Food Recognition via Monitoring Power Leakage from Microwave Oven
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Abstract: In this paper, we demonstrate a food recognition method by monitoring power leakage from a domestic microwave oven. Universal Software Radio Peripheral (USRP) is applied as a low-cost spectrum analyzer to measure the microwave oven leakage as received signal strength indication (RSSI). We aim to recognize 18 categories of food that are commonly cooked with a microwave oven. By analyzing 180 features that contain the information of heating-time difference, we attain the average recognition accuracy of 82.3%. Using 138 features excluding the heating-time difference information, the average recognition accuracy is 56.2%. The recognition accuracy under different conditions is also investigated, for instance, utilizing different microwave ovens, different distances between the microwave oven and the USRP as well as different data down-sampling rates. Finally, a food recognition application is implemented to demonstrate our method.
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1. Introduction

Food recognition has been an important topic that researchers in related fields focus on. According to the statistic published by the World Health Organization (WHO), in 2008, more than 1.4 billion adults, 20’s and older, were overweight. Over 200 million men and nearly 300 million women were obese [1]. Thus food recognition contributes in dietary monitoring and logging, helping to control the obesity problem. Methods have been proposed for food recognition by other researchers, such as recognizing food images and monitoring the chewing sound. These methods call for users to submit a picture of the foods or wear a device when having meals. The extra efforts asked from users might introduce usage burden or complexity though we should admit the ubiquity of previous methods. We propose to exploit the features of food-cooking facilities to recognize the foods automatically as a complementary method cooperating with previous methods. The solution we propose is to monitor the feature changing of the power leakage from the microwave oven when different categories of food are cooked within.
material dielectric features of different categories of food.

\[ P = 0.556 \times 10^{-12} \times e_i \times \tan \delta \times f \times E^2 \]  

(1)

We clarify the contribution of this paper as follows: In this paper we demonstrate a food recognition system that recognizes foods via monitoring the power leakage from the microwave oven using USRP. This method is a complementary method to the existing food recognition methods using image processing and acoustic sound monitoring. Nevertheless, our system requires less user effort than the former two methods. We make use of the fact that for different kinds of food with different material characteristics (such as moisture content, configuration, and so forth), the food states are different during the heating, which causes the power leakage of the microwave oven to vary. We extract features from measured RSSI to distinguish the power leakage of the microwave oven when heating different kinds of food. As well, we also illustrate the validity of the proposed system by investigating the recognition accuracy under different recognition conditions, such as different recognition distances, different data down sampling frequencies, using different ovens and foods that are made by different manufacturers.

2. Related Works

The food recognition for dietary logging and monitoring has been focused on by researchers and two main categories of recognition methods based on two different schemes have been proposed. Solving recognition problems as image categorization or classification problems is the most popular method. The “Food-log” system based on cell phone camera function was proposed by Kitamura et al., and according to [6], [7], [8], the system extracts the features of food color, circle edge and SIFT feature from food images taken by user via cell phone and uploaded to an online system, attaining the accuracy of 91.8% for food-non-food recognition and the accuracy of 38.2% of food balance estimator of 5 food categories. In [9], [10], the authors selected color, texture, gradient, and SIFT features to do training with a separate classifier for each feature. Finally, all the classifiers are weighted combined with the multiple kernel learning method, and the recognition accuracy of 61.3% and 62.5% is achieved for 50 and 85 categories of Japanese foods using 9 and 17 features. And in [11], the authors utilized the pairwise statistics between local features computed over pixel-level segmentations into eight ingredient types and acquired the recognition accuracy of 28.2% with 61 food categories and 78.0% with 7 food categories. Other food recognition methods using wearable devices to recognize and record the food intake have also been proposed. P. Sebastian et al. proposed a food intake recognition method via investigating acoustics of chewing different kinds of food [12]. Actually, the research on the power leakage of the microwave oven has been conducted on energy harvesting [3] and WLAN network communication quality [13]. In this paper, we explore the usage of microwave oven leakage for food recognition.

We intend to compare our proposed method with the two primary categories of methods above, so as to elucidate the contribution of our proposal. As shown in Table 1, we set up parameters to conduct the comparison. “Usage Ubiquity” means the usage of the method is pervasive in daily life or not. “Information” means that the recognition scheme (image, acoustic sound and microwave oven leakage) contains much or less information corresponding to the food itself. “Automatic” means that the method can recognize and record food categories automatically or not, or the method needs much or less user effort. “Accuracy” stands for the recognition accuracy. The last parameter “Deployment Cost” means the expense or cost of the recognition system or device.

According to the comparison result in Table 1, we should note that the proposed food recognition method based on the microwave oven leakage is appealing in the aspect of auto-log (low users effort demand) compared to the other two methods. However, due to the limitation of other comparison parameters, we place our proposed method as a complementary method co-working with the image processing and wearable device based food recognition methods.

3. Recognition Scheme

In this section, we illustrate the recognition scheme of proposed method. We first describe the system configuration. Then we list the detail information of the food categories in our recognition experiment. Finally we will go into data measurement and down sampling before feature extraction. The workflow block diagram of proposed recognition system is illustrated in Figure 3. In this section, we mainly concentrate on the first three of all five steps.

3.1 System Configuration

In order to investigate how the distance between the microwave oven and the USRP (which we call “recognition distance”) affects the recognition result, we take the recognition distance into consideration as one of the parameters. We investigate three recogni-

---

**Table 1** Comparison among food recognition methods. G stands for good and N stands for not as good as others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>Usage Ubiquity</th>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Automatic</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Deployment Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Image Processing</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wearable Device</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave oven Leakage</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 3** The workflow block diagram of the proposed recognition system.
### 3.2 Food Category
We select 18 categories of food that is usually sold at grocery stores. The detail information about the 18 categories of food is listed in Table 2. The “Time” column in Table 2 stands for the heating-time of each kind of food. We should note that all food categories we select are off-the-shelf products from food manufacturers, which are normally heated in packet as they are. Because different kinds of food are packed with different net weights, the weights of different food are different in Table 2. For each category of food, we heat ten packages with the same net weight and manufacturer. In other words, raw data measurement is repeated 10 times for each kind of food. Thus we utilize 180 data sets to conduct recognition.

### 3.3 Data Down Sampling
As we show in Figure 3, after measuring raw data (signal) via the USRP, we proceed data down sampling before we extract features from raw data and conduct recognition. The measured RSSI data has been down sampled within the time length within the range of 40-310 s (according to Table 2), although the raw data have already been down sampled.
to the frequency of 312 kHz with the USRP, it is still too large for normal PC to process and recognize. Thus we down sample the data again after being down sampled by the USRP before extracting features. However, the down sampling frequency also has an impact on recognition accuracy because different amount of information will be lost or filtered when different down sample frequencies are adopted. In order to investigate how down sampling frequency affects recognition accuracy, we adopt four down sampling frequencies, 500 Hz, 1 kHz, 2 kHz and 5 kHz respectively.

We show the raw data with the recognition distance of 0.3 m and the down sampling frequency of 2 kHz in Figure 8. Three main feature aspects are marked with number in Figure 8, which we illustrate in the following list.

1. Average RSSI level. The average RSSI level of French fries is higher than that of pizza according to Figure 8. There is a similar average level gap between other different categories of food. We can extract features such as mean, max, min, median, etc. to evaluate such difference between food categories.

2. Fluctuation. The fluctuation feature such as the amplitude of French fries is higher than that of pizza according to Figure 8. We can extract other features such as range, standard deviation, etc. to evaluate the such difference between food categories.

3. Turning cycle. We note that the raw data for all 18 categories of food is varied with a time cycle of approximately 12 s. Besides, the 12-second time cycle is the turning cycle of the turning-plate inside the microwave oven.

To sum up, these three aspects are the main root from which we can draw out more specific features for recognition.

### 4. Feature Extraction and Optimization

In this section, we introduce the features we extract to conduct recognition. We have summarized three main aspects of features from the raw data of different kinds of food in the previous section. We first extract specific features from the three aspects above. Then we conduct feature optimization via evaluating the importance of each feature and the relationship between recognition accuracy and the amount of adopted features. The content of this section includes the last two steps in Figure 3.

#### 4.1 Feature Extraction

In order to make use of the first two feature aspects, which are average RSSI level and fluctuation, we select 46 features as we demonstrate in Table 3. The $x_1, x_2 \ldots x_n$ stands for the value of raw data at each sampling point. And the $y_2, y_3 \ldots y_k$ stands for the step difference of the $x$ array. For instance, $y_2$ equals to $x_2 - x_1$. Furthermore, we exploit the third feature aspect, which is 12-second cycle of raw data. As we can see from Figure 7, the spectrogram of microwave leakage varies for different foods along with the heating time. In Figure 7, we show the spectrogram of water and French fries during different heating-time slots. Considering the 12-second turning cycle (for all 18 kinds of food) of the turning plate in the microwave oven, we make use of this common turning cycle of all kinds of food (12 s) to divide the time-varying raw data into data frames with the time length of 12 s. Considering the heating-time length in Table 2 (the heating-time of corn dog is the shortest, which is 40 s), we utilize the first three data frames (time: 1-12 s, 13-24 s, 25-36 s) for all 18 kinds of food as shown in Figure 9. We extract features in Table 3 from the all-time-length data, the first frame raw data (1-12 s), the second frame raw data (13-24 s) and the third frame raw data (25-36 s) (thus we extract totally 184 features = 46 features × 4) and conduct recognition.

We utilize all features in Table 3 to the raw data under all recognition conditions (recognition distances and down sampling frequencies). Machine learning software WEKA (Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis) is applied to conduct recognition [16], [17]. We select Attribute Selected Classifier combined with Simple Logistic to conduct recognition. We also utilize Rand Search as search method to acquire the importance ranking of all features. 10-fold cross-validation is used to evaluate the feature data. The recognition accuracy is specified as the percent of correctly classified sample numbers out of all 180 samples (data sets) utilized for recognition. We present the recognition accuracy result using the feature extraction above (184 features) in Table 3.
4. We show the confusion matrix with 5-meter recognition distance and 2-kHz down sampling frequency (recognition accuracy of 84.4%) in Table 5. We should mention the following findings from Table 4:

- The recognition accuracy shows an increasing trend with the same recognition distance as we increase the data down sampling frequency.
- With the same down sampling frequency, the recognition accuracy does not decrease while the recognition distance increases, but maintains at the same level.
- The average recognition accuracy under all recognition conditions (recognition distance & down sampling frequency) is 82.3%, which is comparable with other related work.

For the findings above, we clarify the facts that: first, with lower down sampling frequency, more information is lost from the original raw data during down sampling. Thus the recognition accuracy is lower than that of high down sampling frequency. Second, for some features extracted from all-time-length raw data, the heating time length information is contained within such features. We take Feature 24 in Table 3 for example, which is the average of step difference. For all-time-length raw data, the Feature 24 is calculated as shown in Equation 2.

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_1) + (x_i - x_1) + ... (x_i - x_{n-1}) = \frac{x_n - x_1}{n} \quad (2)
\]

As we can see from Equation 2, the heating-time length (proportional to \(n\) with certain down sampling frequency) determines the Feature 24 because the difference of the \(x_n - x_1\) among all 18 kinds of food is negligible compared to the difference of \(n\) among different varieties of food. Because of the features such as the average of step difference which are elicited from all-time-length raw data (the heating-time duration of different foods is mostly different according to Table 2), the recognition accuracy remains while we increase the recognition distance. Such features enhance the robustness of proposed recognition scheme against the effect of recognition distance.

However, heating-time length information might not be suitable to be used as features in some other application scenarios. For instance, users do not care about the detail heating time length recommended by the manufacturer of the frozen food product, or users heat home-made foods instead of the off-the-shelf products by food manufacturers. In such cases, the heating-time length is not fixed for certain kinds of food. As well, using the analog turning-button to set up heating-time instead of using digital press-button also makes the heating-time for the same food vary. We should note that even for different kinds of food, heating-time can still be the same not only because of user’s personal intention but also the manufacturers’ recommendation. In all cases we have listed above, heating-time length information is not suitable to be used as recognition feature, which has been shown in Table 5 that cream stew and curry sauce is partially mixed because they are heated with the same heating-time length (100 s) according to Table 2.

In order to exclude the impact of different heating-time duration of different kinds of food, we conduct recognition using 46 features in Table 3 extracted from only the first three frames as pictured in Figure 9. For each of the three frames of any food category in Table 2, the heating time length is the same, which is 12 s. Therefore we utilize totally 138 features extracted from the three frames of raw data. The recognition accuracy with different recognition distances and down sampling frequencies is shown in Table 6. The average recognition accuracy with different recognition distances and down sampling frequencies is about 56.2%. As we have excluded the effect of different heating-time length during feature selection, the results in Table 6 also show that the distance increasing from 0.3 m to 10 m does not impose a negative impact on recognition accuracy of the proposed scheme. We read the confusion matrix with 5-meter recognition distance and 2-kHz down sampling frequency (recognition accuracy of 60.0%) in Table 7.

### 4.2 Feature Optimization

Utilizing the features that include (when using 184 features) or...
exclude (when using 138 features) the difference of heating-time duration of different kinds of food, we acquire the recognition accuracy as presented in Table 4 and 6. We now concentrate on the importance of each feature and the relationship between the feature amount and recognition accuracy.

As for the case utilizing 184 features, we show the five most important features with different recognition distances and down sampling frequencies in Table 8. The feature number is corresponding to the number in Table 3. The feature number with the suffix [0-12] stands for the feature extracted from the first raw data frame while the suffix [12-24] and [24-36] stand for the features of the second and third frames respectively. The feature number with no suffix stands for the feature of all-time-length raw data. The importance rank is according to the Rank Search method of WEKA.

As shown in Table 8, we can observe that all top 5 features for different recognition distances and down sampling frequencies are 1) the features extracted from all-time-length raw data and 2) step difference features that include heating-time length information. We can resolve that the features related to the heating-time length difference of different kinds of food are the most robust features for recognition while all 184 features are utilized. The more directly the feature is determined by heating-time length difference, the more important the feature is for recognition.

We select the recognition condition of 5-meter recognition distance and 2-kHz down sampling frequency to investigate the relationship between the feature amount and recognition accuracy as demonstrated in Figure 10. The reason why we select 5-meter distance is that this distance is the most similar to the real size of a normal room of people’s home among all three recognition distances (0.3 m, 5 m and 10 m). And with the 2-kHz down sampling frequency we acquired the highest recognition accuracy at 5-meter distance with less amount of data, compared to 5-kHz down sampling frequency according to Table 4. As shown in

Table 5  Confusion matrix with all 184 features, 5-meter recognition distance and 2-kHz down sampling frequency.

| All values in % | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k | l | m | n | o | p | q | r |
| a: Corn dog     | 100|0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b: Cream stew   | 0  | 60| 30| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c: Curry sauce  | 0  | 30| 60| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d: Dumpling     | 0  | 0  | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e: French fries | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f: Fried rice   | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| g: Okonomiyaki | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| h: Fried chicken| 0  | 0  | 0 | 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| i: Spaghetti    | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| j: Pizza       | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| k: Porridge    | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| l: Rice        | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| m: Rice ball   | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| n: Stumai      | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| o: Taiyaki     | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 | 0 |
| p: Octopus dumplings | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10| 0 |
| q: Water       | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80| 10 |

Table 8  Top 5 features among 184 features with different recognition distances and down sampling frequencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D vs F</th>
<th>500 Hz</th>
<th>1 kHz</th>
<th>2 kHz</th>
<th>5 kHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3 m</td>
<td>24,30,34,39,36</td>
<td>23,40,34,36,39,36</td>
<td>29,28,29</td>
<td>29,28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 m</td>
<td>24,30,34,39,36</td>
<td>23,40,34,36,39,36</td>
<td>29,28,29</td>
<td>29,28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m</td>
<td>24,30,34,39,36</td>
<td>23,40,34,36,39,36</td>
<td>29,28,29</td>
<td>29,28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 10, with top ten features, wholly of which contains the information of the heating-time length difference among different foods, the recognition accuracy increases from 84.44% to 88.30%. This result shows that the total heating-time difference among different kinds of food is decisive if we can use this difference as feature for recognition.

We also investigate the top 5 features while 138 features are utilized for recognition with different recognition distances and down sampling frequencies as indicated in Table 9. The feature number is the same with Table 3 and the suffix is also the same as we illustrated. As we can see from Table 9, most of the top 5 important features are among Feature 1-23, which is different with the results in Table 8. This result shows that without the all-time-length raw data, Feature 24-46 do not contain the difference of the heating-time length among different kinds of food anymore, which makes them not as important as in Table 8. The features as average (Feature 1), median (Feature 11), etc. become the most important features according to Table 9.

Again we look into the relationship between the top feature amount and recognition accuracy for the condition with totally 138 features, 5-meter recognition distance and 2-kHz down sampling frequency. The solution is depicted in Figure 12.

5. Discussion

In this section, we discuss about the ubiquity of the proposed food recognition scheme. We expand our previous experiment to the situation that we utilize other microwave ovens or foods that are produced by other manufacturers. As well, we also conduct discussion on recognizing foods with different weights and replacing USRP in our scheme with Wi-Fi access point so as to expand the utility range of our system to daily household usage.

5.1 Using Other Microwave Oven

As we mentioned, we utilized the microwave oven, National NE-EZ2, to conduct previous data measurement and food recognition. We also utilized other microwave oven (Panasonic NE-EH225) to conduct data measurement, processing and recognition with recognition distance of 5 m and down sampling frequency of 5 kHz. As for the difference between National NE-EZ2 and Panasonic NE-EH225, we show the spectrogram of two microwave ovens working in Figure 11, which is measured by spectrum-analyzing function of USRP at the distance of 30 cm from the door of the microwave oven. As we can see from Figure 11, the spectrum range of the leakage from the NE-EH225 is wider than that of the NE-EZ2 while the microwave oven is working.

We select five kinds of food from Table 2 (curry sauce, dumpling, pizza, rice and water) and use NE-EH225 to heat them with 5 m recognition distance and 2 kHz down sampling frequency. For each food, we collect five sets of new raw data (by NE-EH225) and replace five sets of original raw data (by NE-EZ2) out of the total ten sets. We conduct recognition with the same classifier of WEKA and 184 features, acquiring the recognition accuracy of 83.3%. With 138 features we achieve the recognition accuracy of 60.6%. As for utilizing the proposed recognition scheme with other microwave ovens, we suggest users provide the learning data after using the new oven for a certain period of time (a few months or so). With the new learning data, the proposed scheme can work with the new oven.

5.2 Using Foods by Other Manufacturers

As well as microwave ovens of other pattern, we also recognize food that is produced by other manufacturers. We chose 5 categories of food within original 18 categories and make the substitution. We list the five categories of food in Table 10. Similar to recognition with other microwave oven, we replace five sets of original raw data of these five-kind food (by manufacturers in Table 2) with the new data (by manufacturers in Table 10). The recognition distance is 5 m and down sampling frequency is 2 kHz. We first utilize 184 features that contain dif-
Table 10 Five categories of food that are produced by other manufacturers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Brand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>French fries</td>
<td>LAWSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fried rice</td>
<td>LAWSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Siu mai</td>
<td>AjiNoMoto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Octopus dumpling</td>
<td>LAWSON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fried chicken</td>
<td>LAWSON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Using Foods of Different Weights

For a certain category of food, people may heat it with different weights or quantities. We can expect two main differences from our previous experiment. First, the heating-time length will change for a certain kind of food. Second, the general RSSI level will vary because the power absorbed by the food is proportional to the food quantity. At this time, the heating-time difference should not be utilized as a feature to recognize food categories but to recognize the food quantity with the food category already known. Also the features containing the information of general RSSI level should be utilized in the same way. Thus we can recognize the food weight in two steps, which will be one of the main focuses in our future work.

1. Utilize the features that do not contain the information of the heating-time difference or the general RSSI level to recognize the food category.
2. Utilize the features that contain the information of the heating-time difference or the general RSSI level to recognize the food weight.

Although our system can not recognize the nutrition intake currently, we should note that our system can help analyzing the users’ dietary habit or tendency (favorite foods and meal time), which not only can aid people to defeat the obesity problem as an auto food logging or monitoring system but also can provide customers’ preference information for the food manufacturers.

5.4 Replacing USRP with Wi-Fi Access Point

In our current food recognition scheme, USRP is utilized as a low-cost spectrum analyzer to monitor the time-varying power leakage from the microwave oven. According to the RSSI measurement result, we can conclude that the leakage strength level differs among different kinds of food. As well, the power leakage from the microwave oven @ 2.45 GHz interferes the WLAN communication at the same frequency, taking Wi-Fi as an exam-
ple. Thus we can infer that different leakage strength by heating different kinds of food causes varying degrees of interference to the Wi-Fi communication, which can be detected by a Wi-Fi access point. As a result, the USRP in the recognition system can be replaced by a normal Wi-Fi access point. The interference features detected by the Wi-Fi access point can be utilized to recognize food categories. This will reduce the deployment cost of our system because almost all households have a Wi-Fi access point such as a Wi-Fi router at present time. And this replacement will also be one of the focuses in our future work.

6. Implementation

We now illustrate the implemented demo of our proposed recognition scheme. We have taken the video of the demo (uploaded as supporting document) showing how the demo works and successfully recognizes three kinds of food in Table 2 as examples, which are water, French fries and pizza. In the demo system, the USRP is deployed 5 m away from the microwave oven. When the user switches on the recognition system, the system starts working and keeps monitoring the RSSI @ 2.45 GHz. When the microwave oven starts to heat the food, the system detects the RSSI increase caused by the power leakage of the microwave oven and records the time-varying RSSI data until the RSSI level returns to normal value (after the heating stops). Then the system extracts 184 features from recorded RSSI data and utilizes the features as test data to recognize. The training data that we use are the features of 18 categories of food (ten sets data for each food), which has been stored in the laptop beforehand. We show the working flow block diagram in Figure 13. We have also designed application UI for users to control the recognition system and showing the recognition results as shown in Figure 14.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a food recognizing system via monitoring the power leakage from the microwave oven using the universal software radio peripheral. This system exploits the difference of the power leakage from the microwave oven caused by heating different kinds of food to conduct recognition. 18 categories of food have been recognized with average recognition accuracy of 82.3% using 184 features that contain the information of heating-time difference of different kinds of food, while the average recognition accuracy is 56.2% using 138 features excluding the information of the heating-time difference among food categories. The parameters such as recognition distance (between the USRP and the microwave oven), data down sampling frequency have also been investigated by conducting recognition with the combination of three recognition distances (0.3 m, 5 m, 10 m) and four down sampling frequencies (500 Hz, 1 kHz, 2 kHz, and 5 kHz). In order to expand ubiquity of the proposed system, we also illustrated the performance of the system using different patterns of microwave oven and the foods within the 18 categories but produced by other manufacturers. Finally, we implemented a demo system, including control program and user interface to demonstrate our work.
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