Theorem. K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{18t}$.

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose that K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition. Let b be the number of (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foils and r be the replication number. Then $b = n(n-1)/18t$ and $r = (7t+1)(n-1)/18t$. Among r (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foils having a vertex v of K_n , let r_1 and r_2 be the numbers of (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foils in which v is the center and v is not the center, respectively. Then $r_1 + r_2 = r$. Counting the number of vertices adjacent to v , $4tr_1 + 2r_2 = n-1$. From these relations, $r_1 = (n-1)/18t$ and $r_2 = 7(n-1)/18$. Therefore, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{18t}$ is necessary.

(Sufficiency) Put $n = 18st + 1$, $T = st$. Then $n = 18T + 1$. Construct n (C_4, C_5) - $2T$ -foils as follows:

$B_i = \{(i, i+1, i+3T+2, i+T+1), (i, i+6T+1, i+10T+2, i+15T+3, i+7T+1)\}$
 $\cup \{(i, i+2, i+3T+4, i+T+2), (i, i+6T+2, i+10T+4, i+15T+6, i+7T+2)\} \cup$
 $\{(i, i+3, i+3T+6, i+T+3), (i, i+6T+3, i+10T+6, i+15T+9, i+7T+3)\} \cup \dots \cup$
 $\{(i, i+T, i+5T, i+2T), (i, i+7T, i+12T, i+18T, i+8T)\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$

Decompose each (C_4, C_5) - $2T$ -foil into s (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foils. Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_5) - $2t$ -foil decomposition of K_n .

Note. We consider the vertex set V of K_n as

$V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The additions $i + x$ are taken modulo n with residues $1, 2, \dots, n$.

Example 1. A balanced (C_4, C_5) -2-foil decomposition of K_{19} .

$B_i = \{(i, i + 1, i + 5, i + 2), (i, i + 7, i + 12, i + 18, i + 8)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 19$).

Example 2. A balanced (C_4, C_5) -4-foil decomposition of K_{37} .

$B_i = \{(i, i + 1, i + 8, i + 3), (i, i + 13, i + 22, i + 33, i + 15)\} \cup \{(i, i + 2, i + 10, i + 4), (i, i + 14, i + 24, i + 36, i + 16)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 37$).

Example 3. A balanced (C_4, C_5) -6-foil decomposition of K_{55} .

$B_i = \{(i, i + 1, i + 11, i + 4), (i, i + 19, i + 32, i + 48, i + 22)\} \cup \{(i, i + 2, i + 13, i + 5), (i, i + 20, i + 34, i + 51, i + 23)\} \cup \{(i, i + 3, i + 15, i + 6), (i, i + 21, i + 36, i + 54, i + 24)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 55$).

Example 4. A balanced (C_4, C_5) -8-foil decomposition of K_{73} .

$B_i = \{(i, i + 1, i + 14, i + 5), (i, i + 25, i + 42, i + 63, i + 29)\} \cup \{(i, i + 2, i + 16, i + 6), (i, i + 26, i + 44, i + 66, i + 30)\} \cup \{(i, i + 3, i + 18, i + 7), (i, i + 27, i + 46, i + 69, i + 31)\} \cup \{(i, i + 4, i + 20, i + 8), (i, i + 28, i + 48, i + 72, i + 32)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 73$).

Example 5. A balanced (C_4, C_5) -10-foil decomposition of K_{91} .

$B_i = \{(i, i + 1, i + 17, i + 6), (i, i + 31, i + 52, i + 78, i + 36)\} \cup \{(i, i + 2, i + 19, i + 7), (i, i + 32, i + 54, i + 81, i + 37)\} \cup \{(i, i + 3, i + 21, i + 8), (i, i + 33, i + 56, i + 84, i + 38)\} \cup \{(i, i + 4, i + 23, i + 9), (i, i + 34, i + 58, i + 87, i + 39)\} \cup \{(i, i + 5, i + 25, i + 10), (i, i + 35, i + 60, i + 90, i + 40)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 91$).

Example 6. A balanced (C_4, C_5) -12-foil decomposition of K_{109} .

$B_i = \{(i, i + 1, i + 20, i + 7), (i, i + 37, i + 62, i + 93, i + 43)\} \cup \{(i, i + 2, i + 22, i + 8), (i, i + 38, i + 64, i + 96, i + 44)\} \cup \{(i, i + 3, i + 24, i + 9), (i, i + 39, i + 66, i + 99, i + 45)\} \cup \{(i, i + 4, i + 26, i + 10), (i, i + 40, i + 68, i + 102, i + 46)\} \cup \{(i, i + 5, i + 28, i + 11), (i, i + 41, i + 70, i + 105, i + 47)\} \cup \{(i, i + 6, i + 30, i + 12), (i, i + 42, i + 72, i + 108, i + 48)\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 109$).

References

- [1] C. J. Colbourn, CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, 1996.
- [2] C. J. Colbourn and A. Rosa, Triple Systems, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1999.
- [3] P. Horák and A. Rosa, Decomposing Steiner triple systems into small configurations, *Ars Combinatoria*, Vol. 26, pp. 91–105, 1988.
- [4] C. C. Lindner, Design Theory, CRC Press, 1997.
- [5] K. Ushio, G-designs and related designs, *Discrete Math.*, Vol. 116, pp. 299–311, 1993.
- [6] K. Ushio, Bowtie-decomposition and trefoil-decomposition of the complete tripartite graph and the symmetric complete tripartite digraph, *J. School Sci. Eng. Kinki Univ.*, Vol. 36, pp. 161–164, 2000.
- [7] K. Ushio, Balanced bowtie and trefoil decomposition of symmetric complete tripartite digraphs, *Information and Communication Studies of The Faculty of Information and Communication Bunkyo University*, Vol. 25, pp. 19–24, 2000.
- [8] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie and trefoil decomposition of complete tripartite multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E84-A, No. 3, pp. 839–844, March 2001.
- [9] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced foil decomposition of complete graphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E84-A, No. 12, pp. 3132–3137, December 2001.
- [10] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E86-A, No. 9, pp. 2360–2365, September 2003.
- [11] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie decomposition of symmetric complete multi-digraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E87-A, No. 10, pp. 2769–2773, October 2004.
- [12] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced quatrefoil decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Information and Systems*, Vol. E88-D, No. 1, pp. 19–22, January 2005.
- [13] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced C_4 -bowtie decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E88-A, No. 5, pp. 1148–1154, May 2005.
- [14] K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced C_4 -trefoil decomposition of complete multigraphs, To appear in *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, Vol. E89-A, No. 5, May 2006.
- [15] W. D. Wallis, Combinatorial Designs, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1988.