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Subsequence pattern matching problems on compressed text were first considered by Cégielski et al. (Window Subsequence Problems for Compressed Texts, Proc. CSR 2006, LNCS 3967, pp. 127-136), where the principal problem is given a string $T$ represented as a straight line program (SLP) $\mathcal{T}$ of size $n$, a string $P$ of size $m$, compute the number of minimal subsequence occurrences of $P$ in $T$. We present an $O(n m)$ time algorithm for solving all variations of the problem introduced by Cégielski et al.. This improves the previous best known algorithm of Tiskin (Faster subsequence recognition in compressed strings, J. Mathematical Sciences 158(5), 759-769, 2009) which runs in $O\left(\mathrm{~nm}^{1.5}\right)$ time. We further show that our algorithms can be modified to solve a wider range of problems in the same $O(n m)$ time complexity, and present the first matching algorithms for patterns containing VLDC (variable length don't care) symbols, as well as for patterns containing FLDC (fixed length don't care) symbols, on SLP compressed texts.

## 1. Introduction

A straight-line program $(S L P)^{1)}$ is a context free grammar in the Chomsky normal form that derives a single string. SLPs are a widely accepted abstract model of various text compression schemes, since texts compressed by any grammarbased compression algorithm (e.g., ${ }^{2), 3)}$ ) can be represented as SLPs, and those compressed by the LZ-family (e.g., ${ }^{4), 5)}$ ) can be quickly transformed to SLPs. An SLP of a string of size $N$ can be as small as $O(\log N)$. SLPs are a promising representation of a given string, not only for reducing the storage size of the data, but for efficiently conducting various string processing operations ${ }^{6), 7)}$. Recently, self indices based on SLPs have also appeared ${ }^{8)}$.

[^0]Subsequence pattern matching ${ }^{9}$ and its related problems have extensively been studied. Window subsequences are also known as serial episodes in data mining applications ${ }^{10)}$. Now our interest is: Can we efficiently solve subsequence matching problems on compressed strings? When both text and pattern are given as SLPs, subsequence matching is NP-hard ${ }^{11)}$. Therefore, in the sequel we only consider the case where the text is given as an SLP, while the pattern is given as an uncompressed string.
Cégielski et al. ${ }^{12)}$ first considered subsequence problems on SLP-compressed texts. The principal problem considered is to compute the number of minimal subsequence occurrences of $P$ in $T$. They presented $O\left(n m^{2} \log m\right)$ time algorithms for solving the problems for an SLP of size $n$ and subsequence pattern of length $m$. Later, an improved algorithm running in time $O\left(n m^{1.5}\right)$ was presented by Tiskin ${ }^{13)}$. In this paper, we further reduce the time complexities to $O(n m)$.
The contribution of this paper is twofold. Firstly, we improve the algorithm for building the $L$ and $R$ arrays, presented by Cégielski et al. ${ }^{12)}$, from $O\left(n m^{2} \log m\right)$ to $O(n m)$, therefore reducing the overall time complexity of the algorithms for the subsequence pattern matching problems to $O(n m)$. Following the ideas of Cégielski et al. ${ }^{12)}$, we give a simpler presentation of these algorithms.
Secondly, we show that the algorithm can be extended to cope with patterns that contain don't care symbols, and give $O(n m)$-time matching algorithms for patterns containing VLDC (variable length don't care) symbols, as well as an $O(n m)$-time matching algorithm for patterns containing FLDC (fixed length don't care) symbols. There has been work on pattern matching for patterns containing FLDC symbols on a compressed representation of Sturmian words ${ }^{14)}$. On the other hand, our algorithms can search arbitrary SLPs for patterns containing don't cares, and hence are applicable to more practical compressed texts.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1 Strings

Let $\Sigma$ be a finite alphabet. An element of $\Sigma^{*}$ is called a string. The length of a string $T$ is denoted by $|T|$. The empty string $\varepsilon$ is a string of length 0 , namely, $|\varepsilon|=0$. For a string $T=X Y Z, X, Y$ and $Z$ are called a prefix, substring, and suffix of $T$, respectively. The $i$-th character of a string $T$ is denoted by $T[i]$ for
$0 \leq i \leq|T|-1$, and the substring of a string $T$ that begins at position $i$ and ends at position $j$ is denoted by $T[i: j]$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq|T|-1$. For convenience, let $T[i: j]=\varepsilon$ if $j<i$.

A string $P$ of length $m$ is a subsequence of string $T$, if there exist indices $0 \leq i_{0}<\cdots<i_{m-1} \leq|T|-1$ such that $P[0]=T\left[i_{0}\right], \ldots, P[m-1]=T\left[i_{m-1}\right]$. The pair $\left(i_{0}, i_{m-1}\right)$ is called an occurrence of subsequence $P$ in $T$. Let $\operatorname{Occ}(T, P)$ denote the set of all occurrences of subsequence $P$ in $T$. An occurrence $(u, v) \in$ $\operatorname{Occ}(T, P)$ is minimal if $P$ is not a subsequence of $T[u+1: v]$ nor $T[u: v-1]$. For strings $X, Y$, if an occurrence $(u, v) \in O c c(X Y, P)$ satisfies $0 \leq u<|X|$ and $|X| \leq v<|X Y|$, we say that this occurrence crosses $X$ and $Y$.

### 2.2 Straight Line Programs

In this paper, we treat strings described in terms of straight line programs $(S L P s)$. A straight line program $\mathcal{T}$ is a sequence of assignments such that $X_{1}=$ expr $_{1}, X_{2}=$ expr $_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}=$ expr $_{n}$, where each $X_{i}$ is a variable and each expr ${ }_{i}$ is an expression, where $\operatorname{expr}_{i}=a(a \in \Sigma)$, or $\operatorname{expr}_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}(\ell, r<i)$.
Denote by $T$ the string derived from the last variable $X_{n}$ of the program $\mathcal{T}$. The size of the program $\mathcal{T}$ is the number $n$ of assignments in $\mathcal{T}$. Note that $|T|=O\left(2^{n}\right)$.
Example 1. $S L P \mathcal{T}=\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{7}$ with $X_{1}=\mathrm{a}, X_{2}=\mathrm{b}, X_{3}=X_{1} X_{1}, X_{4}=X_{1} X_{2}$, $X_{5}=X_{3} X_{4}, X_{6}=X_{5} X_{4}$, and $X_{7}=X_{5} X_{6}$ derives string $T=$ aaabaaabab.
Let $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)$ represent the string derived from $X_{i}$. When it is not confusing, we identify a variable $X_{i}$ with $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)$. Then, $\left|X_{i}\right|$ denotes the length of the string $X_{i}$ derives. For assignment $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, if an occurrence $(u, v)$ of subsequence $P$ in $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)$ crosses $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{\ell}\right)$ and $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{r}\right)$, we say that $(u, v)$ is a crossing subsequence occurrence of $P$ in $X_{i}$.

## 3. Subsequence Matching Problems on Compressed Texts

This section is organized as follows: We first review an $O(n m)$ time algorithm for calculating tables $Q^{L}$ and $Q^{R}$, which can determine whether a string $P$ of length $m$ is a subsequence of the string derived from an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ of size $n$ (Subsequence Recognition). Tiskin ${ }^{13)}$ noted that a brief description of the algorithm "has been known in folklore", which was pointed out by Y. Lifshits. We then describe how to efficiently compute auxiliary tables $L$ and $R$ using $Q^{L}$ and $Q^{R}$.

Following the ideas of Cégielski et al. ${ }^{12)}$, we use $L$ and $R$ to give straightforward descriptions of $O(n m)$ time algorithms for solving the problem of finding all minimal subsequence occurrences of a pattern in a SLP-compressed text (Subsequence Matching), and its window-accumulated version (Window Subsequence Matching).

### 3.1 Subsequence Recognition

For $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m$, let $Q^{L}(i, j)$ denote the length of the longest prefix of $P[j: m-1]$ which is a subsequence of $X_{i}$. We have that $P$ is a subsequence of $T$, if and only if $Q^{L}(n, 0)=m$.
Lemma 1. ${ }^{13)}$ Given a pattern $P$ of length $m$ and an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ of size $n$ representing text $T, Q^{L}(i, j)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m$ can be calculated in $O(n m)$ time and space.
Proof. $Q^{L}(i, j)$ can be defined recursively, as follows. For the base case, if $X_{i}=a$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then $Q^{L}(i, j)=1$ if $j<m$ and $P[j]=a$, and $Q^{L}(i, j)=0$ otherwise. If $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, then $Q^{L}(i, j)=Q^{L}(\ell, j)+Q^{L}\left(r, j^{\prime}\right)$, where $j^{\prime}=$ $j+Q^{L}(\ell, j)$, because $P\left[j: j+Q^{L}(\ell, j)-1\right]$ is the longest prefix of $P[j: m-1]$ that is a subsequence of $X_{\ell}$, and the rest is the longest prefix of $P\left[j+Q^{L}(\ell, j): m-1\right]$ that is a subsequence of $X_{r}$. Since each $Q^{L}(i, j)$ can be calculated in constant time, $Q^{L}(i, j)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m$ can be calculated in $O(n m)$ time and space.
Thus we can test whether a pattern $P$ is a subsequence of an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ in $O(n m)$ time.

We similarly define $Q^{R}(i, j)$ as the length of the longest suffix of $P[0: m-j-1]$ that is a subsequence of $X_{i}$, which can also be calculated in $O(n m)$ time and space.

### 3.2 Subsequence Matching

### 3.2.1 Auxiliary Tables.

We next define $L(i, j)$ and $R(i, j)$ that are central to the algorithm which Cégielski et al. presented ${ }^{12)}$. We define $L(i, j)$ as the length of the shortest prefix of $X_{i}$, for which $P[j: m-1]$ is a subsequence. When there is no such prefix of $X_{i}$, $L(i, j)$ is defined as $\infty$. We similarly define $R(i, j)$ as the length of the shortest suffix of $X_{i}$, for which $P[0: m-j-1]$ is a subsequence. When there is no such suffix of $X_{i}, R(i, j)$ is defined as $\infty$. Only these values for $L$ (resp. $R$ ) correspond-
ing to suffixes (resp. prefixes) of $P$ are required in the algorithms which follow. However, their algorithm ${ }^{12)}$ required the values for $L$ and $R$ corresponding to all substrings of $P$ to compute these values, therefore making the running time of the algorithm $O\left(n m^{2} \log m\right)$. We improve their algorithm by showing that we can calculate $L(i, j)$ (resp. $R(i, j)$ ) using only values corresponding to suffixes (resp. prefixes) of $P$ with support from $Q^{L}(i, j)$ (resp. $Q^{R}(i, j)$ ), and reduce the running time to $O(n m)$.
Lemma 2. Given a pattern $P$ of length $m$, an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ of size $n$ representing text $T$, and $Q^{L}(i, j)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Q^{R}(i, j)\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m, L(i, j)$ (resp. $R(i, j)$ ) for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m$ can be calculated in $O(n m)$ time and space.
Proof. We shall only describe how to calculate $L(i, j)$ using $Q^{L}(i, j)$, since the case for $R(i, j)$ and $Q^{R}(i, j)$ is essentially the same. $L(i, j)$ can be defined recursively as follows: For the base case, if $X_{i}=a$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then

$$
L(i, j)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j=m \\ 1 & \text { if } j=m-1 \text { and } P[j: m-1]=a \\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, then

$$
L(i, j)= \begin{cases}L(\ell, j) & \text { if } L(\ell, j) \neq \infty \\ \left|X_{\ell}\right|+L\left(r, j^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } L(\ell, j)=\infty\end{cases}
$$

where $j^{\prime}=j+Q^{L}(\ell, j)$. This is because: When $L(\ell, j) \neq \infty, P[j: m-1]$ is a subsequence of $X_{\ell}$, and $L(\ell, j)$ is the length of the shortest prefix of $X_{\ell}$ for which $P[j: m-1]$ is a subsequence. Since $X_{\ell}$ is a prefix of $X_{i}$, the length of the shortest prefix of $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$ for which $P[j: m-1]$ is a subsequence is clearly equal to $L(\ell, j)$. When $L(\ell, j)=\infty, P[j: m-1]$ is not a subsequence of $X_{\ell}$. This implies that the value of $L(i, j)$ is at least $\left|X_{\ell}\right|$. The exact value of $L(i, j)$ can be efficiently computed from $Q^{L}(\ell, j)$, as follows. Since $L(i, j)-\left|X_{\ell}\right|$ equals to the shortest prefix of $X_{r}$ for which $P\left[j^{\prime}: m-1\right]$ is a subsequence, we have $L(i, j)-\left|X_{\ell}\right|=L\left(r, j^{\prime}\right)$ where $j^{\prime}=j+Q^{L}(\ell, j)$.
Therefore, given the $Q^{L}$ table, each $L(i, j)$ can be computed in constant time. Hence $L(i, j)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m$ can be computed in $O(n m)$ time and space.

### 3.2.2 Counting Minimal Occurrences.

For text $T$ represented by an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ of size $n$, we show how to calculate the number of minimal occurrences of subsequence $P$ of length $m$ in $T$ in time $O(n m)$, using $L(i, j)$ and $R(i, j)$. Let $M_{i}$ denote the number of minimal occurrences of $P$ in $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{n}\right)=T$, the desired output is the value of $M_{n}$.
Our algorithm is based essentially on the same ideas as that Cégielski et al. described ${ }^{12)}$. However, we note that they did not provide a rigorous proof of correctness, and the pseudo-code shown in their paper seems to contain some errors. Below, we give a simple presentation of the algorithm and a proof of correctness.
For any variable $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, let $C(\ell, r)$ denote the number of minimal occurrences of $P$ in $X_{i}$ that cross $X_{\ell}$ and $X_{r}$.
Lemma 3. Given a pattern $P$ of length $m$, an $S L P \mathcal{T}$ of size $n$, and $C(\ell, r)$ for all variables of form $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, the values $M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ can be calculated in $O(n)$ time.
Proof. $M_{i}$ is recursively computable as follows. For the base case, if $X_{i}=a$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then $M_{i}=0$ if $P \neq a$ and $M_{i}=1$ if $P=a$. If $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, then $M_{i}=M_{\ell}+M_{r}+C(\ell, r)$. Hence we can compute $M_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ recursively, in total of $O(n)$ time.
What remains is how to calculate $C(\ell, r)$ for all variables of type $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$. For $k=0, \ldots, m$, consider the following pairs $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ where $u_{k}$ is the beginning position in $X_{i}$, of the shortest suffix of $X_{l}$ for which $P[0: m-1-k]$ is a subsequence (or $-\infty$ if such a suffix does not exist), and $v_{k}$ is the ending position in $X_{i}$, of the shortest prefix of $X_{r}$ for which $P[m-k: m-1]$ is a subsequence (or $\infty$ if such a prefix does not exist), i.e., $u_{k}=\left|X_{\ell}\right|-R(\ell, k)$ and $v_{k}=\left|X_{\ell}\right|+L(r, m-$ $k)-1$ (see also Fig. 1.) Clearly $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ are monotonically non-decreasing, that is, $u_{k-1} \leq u_{k}<\left|X_{\ell}\right|=u_{m}$, and $v_{0}=\left|X_{\ell}\right|-1<v_{k} \leq v_{k+1}$ for $k=1, \ldots, m-1$. When both $0 \leq u_{k}<\left|X_{\ell}\right|$ and $\left|X_{\ell}\right| \leq v_{k}<\left|X_{i}\right|$ hold, then $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is a crossing subsequence occurrence of $P$ in $X_{i}$. Note that neither $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ nor $\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)$ are crossing occurrences. Let $O c c^{S S}(\ell, r)=\left\{\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right) \mid k=1, \ldots, m-1\right\}$. It is easy to see that every minimal crossing subsequence occurrence of $P$ in $X_{i}$ must be an element of $O c c^{S S}(\ell, r)$, and it remains to identify them.
Lemma 4. $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right) \in O c c^{S S}(\ell, r)$ is a minimal occurrence if and only if $\nexists k^{\prime} \in$


Fig. 1 If $R(\ell, k) \neq \infty$ and $L(r, m-k) \neq \infty$, there is a crossing subsequence occurrence of $P$ $P[0: k-1]$ is a subsequence of $X_{\ell}\left[\left|X_{\ell}\right|-R(\ell, k):\left|X_{\ell}\right|-1\right]$, and $P[k: m-1]$ is a subsequence of $X_{r}[0: L(r, m-k)+1]$.
$\{0, \ldots, m\}$ s.t. $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right) \neq\left(u_{k^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right)$, and $u_{k} \leq u_{k^{\prime}}$ and $v_{k^{\prime}} \leq v_{k}$.
Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ If for some $k^{\prime} \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$ s.t. $\left(u_{k^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right) \neq\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ we have $u_{k} \leq u_{k^{\prime}}$ and $v_{k^{\prime}} \leq v_{k}$, then $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ cannot be a minimal occurrence by definition.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ We show the contraposition. Assume $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is not a minimal occurrence. If $u_{k}=-\infty$ (or resp. $v_{k}=\infty$ ), then $u_{k} \leq u_{0}=-\infty$ (resp. $v_{m} \leq v_{k}=\infty$ ) and from the monotonicity of $u_{k} \mathrm{~s}$ and $v_{k} \mathrm{~s}$, we can choose $k^{\prime}=0$ (resp. $k^{\prime}=m$ ). If $u_{k} \neq-\infty$ and $v_{k} \neq \infty$, there exist some occurrence $(u, v) \neq\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ s.t. $u_{k} \leq u$ and $v \leq v_{k}$. If $(u, v)$ is a crossing occurrence, then a minimal occurrence $\left(u_{k^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ can be chosen from $O c c^{S S}(\ell, r)$ s.t. $u \leq u_{k^{\prime}}$ and $v_{k^{\prime}} \leq v$. If it is not, then $v \leq\left|X_{l}\right|-1$ or $u \geq\left|X_{l}\right|$, and we can choose $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ or $\left(u_{m}, v_{m}\right)$.
Lemma 5. Consider $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Occ}^{S S}(\ell, r)$, and let $K=\left\{k^{\prime} \mid\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)=\right.$ $\left.\left(u_{k^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right), k^{\prime}=1, \ldots, m-1\right\}, k_{s}=\min K$ and $k_{e}=\max K$. Then, $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is minimal if and only if $u_{k_{s}-1}<u_{k}$ and $v_{k}<v_{k_{e}+1}$.
Proof. From the monotonicity of $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$, and from Lemma 4, we have that $\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is minimal if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nexists k^{\prime} \in\{0, \ldots, m\} \text { s.t. }\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right) \neq\left(u_{k^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right), \quad\left(u_{k} \leq u_{k^{\prime}}\right) \wedge\left(v_{k^{\prime}} \leq v_{k}\right) \\
& \quad \Longleftrightarrow \forall k^{\prime} \in\{0, \ldots, m\} \text { s.t. }\left(u_{k^{\prime}}, v_{k^{\prime}}\right) \neq\left(u_{k}, v_{k}\right), \quad\left(u_{k^{\prime}}<u_{k}\right) \vee\left(v_{k}<v_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\left(u_{k_{s}-1}<u_{k}\right) \vee\left(v_{k}<v_{k_{s}-1}\right)\right) \wedge\left(\left(u_{k_{e}+1}<u_{k}\right) \vee\left(v_{k}<v_{k_{e}+1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Longleftrightarrow\left(u_{k_{s}-1}<u_{k}\right) \wedge\left(v_{k}<v_{k_{e}+1}\right)
$$

Lemma 6. Given a pattern $P$ of length $m$, an $S L P \mathcal{T}$ of size $n$, and $L(i, j)$, $R(i, j)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m, C(\ell, r)$ for all variables of form $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, can be computed in total of $O(n m)$ time.
Proof. A pseudo-code of our algorithm which computes $C(\ell, r)$ is shown in Algorithm 1 The time complexity is clearly $O(m)$ for each $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, and

```
Algorithm 1: Counting Minimal Crossing Subsequence Occurrences.
Input: SLP variable \(X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}\), pattern \(P\), auxiliary tables \(L, R\).
Output: The number of minimal crossing subsequence occurrences \(C(\ell, r)\).
\(C \leftarrow 0 ; \operatorname{rmin} \leftarrow R(\ell, 0) ;\)
for \(k \leftarrow 1\) to \(m-1\) do
if \(\operatorname{rmin}>R(\ell, k)\) and \(L(r, m-k)<L(r, m-k-1)\) then
            \(C \leftarrow C+1 ;\)
            \(r \min \leftarrow R(\ell, k) ;\)
```

6 return $C$;
hence $O(n m)$ in total. The correctness is due to Lemma 5.
Finally, we obtain the main result of this section.
Theorem 1. Given a pattern $P$ of length $m$ and an $S L P \mathcal{T}$ of size $n$ representing text $T$, the number of minimal subsequence occurrences of $P$ in $T$ can be calculated in $O(n m)$ time.

### 3.2.3 Window Subsequence Matching.

Cégielski et al. ${ }^{12)}$ introduced several window-accumulated variants of subsequence pattern matching on compressed texts. The principal problem is: Given an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ generating text $T$, a pattern $P$, and non-negative integer $w$, count the number of minimal subsequence occurrences $(u, v)$ of $P$ in $T$ such that $v-u+1 \leq w$.
Our algorithm for counting minimal occurrences can readily be extended to this window-accumulated variant. See Algorithm 1. By simply adding " $R(\ell, k)+$ $L(r, m-k) \leq w "$ in the if-condition of line 3 , we can solve the problem in the same complexity $O(n m)$. We remark that the other variants ${ }^{12)}$ can also be solved in the same complexity. Details are omitted due to lack of space.

## 4. Don't-Care Pattern Matching Problems on Compressed Texts

In this section we show that the ideas of Section 3 can be extended to solve pattern matching problems for patterns with fixed length don't care (FLDC) and variable length don't care (VLDC) symbols, in the same complexity $O(n m)$.

### 4.1 FLDC Pattern Matching on Compressed Texts

We can find substrings of $X_{i}$ matching $P$, the same way as counting minimal subsequence occurrences. If a subsequence $P$ of $T$ occurs in $\left(i_{0}, i_{m-1}\right)$ and $i_{m-1}-$ $i_{0}+1=m$, obviously the substring $T\left[i_{0}: i_{m-1}\right]$, is equal to $P$.

The above idea can be extended to a pattern matching problem where the pattern includes fixed length don't care ( $F L D C$ ) symbols. Let the symbol 'o' denote a don't care character that can match an arbitrary character in $\Sigma$. We call $P \in(\Sigma \cup\{\circ\})^{*}$ an $F L D C$ pattern. An FLDC pattern $P$ of length $m$ occurs in string $T$ at position $i_{0}$, if $T\left[i_{0}+i\right]=P[i]$ or $P[i]=\circ$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m-1$.

To count the occurrences of an FLDC pattern $P$ using our window subsequence matching algorithms, we only need to count minimal subsequence occurrences of $P$ that fit in a window of size $|P|$ with the exception that o can match any single character. We can do this by simply modifying the base cases of $Q^{L}(i, j)$ and $L(i, j)$ as follows: If $X_{i}=a$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{L}(i, j) & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j<m \text { and }(P[j]=a \text { or } P[j]=0), \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
L(i, j) & = \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j=m, \\
1 & \text { if } j=m-1 \text { and }(P[j: m-1]=a \text { or } P[j: m-1]=0), \\
\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

The base cases of $Q^{R}(i, j)$ and $R(i, j)$ should be modified similarly as well.

### 4.2 VLDC Pattern Matching on Compressed Texts

Let the symbol ' $\star$ ' denote a variable-length don't care character that can match an arbitrary string in $\Sigma^{*}$. We call $P \in(\Sigma \cup\{\star\})^{*}$ a variable-length don't care ( $V L D C$ ) pattern. In the sequel, we only consider VLDC patterns that start and end with $\star$, and the $\star$ 's do not occur consecutively. Consider any VLDC pattern $P=\star s_{1} \star s_{2} \star \cdots \star s_{m^{\prime}} \star$, where each $s_{j} \in \Sigma^{+}$. The length of $P$ is $m=\sum_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left|s_{j}\right|$. Each $s_{j}$ is called the $j$-th segment of $P$. VLDC pattern $P$ is said to match a string $T \in \Sigma^{*}$ if there exist indices $0 \leq i_{0}<i_{0}+\left|s_{1}\right| \leq i_{1}<i_{1}+\left|s_{2}\right| \leq \cdots<$ $i_{m^{\prime}-1}+\left|s_{m^{\prime}}\right| \leq|T|-1$ such that $s_{1}=T\left[i_{0}: i_{0}+\left|s_{1}\right|-1\right], \ldots, s_{m^{\prime}}=T\left[i_{m^{\prime}-1}:\right.$ $\left.i_{m^{\prime}-1}+\left|s_{m^{\prime}}\right|-1\right]$. The pair $\left(i_{0}, i_{m^{\prime}-1}+\left|s_{m^{\prime}}\right|-1\right)$ is called an occurrence of VLDC pattern $P$ in $T$. An occurrence $(u, v)$ of VLDC pattern $P$ in $T$ is minimal if neither $(u+1, v)$ nor $(u, v-1)$ is an occurrence of $P$ in $T$. Note that if
each segment is a single character, then the above notion is equivalent to that of subsequences.
In what follows, we present how to compute minimal occurrences of a VLDC pattern in an SLP-compressed text. We will extend the notion of the auxiliary tables $L, R, Q^{L}$, and $Q^{R}$ to cope with VLDC pattern matching. In so doing, we firstly introduce some new notion.
For any $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$ and $s_{j}$, let

$$
O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
k & \begin{array}{l}
X_{\ell}\left[\left|X_{\ell}\right|-k:\left|X_{\ell}\right|-1\right]=s_{j}[0: k-1], \\
X_{r}\left[0:\left|s_{j}\right|-k-1\right]=s_{j}\left[k:\left|s_{j}\right|-1\right], \\
k=1, \ldots, \min \left\{\left|s_{j}\right|-1,\left|X_{\ell}\right|\right\}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Namely, values in $O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ correspond to lengths of overlap with $X_{\ell}$, for all crossing substring occurrences of $s_{j}$ in $X_{i}$. We can compute $O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}$ in total of $O(n m)$ time and space, as follows: Let $h$ be the length of the longest segment of $P$. We decompress the prefix and suffix of length $h$ of each variable $X_{i}$, i.e., we compute strings $A_{i}=X_{i}\left[\left|X_{i}\right|-\min \left\{h,\left|X_{i}\right|\right\}\right.$ : $\left.\left|X_{i}\right|-1\right]$ and $B_{i}=X_{i}\left[0: \min \left\{h,\left|X_{i}\right|\right\}-1\right]$. This can be done in total of $O(n m)$ time and space. Let $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$. We can then compute $O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ in $O\left(\left|s_{j}\right|\right)$ time by using any standard linear-time pattern matching algorithm (e.g. ${ }^{15}$ ) for text $A_{\ell} B_{r}$ and pattern $s_{j}$. Moreover, $O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ forms a single arithmetic progression ${ }^{16)}$, and can thus be represented as the first element, the last element, and the number of elements, which require only $O(1)$ space. Overall it takes $O(n m)$ time and space to compute $O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}$.
Let $\operatorname{LCP}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)$ denote the length of the longest common prefix of $X_{i}$ and $s_{j}\left[k:\left|s_{j}\right|-1\right]$. We can also compute $L C P\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)$ in $O(n m)$ time and space for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}, k=0, \ldots,\left|s_{j}\right|$, by the following recursion: For the base case, if $X_{i}=a$ for some $a \in \Sigma$, then $L C P\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)=0$ if $X_{i} \neq s_{j}[k]$, and $L C P\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)=1$ if $X_{i}=s_{j}[k]$. If $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, then

$$
L C P\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)= \begin{cases}\left|X_{\ell}\right|+L C P\left(X_{r}, s_{j}, k+\left|X_{\ell}\right|\right) & \text { if } L C P\left(X_{\ell}, s_{j}, k\right)=\left|X_{\ell}\right|  \tag{1}\\ L C P\left(X_{\ell}, s_{j}, k\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\operatorname{LCS}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)$ denote the length of the longest common suffix of $X_{i}$ and $s_{j}\left[0:\left|s_{j}\right|-k-1\right] . \operatorname{LCS}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)$ can also be computed similarly in $O(n m)$ time
and space.
For any VLDC pattern $P=\star s_{1} \star s_{2} \star \cdots \star s_{m^{\prime} \star}$, we define a sub-pattern $\operatorname{segsub}^{L}(P, j, k, q)$ of $P$, for $j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}+1, k=0, \ldots,\left|s_{j}\right|-1, q=0, \ldots, m^{\prime}-$ $j+1$, as follows: $\operatorname{segsub}^{L}(P, j, k, q)=\varepsilon$ if $q=0$ or $j>m^{\prime}$, and

$$
\operatorname{segsub}^{L}(P, j, k, q)= \begin{cases}\star s_{j} \star s_{j+1} \star \cdots \star s_{j+q-1 \star} & \text { if } k=0 \\ s_{j}\left[k:\left|s_{j}\right|-1\right] \star s_{j+1} \star \cdots \star s_{j+q-1 \star} & \text { if } k>0\end{cases}
$$

otherwise $\left(q>0\right.$ and $\left.j^{\prime} \leq m\right)$. Let $Q^{L}(i, j, k)$ denote the maximum number of segments in the sub-patterns $\operatorname{segsub}^{L}(P, j, k, q)$ that match $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
Q^{L}(i, j, k)=\max \left\{q \mid \operatorname{segsub}^{L}(P, j, k, q) \text { matches } \operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

Also, we define $L(i, j, k)$ as the length of the shortest prefix of $\operatorname{val}\left(X_{i}\right)$ that matches the sub-pattern giving $Q^{L}(i, j, k)$, i.e.,

$$
L(i, j, k)=\min \left\{p \mid \operatorname{segsub}^{L}\left(P, j, k, Q^{L}(i, j, k)\right) \text { matches } X_{i}[0: p-1]\right\}
$$

We also define $Q^{R}(i, j, k)$ and $R(i, j, k)$ similarly, but be careful that $\operatorname{segsub}^{R}(P, j, k, q)$ for $j=0, \ldots, m^{\prime}, k=0, \ldots,\left|s_{j}\right|-1, q=0, \ldots, j-1$ is denoted as follows: $\operatorname{segsub}^{R}(P, j, k, q)=\varepsilon$ if $q=0$ or $j=0$, and

$$
\operatorname{segsub}^{R}(P, j, k, q)= \begin{cases}\star s_{j-q+1} \star \cdots \star s_{j} \star & \text { if } k=0 \\ \star s_{j-q+1} \star \cdots \star s_{j}\left[0:\left|s_{j}\right|-k-1\right] & \text { if } k>0\end{cases}
$$

otherwise $(q>0$ and $j>0)$.
Lemma 7. Given an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ and VLDC pattern $P=\star s_{1} \star \cdots \star s_{m^{\prime} \star}, Q^{L}(i, j, k)$ (resp. $\left.Q^{R}(i, j, k)\right)$ and $L(i, j, k)$ (resp. $\left.R(i, j, k)\right)$ can be also computed in $O(n m)$ time and space for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}+1$ (resp. $\left.j=0, \ldots, m^{\prime}\right)$ and $k=0, \ldots,\left|s_{j}\right|-1$.
Proof. $Q^{L}(i, j, k)$ and $L(i, j, k)$ can be defined recursively as follows. For the base case, $X_{i}=a,(a \in \Sigma)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{L}(i, j, k) & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq m^{\prime} \text { and } k=\left|s_{j}\right|-1 \text { and } s_{j}\left[\left|s_{j}\right|-1\right]=a \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
L(i, j, k) & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } Q^{L}(i, j, k)>0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r},\left|s_{j}\right|-k>\left|X_{\ell}\right|$ and $k>0$, then


Fig. 2 Illustration of Equation (4) of Lemma 7. $j^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime}$ can be computed in $O(1)$ time. Then, $Q^{L}(i, j, k)$ and $L(i, j, k)$ can be also computed in $O(1)$ time. Since $s_{j^{\prime}}$ and $s_{j^{\prime}-1}$ cannot overlap, $k^{\prime}$ must satisfy $k^{\prime}+L(\ell, j, k) \leq\left|X_{\ell}\right|$.

$$
\begin{align*}
Q^{L}(i, j, k) & = \begin{cases}Q^{L}\left(r, j, k+\left|X_{\ell}\right|\right) & \text { if } L C P\left(X_{\ell}, s_{j}, k\right)=\left|X_{\ell}\right| \\
0 & \text { if } L C P\left(X_{\ell}, s_{j}, k\right)<\left|X_{\ell}\right|\end{cases}  \tag{2}\\
L(i, j, k) & = \begin{cases}\left|X_{\ell}\right|+L\left(r, j, k+\left|X_{\ell}\right|\right) & \text { if } Q^{L}(i, j, k)>0 \\
0 & \text { if } Q^{L}(i, j, k)=0\end{cases} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

If $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$ and, $\left|s_{j}\right|-k \leq\left|X_{\ell}\right|$ or $k=0$, then let $j^{\prime}=j+Q^{L}(\ell, j, k)$ and $k^{\prime}=\max \left\{x\left|x \in O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j^{\prime}}\right) \cup\{0\}, x+L(\ell, j, k) \leq\left|X_{\ell}\right|\right\} . \quad Q^{L}(i, j, k)\right.$ and $L(i, j, k)$ can be computed as follows: If $k=0$ or $Q^{L}(\ell, j, k)>0$, then $Q^{L}(i, j, k)=Q^{L}(\ell, j, k)+Q^{L}\left(r, j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
L(i, j, k)= \begin{cases}\left|X_{\ell}\right|+L\left(r, j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } Q^{L}\left(r, j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)>0  \tag{4}\\ L(\ell, j, k) & \text { if } Q^{L}\left(r, j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=0\end{cases}
$$

(See also Fig 2.)
Otherwise $\left(k>0\right.$ and $\left.Q^{L}(\ell, j, k)=0\right), Q^{L}(i, j, k)=0$ and $L(i, j, k)=0$.
$j^{\prime}$ and $k^{\prime}$ can be computed in $O(1)$ time if $Q^{L}(\ell, j, k), L(\ell, j, k)$ and $O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j^{\prime}}\right)$ are already computed, and $O c c^{\ddagger}$ is represented as an arithmetic progression. Hence $Q^{L}(i, j, k)$ and $L(i, j, k)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n, j=1, \ldots, m^{\prime}$, and $k=$ $0, \ldots,\left|s_{j}\right|-1$ can be computed in $O(n m)$ time and space. $Q^{R}(i, j, k)$ and $R(i, j, k)$ can be computed similarly using $L C S\left(X_{i}, s_{j}, k\right)$.

An occurrence $(u, v)$ of VLDC pattern $P$ is a crossing occurrence in $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$ if $0 \leq u<\left|X_{\ell}\right|$ and $\left|X_{\ell}\right| \leq v<\left|X_{i}\right|$. Let $M_{i}$ and $C(\ell, r)$ denote the number of minimal occurrences and the number of minimal crossing occurrences of VLDC pattern $P$ in $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, respectively.
Lemma 8. Given a VLDC pattern $P$ of length $m$, an $S L P \mathcal{T}$ of size $n$, and $C(\ell, r)$ for all variables of form $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, the values $M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ can be calculated in $O(n)$ time.
Proof. $M_{i}$ can be defined recursively as follows. For the base case ( $X_{i}=a \in$ $\Sigma)$, if $P=\star a \star$ then $M_{i}=1$, otherwise $M_{i}=0$. For the case $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$, $M_{i}=M_{\ell}+M_{r}+C(\ell, r)$. Thus $M_{i}$ can be computed for all $i=1, \ldots, n$, in $O(n)$ total time and space, if $C(\ell, r)$ for all variables of form $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$ are already computed.
In what follows we describe how to compute $C(\ell, r)$ for each $X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}$ in $O(m)$ time. Algorithm 2 shows a pseudo-code of our algorithm to compute $C(\ell, r)$. For convenience, for $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0, \ldots, m^{\prime}$ and $k \in O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right) \cup$ $\{0\}$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}(i, j, k)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j=0 \\
L(i, j, k) & \text { if } j>0 \text { and } Q^{L}(i, j, k)=m^{\prime}-j+1, \\
\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
& \mathbf{R}(i, j, k)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j=0 \\
R(i, j, k) & \text { if } j>0 \text { and } Q^{R}(i, j, k)=j, \\
\infty & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that conceptually, the tables $L$ and $R$ for subsequences correspond to $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ defined above, and when $\operatorname{segsub}^{L}\left(P, j, k, m^{\prime}-j+1\right)$ does not match $X_{i}$, then $\mathbf{L}(i, j, k)=\infty$, and when $\operatorname{segsub}^{R}(P, j, k, j)$ does not match $X_{i}$, then $\mathbf{R}(i, j, k)=$ $\infty$. Hence we can compute the number of crossing VLDC pattern occurrences in a similar way to the case of subsequence patterns.

Care is taken for possible crossing occurrences when a segment is crossing $X_{i}$. For any $j$ and $k>0$, only occurrences $\left(\left|X_{\ell}\right|-\mathbf{R}\left(\ell, j,\left|s_{j}\right|-k\right),\left|X_{\ell}\right|+\mathbf{L}(r, j, k)-1\right)$ for which $k \in O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)$ can be crossing occurrences of $P$ in $X_{i}$ (see also Fig. 3.) For $j=2, \ldots, m^{\prime}$ and $k=0$, occurrences $\left(\left|X_{\ell}\right|-\mathbf{R}(\ell, j-1,0),\left|X_{\ell}\right|+\mathbf{L}(r, j, 0)-1\right)$


Fig. 3 Illustration of Algorithm 2. If $k \in O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right), \mathbf{R}\left(\ell, j,\left|s_{j}\right|-k\right) \neq \infty$ and $\mathbf{L}(r, j, k) \neq \infty$, then $\left(\left|X_{\ell}\right|-\mathbf{R}\left(\ell, j,\left|s_{j}\right|-k\right),\left|X_{\ell}\right|+\mathbf{L}(r, j, k)-1\right)$ is a candidate of a crossing occurrence.


Fig. 4 Illustration of Algorithm 2. If $k=0, \mathbf{R}(\ell, j-1,0) \neq \infty$ and $\mathbf{L}(r, j, 0) \neq \infty$, then $\left(\left|X_{\ell}-\mathbf{R}(\ell, j-1,0),\left|X_{\ell}\right|+\mathbf{L}(r, j, 0)-1\right)\right.$ is a candidate of a crossing occurrence.
can be crossing occurrences of $P$ in $X_{i}$ (see also Fig. 4.) By checking these possible crossing occurences in decreasing order of $j$ and $k$, we can compute the number of crossing occurrences as described in Algorithm 2. Since the number of candidates is $d=\Sigma_{j=1}^{m^{\prime}}\left|O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)\right|+m^{\prime}+1=O(m)$, we can compute all the crossing occurrences in a total of $O(\mathrm{~nm})$ time and space.
Consequently, we obtain the main result of this section:
Theorem 2. Given a VLDC pattern $P$ of length $m$ and an SLP $\mathcal{T}$ of size $n$ representing text $T$, the number of minimal occurrences of $P$ in $T$ can be calculated in $O(n m)$ time.

### 4.2.1 Window VLDC Pattern Matching.

This algorithm for VLDC patterns can be also extended to window-

```
Algorithm 2: Counting Minimal Crossing VLDC Occurrences.
    Input: SLP variable \(X_{i}=X_{\ell} X_{r}\), pattern \(P\), auxiliary tables \(L(i, j, k)\),
        \(R(i, j, k)\).
    Output: The number of minimal crossing VLDC occurrences \(C(\ell, r)\).
    \(d \leftarrow 0 ;(R[0], L[0]) \leftarrow\left(\mathbf{R}\left(\ell, m^{\prime}, 0\right), 0\right) ;\)
    for \(j \leftarrow m^{\prime}\) to 1 do
        forall the \(k \in O c c^{\ddagger}\left(X_{i}, s_{j}\right)\) in descending order do
            \(d \leftarrow d+1\)
            \((R[d], L[d]) \leftarrow\left(\mathbf{R}\left(\ell, j,\left|s_{j}\right|-k\right), \mathbf{L}(r, j, k)\right) ;\)
        \(d \leftarrow d+1 ;\)
        \((R[d], L[d]) \leftarrow(\mathbf{R}(\ell, j-1,0), \mathbf{L}(r, j, 0)) ;\)
    \(C \leftarrow 0 ;\)
    rmin \(\leftarrow R[0]\);
    for \(d^{\prime} \leftarrow 1\) to \(d-1\) do
        if rmin \(>R\left[d^{\prime}\right]\) and \(L\left[d^{\prime}\right]<L\left[d^{\prime}+1\right]\) then
            \(C \leftarrow C+1 ;\)
            \(r\) min \(\leftarrow R\left[d^{\prime}\right] ;\)
4 return \(C\);
```

accumulated problems by adding the condition " $R\left[d^{\prime}\right]+L\left[d^{\prime}\right] \leq w$ ".

## 5. Conclusion

All algorithms we presented in this paper run in $O(n m)$ time and space. A natural open problem is if this can be reduced further. Other open problems are mixing variable and fixed length don't care symbols, and constraining the minimum and maximum lengths of strings that variable-length don't care symbols can match.
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