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Abstract: The number of electronic control units (ECUs) has increased to manage complicated vehicle systems. Many kinds of operating systems that run on ECUs exist: ITRON OS, OSEK OS, and so forth. Currently, developers implement the system control software according to the ITRON and OSEK specifications independently. For example, even though OSes provide similar functionalities, OSEK specifications have several differences from ITRON specifications such as scheduling policies (Non-preemptive scheduling), alarms, hook routines, and several system calls. Thus, when using legacy software following OSEK specifications on the ITRON OS, developers have to port the software to ITRON OS. This paper presents a component-based framework to fill the gap between OSEK and ITRON specifications by using TECS (TOPPERS Embedded Component System). The work required to port legacy OSEK applications built with TECS components to ITRON applications built for TECS is reduced by using our method. TECS is a high-level abstraction component system for enhancing the reusability of software. Examples for the characteristics of the framework are: (1) Non-preemptive scheduling tasks are implemented by changing the priority of the task to the highest priority; (2) The system works as the OSEK alarm based on a counter value, which is incremented at an arbitrary time interval; (3) OSEK hook routines are also available with a particular timing. Experimental results demonstrate that the overhead of the corresponding system calls compared to the original OSEK system calls is reduced to within 13.58μsec.
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1. Introduction

Recently, a number of automobiles systems have changed from mechanical to electronic control systems. For example, engine control and injection systems were used to pump fuel into the cylinders, while electronically-controlled fuel injection is now used to make this process efficient. In addition, hybrid cars and battery-powered cars are becoming prevalent, which has increased the rate of computerization of automobiles. As a result, automobile systems have become more complicated and larger in scale, as evidenced by the code used in such automobiles; for example, for Volt, manufactured by General Motors, the lines of code increased from 2.4 million to 6 million between 2005 and 2009 [1]; as of late, it has further increased to approximately 10 million [2].

To manage the complicated automobile systems, various operating systems [3] such as ITRON [4], OSEK [5] and AUTOSAR OS [6] are used. Among them, the ITRON specifications, which include automotive control profiles, are now the de-facto standard for embedded systems in Japan [8]. On the other hand, OSEK OS and AUTOSAR OS are the architecture of choice for distributed control units in the automotive industry that enable more efficient development using a standardized software interface.

Currently, complicated automobiles are composed of a number of ECUs, each of which may use a different OS. In other words, multiple types of OSes are used (e.g., ITRON OS and OSEK OS) in a single automobile. Then, developers have to implement the system control software following the ITRON specifications and OSEK specifications independently because these OSes provide similar functionalities, but also have several differences, such as scheduling policy, counters, alarms, resources, events, hook routines, and system calls [9]. In the worst case, the software requires substantial changes, forcing developers to redesign it almost from the scratch. Generally, it is difficult and time-consuming to port software on a different OS because the software needs substantial changes, e.g., operable system calls, design of tasks in the application and implementation methods – this requires great design cost.

To enhance the reusability of software, component-based development is getting attention in the area of embedded software development. Various component systems for embedded systems have been proposed such as Koala [10], THINK [11], SaveCCM [12], and TECS [13], [14]. Use of such component systems is one of the ways to develop embedded system effi-
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ciently by dividing the software into sub-systems. This can increase software reusability and clarify architectures.

This paper presents a component-based framework which provides the functionalities of the OSEK specifications using TECS on ITRON OS. We aim to reduce work by reusing legacy OSEK software on the ITRON OS as the first step. This framework supports unsupported OSEK functionalities which do not have corresponding functionalities in ITRON OS. The unsupported OSEK functionalities are provided by preparing components and/or functions with ITRON functionalities and system calls on ITRON OS. In addition, TECS also exploits the aforementioned advantages of component systems such as reusability. Therefore, the proposed framework can reduce the porting cost of applications that run on the different OSes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews related work, and TECS is explained in Section 3. Section 4 defines problems of our work. Requirements, design, and overview of the proposed framework are presented in Sections 5–7, respectively. Examples are presented in Sections 8. Then, the proposed framework is evaluated in Section 9, and finally Section 10 summarizes this paper.

2. Related Work

Component-based development has started to be widely used in embedded software development as follows; THINK [11], SaveCCM [12], [15], and SmartC [16].

THINK [11] is a software framework for implementing operating system kernels from components. The THINK software framework is constructed with a small set of concepts; components, interfaces, bindings, names, and domains.

SaveCCM [12], [15] is a component model used for embedded control applications in vehicular systems. In an effort to facilitate analysis, SaveCCM has limited flexibility in particular analysis of dependability and real-time.

SmartC [16] is a language for automotive electronics applications, such as engine control systems. SmartC has module level, task level, subtask level, and component level hierarchical models and implements the SmartOSEK [17] operating system, which is based on the OSEK specifications model.

However, these component technologies target only one OS and do not support the reuse of components from another OS.

Recently, the number of electronic control units in automotive control systems has been increasing because advanced electronic control functions requirements are becoming more complex. Several approaches have been proposed for the integration of ECUs into a single high-performance ECU and the unification of applications thereon in order to reduce the number of ECUs. DUOS [18] is a new real-time operating system framework for this purpose. The framework has a hierarchical scheduler and API layers for ITRON and OSEK specifications. This OS has APIs based on ITRON and OSEK specifications, although the hardware requires high performance and the kernel size is larger than the OS.

eCos [19] and Xenomai [20] are providing APIs of other OSes. In the framework, wrappers are used to provide APIs of other OSes. However, the common existing OSes for automobiles cannot be used for the OSes.

3. TOPPERS Embedded Component System

In this section, the specifications of TECS are described.

3.1 TECS Component Model

A cell is a component in TECS, and has entry port and call port interfaces. The entry port is an interface to provide functionalities to other cells. The call port is an interface to use the functionalities of other cells. In this environment, a cell communicates with these interfaces. The entry port and the call port have service sets. A signature is the definition of the interfaces in a cell. The celltype define cells, like an object-oriented language Class. A cell is an entity of a cell type. Figure 1 shows an example of the connection of cells. TECS component model is explained in detail in Ref. [21].

There are two cells; the left cell is an A cell and the right cell is a B cell. Here, tA and tB represent the celltype names. The triangle in the B cell depicts an entry port (eEntryPort). The connection of the entry port in the A cell describes a call port (cCallPort). A call port can be only connected to an entry port. Therefore, in case of joining several entry ports, a call port array can be used. An entry port also can be connected to several call ports. These ports are connected by the signature description to define a set of function heads.

3.2 Development Flow

Figure 2 shows the proposed development flow in TECS. TECS CDL*6 is constructed with three descriptions; signature description, celltype description and build description.

The signature description is used to define a set of function

---

**Fig. 1** Example of cells.

**Fig. 2** Development flow in TECS.

---

*6 Component Description Language
heads. The celltype description is used to define the entry ports, call ports, attributes, and variables of a celltype. The build description is used to declare the cells and create the connections between cells in order to assemble an application. A TECS generator generates several interface C- (.h or .c) and template code for the component source from the signature, celltype, and build descriptions. In case of using the OSEK OS, OIL descriptions are also generated from the TECS CDL.

Developers in this framework are divided into three groups; a component designer, component developer and an application developer. The component designer defines the signatures and celltypes. The component developer implements celltype descriptions as well as write the implementation code (Component Source) of the cells by using template code which is generated from TECS CDL description with the TECS generator. Generally, a component is provided by the source code. After the celltype code is developed, the application developer implements the build description by connecting the cells. An appropriate application is composed of generated code (header and interface code) and the celltype code.

4. Problem Definition

There are many kinds of operating systems that run on ECUs; ITRON OS, OSEK OS, AUTOSAR OS, and so forth. Therefore, developers have to implement applications following these specifications individually. In such situations, developers need to port legacy applications on the other OS. For instance, even though OSEks provide similar functionalities, some unsupported OSEK functionalities are exist on the ITRON OS such as scheduling policies, alarms, hook routines, and several system calls. A list of unsupported OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS is:

- **Scheduling Policy**
  - OSEK specifications has Non-preemptive Scheduling and Mixed-preemptive Scheduling. However, these scheduling policies are not supported on ITRON specifications.

- **Counter**
  - The OSEK counter is a time count value which is incremented at arbitrary time intervals by the user. However, ITRON specifications do not have a similar time count value.

- **Alarm**
  - The OSEK alarm works with an arbitrary time based on the time count value. Furthermore, the alarm will perform actions at arbitrary time intervals as specified by the user. An action is chosen from three functions; calling an alarm call-back routine, activating a task, or setting an event. However, the OSEK alarm is different from ITRON specifications.

- **Resource**
  - The OSEK resource changes its own task priority and/or the ISR priority to a ceiling priority. The ceiling priority is the highest priority in a group of tasks and ISR, which belonging to the resource, when the task or ISR receive the resource. However, the OSEK resource is not supported on ITRON specifications.

**Event**

The OSEK event of the SetEvent and GetEvent is called with the task ID, but set_flg and ref_flg on the ITRON specifications are called using the flag ID.

**Application Mode**

The OSEK application mode provides a function to change the set of tasks and alarms, which are automatically started after the OS is started. However, the OSEK application mode is not supported on ITRON specifications.

**Hook**

Four of the OSEK hook routines correspond to the component level; StartupHook, ShutdownHook, PreTaskHook, and PostTaskHook. However, the OSEK hook routines are not supported on ITRON specifications.

**System Calls**

Some of the OSEK system calls are not supported on the ITRON specifications. The corresponding OSEK System Calls on ITRON specifications is shown in Table 1. For example, SetEvent and GetEvent are different because the system calls need to specify the task ID on OSEK specifications. The circle symbol indicates that the system call of the ITRON OS has correspondence to the system call of OSEK OS. The cross symbol indicates that the system call has restrictions.

Hence, it is generally difficult to change applications running on a different OS because the applications needs to substantially change as follows; difference in the operable system calls, design of tasks in the applications and implementation methods. In the worst case, the applications need substantial changes and developers need to redesign the applications. As a consequence, the amount of work and the cost will be increased.

5. Requirement

Requirements to provide functionalities of the OSEK specifications on the ITRON OS are listed as below.

1. Providing the corresponding OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS
   - It is hard to port legacy OSEK applications on the ITRON OS because of the unsupported OSEK functionalities which do not have correspondence in ITRON specifications. Therefore a developer has to consider correspondence of the unsupported OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS. As a consequence, the unsupported OSEK functionalities are needed to provide the corresponding OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS.

2. The existing ITRON OS is used without modification
   - Developers must verify the ITRON OS again if the existing ITRON OS is changed to provide the unsupported OSEK functionalities. In addition, developers have to change the existing ITRON OS each time and the work will be increased. Therefore, the OSEK functionalities are provided on the existing ITRON OS without modification.

---

* OSEK Implementation Language
* Interrupt Service Routine

---

* Hook routines execute user-defined actions at the specific time such as before execution of a task or after execution of a task.
* ClearEven and WaitEvent are categorized in the supported system call because these system calls do not need to specify Task ID.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>ISR category 1</th>
<th>ISR category 2</th>
<th>ErrorHook</th>
<th>PreTaskHook</th>
<th>PostTaskHook</th>
<th>StartupHook</th>
<th>ShutdownHook</th>
<th>alarmcallback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActivateTask</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChainTask</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetTaskID</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetTaskState</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendOSInterrupts</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReleaseResource</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClearEvent</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetEvent</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaitEvent</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetAlarmBase</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetAlarm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetRelAlarm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetAbsAlarm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CancelAlarm</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetActiveApplicationMode</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

○ indicates that the system call has one-to-one correspondence to the system call.
× indicates that the achieved system call has restrictions.

(3) The applications do not need substantial changes. Developers need to redesign the applications if the existing applications need to substantially change in order to provide the unsupported OSEK functionalities. Therefore, we need to provide the unsupported OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS as much as possible to reduce the work required.

6. Policy for Design about Component

The purpose of our research is to reduce the amount of work by providing OSEK functionalities on the targeted ITRON OS. Hence, OSEK functionalities are provided as components without changes to the ITRON OS. For instance, “ChainTask” of the OSEK system call is replaced with the prepared function in the signature description. In the function, “act_tsk” of the ITRON system call is called and then “ext_tsk” of the ITRON system call is called to provide the same functionalities as “ChainTask.”

The OSEK functional specifications are provided by adding new components to the existing ITRON OS with TECS components in Fig. 3. The application is running on TECS components for OSEK functionalities. TECS components use the ITRON OS via the TECS components for the ITRON OS and SIL. SIL is the System Interface Layer for enhancing driver portability such as SIL\_UNL\_INT\footnote{SIL\_UNL\_INT resets a flag to enable all interrupts.} and SIL\_LOC\_INT\footnote{SIL\_LOC\_INT sets a flag to disables all interrupts except for non-maskable interrupts.}.

We classified the implemented new components into two groups: supported functionalities and unsupported functionalities. The supported functionalities are the OSEK functionalities which have the corresponding functionalities on the ITRON OS. The unsupported functionalities are not the OSEK functionalities which do not have corresponding functionalities. The unsupported OSEK functionalities are provided by preparing components or functions with functionalities and system calls on the ITRON OS. For instance, non-preemptive scheduling tasks are implemented by changing the priority of the task to the highest priority.

The components for providing the OSEK functionalities which the ITRON OS does not have are implemented by the component designer as the signature description and the celltype description. The application developer implements an appropriate application by connecting the new cell in the build description based on the component diagram. Finally, the component developer implements the source by using ITRON functionalities.

In the development of the OSEK OS with TECS, the OIL description is generated from TECS CDL. Therefore, developer does not need to take into consideration the OIL description. In
7. Overview of Proposed Framework

We apply TOPPERS/ATK1 [22] (Automotive Kernel 1) functionalities to TOPPERS/ASP [23] (hereinafter referred to as the ASP kernel) with TECS [24]. TOPPERS/ATK1 is a TOPPERS OS kernel profile and compliant with OSEK specifications, and TOPPERS/ASP is a TOPPERS OS kernel profile that is compliant with the ITRON OS. TECS is a high-level abstraction component system, as mentioned above.

7.1 Supported Functionalities

The supported functionalities are the OSEK functionalities which have the corresponding functionalities on the ITRON OS. The correspondences between OSEK and ITRON functionalities are provided below.

7.1.1 Conformance Classes

The conformance classes on OSEK specifications are BCC1, BCC2, ECC1, or ECC2. The conformance class of ATK1 is ECC2 and ASP corresponds to ECC2 according to OSEK specifications. Therefore, the corresponding conformance classes between ASP and ATK1’s conformance class are the same.

7.1.2 Task States

Correspondence task states are shown in Table 2. Each task state on the ITRON specifications corresponds to the task state on the OSEK specifications. The ITRON task state of BLOCKED is classified into three sub-states; WAITING, SUSPENDED, and WAITING-SUSPENDED. In the case of the OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS, the difference is not a problem. Therefore, the OSEK state of waiting corresponds to the ITRON task state BLOCKED.

7.1.3 System Calls

The corresponding system calls are shown in Table 3. The system calls have a one-to-one correspondence between OSEK system calls and ITRON system calls. Thus, these ITRON system calls are used in place of the OSEK system calls.

7.2 Unsupported Functionalities

The OSEK functionalities which are not supported by the ITRON OS provided by preparing components and/or functions with functionalities and system calls on the ITRON OS. A list of the unsupported OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS is summarized below.

7.2.1 Non-preemptive Scheduling

The OSEK task has three scheduling policies; full preemptive scheduling, non-preemptive scheduling, and mixed preemptive scheduling. The ITRON scheduling policy corresponds to full preemptive scheduling. In addition, if preemptive and non-preemptive tasks are mixed on the same system, the context is referred to as mixed preemptive scheduling. Therefore, we accommodated non-preemptive scheduling by changing the priority of the task. Before a new task is executed, a system call is invoked to change the priority of the task that has the highest priority.

7.2.2 Counter

A component that is activated by the cyclic handler is used to count time at arbitrary time intervals. Therefore, the period in the cyclic handler is an arbitrary time span set by the user. Accordingly, the time count in the component is incremented at arbitrary time intervals set by the user to provide the function of the OSEK counter on the ITRON OS.

7.2.3 Alarm

The alarm component on the ITRON OS is implemented as follows. An alarm component consists of a counter component to work on the time value in the counter component. An alarm component is called after the time value is incremented in a counter. Moreover, the counter component passes the counter value as an argument.

In the alarm component, the first alarm expiration time and the period are defined by the user. According to the user-defined time interval, the next alarm expiration time is compared to the time value of the counter. If the times are the same, the alarm will execute two processes. In the first process, the alarm expiration time is changed to the next alarm expiration time. The next alarm expiration time is the previous alarm expiration time plus the period.

In the second process, the user-defined action is executed. As mentioned above, the action is the alarm callback routine, an activating task, or setting of an event. Each action is implemented as a component, and one of the components of the action is connected to the alarm component. Therefore, by changing the component connected to the action, the user can select the process of the action.

7.2.4 Resource

We designed a component that will change the original priority of the task to a ceiling priority which is automatically chosen by the TECS generator. If getResource is called, the priority of the task is changed to the ceiling priority. The highest priority among the tasks which use the resource must be automatically chosen as the ceiling priority by the TECS generator.

The original task’s priority is saved in the resource component, in case the resource is nested before the task’s priority is changed. When releaseResource is called, the priority of the task will be the saved priority. In addition, if the resource is a linked resource, the ceiling priority will be compared to the ceiling priority of the linked resource. Therefore, the priority of the task is changed to the higher priority.

In case of internal resources, the task uses the same mechan-
ics as the non-preemptive task, as mentioned in Section 7.2.1, and receives and releases the resource accordingly. In addition, the OSEK resources have RES_SCHEDULER. If a task receives RES_SCHEDULER, the task protects itself against preemptions by the other tasks. The state of receiving RES_SCHEDULER corresponds to transitioning the system state to the dispatching disable state.

The OSEK resource functionality between tasks is provided with the proposed framework, although the proposed framework for the OSEK resource has a restriction between tasks and ISRs, or ISRs and ISRs. The proposed framework for the OSEK resources such avoid the restrictions, which is a resource between tasks and ISRs, or between ISRs and ISRs, as discussed in Section 7.2.9.

7.2.5 Event

We designed a component that will call the Event control system calls through the task component. In this case, an event control task celltype includes two cells; a task cell and an event control body cell. The task cell works as usual.

On the other hand, the event control body cell calls SetEvent or GetEvent through an event control task to specify the event with a task ID.

7.2.6 Application Mode

Instead of the OSEK application mode, a task for the application mode on the ITRON OS is prepared for execution after the initialization process has finished. The components of the task for the application mode are constructed in two parts. In the first component, the component corresponding to an application mode is called. Then, a set of tasks and alarms is activated in the second component. By preparing several patterns of the second components, there are choices regarding the application modes on the ITRON OS.

7.2.7 Hook

A component that provides the StartupHook function is added to the last part of the initialization process. In a similar manner, a component that provides the ShutdownHook function is added to the first part of the termination process. A component that is executed after the OS initialization has finished provides the StartupHook function. A method as mentioned for the ApplicationMode in Section 7.2.6 is used as the StartupHook function.

In the case of PreTaskHook, components that provide user-defined routines before a component of a task are added. The through keyword [25] is then used to insert the component. In the component, the user-defined routines are executed and then the component of the task is executed.

PostTaskHook is implemented by preparing a component. The component is called after a task is executed. In the component for PostTaskHook, the user-defined routines of PostTaskHook is executed and then terminates the task.

7.2.8 System Calls

Some of the OSEK system calls are not supported on the ITRON OS. For example, SetEvent and GetEvent are different because the system calls need to specify the task ID for the OSEK specifications. Therefore, the unsupported OSEK system calls which are shown in Table 1 are supported by preparing functions with system calls on the ITRON OS. The functions are defined in the signature description.

ChainTask

The specified task is activated by calling act.jsk and then ext.jsk to terminate the caller of the task.

Schedule

A task is rescheduled by making a system call, which changes the priority of the task. The priority of the task is changed to the user defined original priority, when Schedule is called. After the other tasks are executed and control returns to the task that made the system call Schedule, the system call changes the priority of the task to the highest priority. Then, the remainder of the task that made the system call Schedule is executed.

DisableAllInterrupts

In this system call, the caller calls SİL_LOC_INT and disables all interrupts if the task does not disable all interrupts by SuspendAllInterrupts and OS interrupts by SuspendOSInterrupts. In addition, this system call does not allow nesting.

EnableAllInterrupts

The caller calls SİL_UNL_INT to enable all interrupts if DisableAllInterrupts is called and all interrupts are disabled.

SuspendAllInterrupts

In this system call, the caller calls SİL_LOC_INT and disables all interrupts if the task does not disable all interrupts by DisableAllInterrupts and OS interrupts with SuspendOSInterrupts. Moreover, this system call allows nesting. Therefore, a variable with which to count the number of nests is prepared.

ResumeAllInterrupts

In the first step, the caller checks the number of nests if SuspendAllInterrupts is called and all interrupts are disabled. In addition, the caller calls SİL_UNL_INT to enable all interrupts if there is no nesting.

SuspendOSInterrupts

In this system call, the caller calls the system call that transmits the CPU locked state. In addition, this system call disables OS interrupts if the task has not disabled all interrupts by DisableAllInterrupts and SuspendAllInterrupts. Moreover, this system call allows nesting. Therefore, a variable with which to count the number of nests is required.

ResumeOSInterrupts

In the first step, the caller checks the number of nests, if SuspendOSInterrupts is called and OS interrupts are disabled. Moreover, the caller calls the system call to transmit the CPU unlocked state to enabled OS interrupts if there is no nesting.

SignalCounter

This system call adds a defined value to the counter value.

GetAlarmBase

This system call returns the user-defined minimum cycle of the alarm, the user-defined cycle of the counter, and maximum value of the counter.

GetAlarm

This system call returns the remainder of the difference between the current counter value and the next alarm expiration time.

*13 All interrupts except for non-kernel interrupts are disabled in the CPU locked state.

*14 All interrupts are enabled in the CPU unlocked state.
SetRelAlarm
This system call sets the next alarm expiration time and alarm cycle if the alarm control flag is false. The value of the next alarm expiration time is specified by the relative time. The alarm control flag is then changed to true.

SetAbsAlarm
This system call sets the next alarm expiration time and alarm cycle if the alarm control flag is false. The value of the next alarm expiration time is specified by the absolute time. The alarm control flag is then changed to true.

CancelAlarm
The alarm control flag is changed to false.

ErrorHook
An error code is passed to the function as an argument. This system call is used for centralized error handling.

GetActiveApplicationMode
This system call returns the application mode, which is set by the application mode mentioned in Section 7.2.6.

GetResource
The priority of the task is changed to a ceiling priority, which is automatically decided by the TECS generator. The original task’s priority is saved in the resource component in case of the nesting of resources, before the task’s priority is changed.

If the resource is a linked resource, the priority is compared to the priorities of the other linked resources. Therefore, the priority of the task is changed to a higher priority.

ReleaseResource
The priority of the task is changed to the saved priority.

GetRES_SCHEDULER
When GetRES_SCHEDULER is called, the caller of the task is transitioning the system state to the dispatching disable state instead of the received RES_SCHEDULER.

ReleaseRES_SCHEDULER
When ReleaseRES_SCHEDULER is called, the caller of the task transitions the system state to the dispatched enable state instead of releasing RES_SCHEDULER.

SetEvent
The set_flg is called via an event control task to specify the event with task ID.

GetEvent
The ref_flg is called via an event control task to specify the event with task ID. The bit pattern of the event flag is saved as flgptn in the argument of the event flag state packet pointer.

8. Example of Proposed Framework
In this section, examples of the proposed framework is described. OSEK functionalities of non-preemptive scheduling and application mode are described as the important unsupported OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS.

8.1 Example of Non-preemptive Scheduling
In this section, an example of non-preemptive scheduling components that provide the unsupported OSEK functionality of non-preemptive scheduling is described.

8.1.1 Mechanism of Non-preemptive Scheduling
Non-preemptive scheduling is accomplished by changing the priority of the task that has the highest priority on the ITRON OS.

An example non-preemptive task flow is shown in Fig. 4. In this case, there are two tasks. Task 1 has a higher priority, and Task 2 has a lower priority. Moreover, both tasks are non-preemptive tasks.

First, Task 2 is activated. A system call to change the priority of the tasks is invoked when Task 2 is executed. The priority of the task is then changed to the highest priority. Second, Task 1 is activated, although the priority of Task 1 is lower than the new priority of Task 2. Therefore, Task 2 is not preempted. When Task 2 is terminated, the priority of the task is changed to the original priority. The OSEK non-preemptive scheduling corresponds to this mechanism.

8.1.2 Component of Non-preemptive Scheduling
We implemented a non-preemptive scheduling policy with through components. The construction of a component of a non-preemptive task is shown in Fig. 5. As usual, the task component
is activated by the other components. Then, the main component provides the action of the task, when the new task is executed.

This mechanism uses the through keyword [25] to insert a component to change the priority of the task. In the component, a system call to change the priority of the task that has the highest priority is invoked. After that, the main action of the task is executed.

8.2 Example of Application Mode

In this section, an example of the application mode components that provide the unsupported OSEK functionality of the application mode is described.

8.2.1 Mechanism of Application Mode

In the OSEK, an application mode is a set of tasks and alarms which are activated automatically at the time of the executing application. The set of tasks and alarms for the application mode are selected by the developer in the configuration file. The OSEK functionality of an application mode is accommodated by a number of components on the ITRON OS.

Two types of components are prepared: (1) a component to choose the application mode and (2) a component that activates a specified set of tasks and alarms. The first component is a task that is executed after the initializing process is finished. In this component, an application mode that the user wants to use is chosen. Then, all of the tasks and alarms that belong to the application mode are activated in the second component. In addition, the name of the used application mode is registered.

8.2.2 Components of Application Mode

An example of the components to provide the OSEK functionality of the application mode is shown in Fig. 6. When the components are used, tasks and alarms must not be activated automatically after the OS has started.

The task is executed immediately after the OS is started. The components of the application mode that an user defines are connected to the first component (component of the StartOSBody in Fig. 6). A call port array is used to connect the components. The number of components that are connected with the same signature varies with the call port array that is used.

In the first component, the component of the application mode that the user wants to use is called. In the second component, all of the tasks and alarms that are connected to this component are activated through system calls. In this case, two call port arrays are used to switch the number of components that are connected. One of the call port arrays is for task components that are activated by the system call act_task, and the other call port array is for alarms that are started by changing the alarm control flag to true. In addition, the call array port is defined with the keyword optional in order to allow the situation in which no component is connected. Finally, the name of the used application mode is registered to the component with the name OSEK kernel.

9. Evaluation

In this section, we describe the overhead of the applicable functionalities that provide the OSEK system call functionalities and the restrictions of implemented components.

9.1 Experimental Environment

The experimental environment is shown in Table 4. The experiment was tested using MINDSTORMS NXT, provided by LEGO. In addition, ASP is used as the ITRON OS, and ATKI is used as the OSEK OS.

9.2 Overheads of System Calls

The OSEK system calls do not correspond one-to-one with the ITRON system calls. We implemented these functionalities and measured the overhead of the targeted OSEK system calls in the evaluation program. The execution time of OSEK system calls using the proposed framework on the ITRON OS and the OSEK OS by using TECS were measured on NXT. The resulting overheads of the applicable functionalities are shown in Table 5. As mentioned above, TECS is used as a component system.

Each functionality was tested one hundred times, and the average of the results was taken. The maximum overhead was 13.58 μsec, which indicates that the effect on an application running in 10 ms or 100 ms is less than 1%. Therefore, the defect rate is tolerable.

The overhead of ChainTask is due to act_task and ext_task being executed for the system call to provide the corresponding function. ITRON system calls to get the information refer more information than the OSEK system calls. Hence, the OSEK system calls that refer to the information have more overhead such as GetTaskState and GetEvent. The overhead of GetResource is caused by saving the current priority before the priority has changed to the ceiling priority. The system calls of the alarm have more overhead because the alarm functionalities are implemented by using several ITRON functions. In addition, about 5 μsec more overhead is caused, if the task is dispatched to the non-preemptive task.

9.3 Deadline Miss Ratios of Non-preemptive Scheduling

The performance on the ITRON OS is evaluated using non-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardware</th>
<th>Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microprocessor</td>
<td>32-bit ARM7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash memory</td>
<td>256 Kbyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>64 Kbyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>48 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6 Structure of application mode.
Table 5 Overheads of applicable functionalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System call</th>
<th>ASP (psec)</th>
<th>ATK1 (psec)</th>
<th>Overhead (psec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActivateTask</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChainTask</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>8.73</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetTaskID</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetTaskState</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SignalCounter</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>-1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetAlarmBase</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetAlarm</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetRelAlarm</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetAbsAlarm</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CancelAlarm</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetActiveApplicationMode</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>13.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReleaseResource</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClearEvent</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetEvent</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaitEvent</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eq. (1), on the ITRON OS is measured as:

\[
M_{\text{rate}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{miss}(f_i). \tag{1}
\]

The number of tasks in a task set is n, and miss\((f_i)\) is defined as in Eq. (2):

\[
\text{miss}(f_i) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{(The task executed in time.)} \\
1 & \text{(The task missed its deadline.)} 
\end{cases} \tag{2}
\]

In the evaluation, RMS \(^{15}\) is used for each scheduling policy. The CPU utilization of the task set is sampled every 5% between 5% and 100%. The period is each 100 ms, from 100 ms to 1,000 ms. Each sampling point runs three task sets, each of which consists of 20 tasks, and the averages of the deadline miss ratios are shown in Fig. 7.

As a result, the measured deadline miss ratio using the ITRON OS is identical to the measured deadline miss ratio using the OSEK OS between 0% to 85%. Tasks are also started to miss their deadline if the CPU utilization exceeds 85%. Therefore, this proposed framework using the ITRON OS could be used similar to the system using the OSEK OS in practical uses while the CPU utilization is less than 85%.

9.4 Comparison of Amount of Code

As a use case, porting an OSEK application to the ITRON OS is compared. For instance, 226 system calls are needed to be ported in the small samples.\(^{16}\) However, OSEK system calls, which do not have corresponding system calls in ITRON OS, are needed to be implemented by using ITRON functionalities. The

\(^{15}\) Rate-Monotonic Scheduling
\(^{16}\) The samples are downloaded from https://www.nces.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/NEP/materials/.

Fig. 7 Deadline miss ratio of non-preemptive scheduling.

176 lines of the C code and 289 + 8\(^{17}\) lines of the CDL description are needed to use OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS with TECS. As an example of the effectiveness of TECS, the developer does not need to rewrite kernel configuration files, such as static APIs for defining the kernel configuration and initial states of tasks and semaphores. For example, the OSEK kernel configuration (OIL description) for the inverted pendulum \(^{18}\) in the OSEK OS needs 75 lines of code. The OSEK kernel configuration is needed to be ported to the ITRON kernel configuration (static API) on the ITRON OS. The developer needs to rewrite 28 lines of the ITRON kernel configuration to use an OSEK application on the ITRON OS. Therefore, the amount of work for the developer to port an OSEK application to the ITRON OS with TECS is reduced by using the proposed framework.

9.5 User Restrictions

At this stage, the components still have user restrictions. The proposed OSEK system calls on the ITRON OS are shown in Table 6. The colored symbols indicate system calls are achieved. The circle symbol indicates that the system call corresponds to the system call. The triangle symbol indicates that the corresponding system call has limits. The cross symbol indicates that the system call has restrictions. The limits and restrictions are stated below.

9.5.1 System Calls while All Interrupts are Disabled

In OSEK specifications, ResumeAllInterrupts and SuspendAllInterrupts save and restore the recognition status of all interrupts that the hardware supports. These functionalities are implemented with SIL_LOC_INT and SIL_UNL_INT, although SIL_LOC_INT and SIL_UNL_INT restrict the number of system calls that can be used while all interrupts are disabled. APIs on the system interface layer, a system call to check the non-operating state of the kernel, and a system call to terminate the kernel can be used. Therefore, there are limitations on the system call that can be used while all interrupts are disabled.

9.5.2 System Calls from ISR1

The OSEK ISR1 does not use operating system service calls, except for system services to enable and disable interrupts. Non-kernel interrupts correspond to the OSEK ISR1 on the ITRON
OS. Although no system calls can be invoked from interrupt handlers started by non-kernel interrupts. Therefore, system calls from ISR1 cannot be used.

10. Conclusion

Several operating system specifications for automobiles such as ITRON and OSEK specifications are used in a number of ECUs. Developers need to implement applications following these specifications. For instance, it is hard to port legacy OSEK applications on the ITRON OS because of unsupported OSEK functionalities such as scheduling policy, counter, alarm, resources, events, hook routines, and system calls. As a result, legacy OSEK applications need substantial changes and developers to redesign the applications. As a consequence, the amount of work increases.

This paper proposed the framework to apply the unsupported OSEK functionalities on the ITRON OS with TECs components. The components help developers to port legacy OSEK applications on the ITRON OS. In addition, OSEK functionalities are provided as a component without changes to the ITRON OS. As a result, the work of the developers are reduced by using the proposed framework. As experimental results, the overhead of the corresponding system calls are compared to the original OSEK system calls and suppressed within 13.58 μsec. Applying the proposed framework to AUTOSAR OS is future work because AUTOSAR OS extends OSEK functionalities.
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Table 6 Corresponding OSEK system calls on the ITRON OS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>ISR category 1</th>
<th>ISR category 2</th>
<th>ErrorHook</th>
<th>PreTaskHook</th>
<th>PostTaskHook</th>
<th>StartupHook</th>
<th>ShutdownHook</th>
<th>almucHook X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ActivateTask</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TerminateTask</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChainTask</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetTaskID</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetTaskState</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DisableAllInterrupts</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnableAllInterrupts</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendAllInterrupts</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeAllInterrupts</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuspendOSInterrupts</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResumeOSInterrupts</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetResource</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReleaseResource</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetEvent</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClearEvent</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetEvent</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaitEvent</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetAlarmBase</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetAlarm</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetRelAlarm</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SetAbsAlarm</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CancelAlarm</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GetActiveApplicationMode</td>
<td></td>
<td>○</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

○ indicates that the system call has on-to-one correspondence to the system call.
● indicates that the system call is achieved.
▲ indicates that the achieved corresponding system call has limits.
× indicates that the achieved system call has restrictions.
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