

完全グラフの均衡的 (C_4, C_6) -Bowtie 分解アルゴリズム

潮 和彦 藤本 英昭

近畿大学理工学部

経営工学科 電子工学科

〒577-8502 東大阪市小若江 3-4-1

Tel: +81-6-6721-2332 (ext. 4615(潮) 4555(藤本))

Fax: +81-6-6730-1320(潮) +81-6-6727-2024(藤本)

E-mail: ushio@is.kindai.ac.jp fujimoto@ele.kindai.ac.jp

アブストラクト

グラフ理論において、グラフの分解問題は主要な研究テーマである。 C_4 、 C_6 をそれぞれ 4 点、6 点を通るサイクルとする。1 点を共有する辺素な 2 個のサイクル C_4 、 C_6 からなるグラフを (C_4, C_6) -bowtie という。本研究では、完全グラフ K_n を (C_4, C_6) -bowtie 部分グラフに均衡的に分解する分解アルゴリズムを与える。

キーワード: 均衡的 (C_4, C_6) -bowtie 分解; 完全グラフ; グラフ理論

Balanced (C_4, C_6) -Bowtie Decomposition Algorithm of Complete Graphs

Kazuhiko Ushio and Hideaki Fujimoto

Department of Industrial Engineering Department of Electronic Engineering

Faculty of Science and Technology

Kinki University

Osaka 577-8502, JAPAN

Tel: +81-6-6721-2332 (ext. 4615(Ushio) 4555(Fujimoto))

Fax: +81-6-6730-1320(Ushio) +81-6-6727-2024(Fujimoto)

E-mail: ushio@is.kindai.ac.jp fujimoto@ele.kindai.ac.jp

Abstract

In graph theory, the decomposition problem of graphs is a very important topic. Various types of decompositions of many graphs can be seen in the literature of graph theory. This paper gives a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition algorithm of the complete graph K_n .

Keywords: Balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition; Complete graph; Graph theory

1. Introduction

Let K_n denote the complete graph of n vertices. Let C_4 and C_6 be the 4-cycle and the 6-cycle, respectively. The (C_4, C_6) -bowtie is a graph of edge-disjoint C_4 and C_6 with a common vertex and the common vertex is called the center of the (C_4, C_6) -bowtie.

When K_n is decomposed into edge-disjoint sum of (C_4, C_6) -bowties, we say that K_n has a (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition. Moreover, when every vertex of K_n appears in the same number of (C_4, C_6) -bowties, we say that K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition and this number is called

the replication number.

It is a well-known result that K_n has a C_3 decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. This decomposition is known as a *Steiner triple system*. See Colbourn and Rosa[1] and Wallis[3, Chapter 12 : Triple Systems]. Horák and Rosa[2] proved that K_n has a (C_3, C_3) -bowtie decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $9 \pmod{12}$. This decomposition is known as a *bowtie system*.

In this paper, it is shown that the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_n is $n \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$.

2. Balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_n

We use the following notation for a (C_4, C_6) -bowtie.

Notation. We denote a (C_4, C_5) -bowtie passing through $v_1 - v_2 - v_3 - v_4 - v_1 - v_5 - v_6 - v_7 - v_8 - v_9 - v_1$ by $\{(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4), (v_1, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9)\}$.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem. K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$.

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose that K_n has a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition. Let b be the number of (C_4, C_6) -bowties and r be the replication number. Then $b = n(n-1)/20$ and $r = 9(n-1)/20$. Among r (C_4, C_6) -bowties having a vertex v of K_n , let r_1 and r_2 be the numbers of (C_4, C_6) -bowties in which v is the center and v is not the center, respectively. Then $r_1 + r_2 = r$. Counting the number of vertices adjacent to v , $4r_1 + 2r_2 = n-1$. From these relations, $r_1 = (n-1)/20$ and $r_2 = 2(n-1)/5$. Therefore, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$ is necessary.

(Sufficiency) We consider 2 cases.

Case 1. $n = 21$. Construct 21 (C_4, C_6) -bowties as follows:

- $B_1 = \{(1, 4, 10, 5), (1, 2, 9, 20, 11, 3)\}$
- $B_2 = \{(2, 5, 11, 6), (2, 3, 10, 21, 12, 4)\}$
- $B_3 = \{(3, 6, 12, 7), (3, 4, 11, 1, 13, 5)\}$
- $B_4 = \{(4, 7, 13, 8), (4, 5, 12, 2, 14, 6)\}$
- $B_5 = \{(5, 8, 14, 9), (5, 6, 13, 3, 15, 7)\}$
- $B_6 = \{(6, 9, 15, 10), (6, 7, 14, 4, 16, 8)\}$
- $B_7 = \{(7, 10, 16, 11), (7, 8, 15, 5, 17, 9)\}$
- $B_8 = \{(8, 11, 17, 12), (8, 9, 16, 6, 18, 10)\}$
- $B_9 = \{(9, 12, 18, 13), (9, 10, 17, 7, 19, 11)\}$
- $B_{10} = \{(10, 13, 19, 14), (10, 11, 18, 8, 20, 12)\}$
- $B_{11} = \{(11, 14, 20, 15), (11, 12, 19, 9, 21, 13)\}$
- $B_{12} = \{(12, 15, 21, 16), (12, 13, 20, 10, 1, 14)\}$
- $B_{13} = \{(13, 16, 1, 17), (13, 14, 21, 11, 2, 15)\}$
- $B_{14} = \{(14, 17, 2, 18), (14, 15, 1, 12, 3, 16)\}$
- $B_{15} = \{(15, 18, 3, 19), (15, 16, 2, 13, 4, 17)\}$
- $B_{16} = \{(16, 19, 4, 20), (16, 17, 3, 14, 5, 18)\}$
- $B_{17} = \{(17, 20, 5, 21), (17, 18, 4, 15, 6, 19)\}$
- $B_{18} = \{(18, 21, 6, 1), (18, 19, 5, 16, 7, 20)\}$
- $B_{19} = \{(19, 1, 7, 2), (19, 20, 6, 17, 8, 21)\}$
- $B_{20} = \{(20, 2, 8, 3), (20, 21, 7, 18, 9, 1)\}$
- $B_{21} = \{(21, 3, 9, 4), (21, 1, 8, 19, 10, 2)\}$.

This decomposition can be written as follows:

$$B_i = \{(i, i+3, i+9, i+4), (i, i+1, i+8, i+19, i+10, i+2)\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 21),$$

where the additions $i+x$ are taken modulo 19 with residues 1, 2, ..., 21.

Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{21} .

Note. We consider the vertex set V of K_n as $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

The additions $i+x$ are taken modulo n with residues 1, 2, ..., n .

Case 2. $n = 20t + 1$ and $t \geq 2$. Construct tn (C_4, C_6) -bowties as follows:

$$B_i^{(1)} = \{(i, i+2t+1, i+17t+2, i+3t+1), (i, i+1, i+6t+2, i+16t+3, i+8t+2, i+t+1)\}$$

$$B_i^{(2)} = \{(i, i+2t+2, i+17t+4, i+3t+2), (i, i+2, i+6t+4, i+16t+6, i+8t+4, i+t+2)\}$$

$$B_i^{(3)} = \{(i, i+2t+3, i+17t+6, i+3t+3), (i, i+3, i+6t+6, i+16t+9, i+8t+6, i+t+3)\}$$

$$\dots$$

$$B_i^{(t-2)} = \{(i, i+3t-2, i+19t-4, i+4t-2), (i, i+t-2, i+8t-4, i+19t-6, i+10t-4, i+2t-2)\}$$

$$B_i^{(t-1)} = \{(i, i+3t-1, i+19t-2, i+4t-1), (i, i+t, i+8t, i+19t, i+10t, i+2t)\}$$

$$B_i^{(t)} = \{(i, i+3t, i+19t, i+4t), (i, i+t-1, i+8t-2, i+19t-3, i+10t-2, i+2t-1)\}$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_n .

This completes the proof.

Example 1. A balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{41} .

Construct 82 (C_4, C_6) -bowties as follows:

$$B_i^{(1)} = \{(i, i+5, i+36, i+7), (i, i+2, i+16, i+38, i+20, i+4)\}$$

$$B_i^{(2)} = \{(i, i+6, i+38, i+8), (i, i+1, i+14, i+35, i+18, i+3)\}$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, 41).$$

Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{41} .

Example 2. A balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{61} .

Construct 183 (C_4, C_6) -bowties as follows:

$$B_i^{(1)} = \{(i, i+7, i+53, i+10), (i, i+1, i+20, i+51, i+26, i+4)\}$$

$$B_i^{(2)} = \{(i, i+8, i+55, i+11), (i, i+3, i+24, i+57, i+30, i+6)\}$$

$$B_i^{(3)} = \{(i, i+9, i+57, i+12), (i, i+2, i+22, i+54, i+28, i+5)\}$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, 61).$$

Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{61} .

Example 3. A balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{81} .

Construct 324 (C_4, C_6) -bowties as follows:

$$B_i^{(1)} = \{(i, i+9, i+70, i+13), (i, i+1, i+26, i+67, i+34, i+5)\}$$

$$B_i^{(2)} = \{(i, i+10, i+72, i+14), (i, i+2, i+28, i+70, i+36, i+6)\}$$

$$B_i^{(3)} = \{(i, i+11, i+74, i+15), (i, i+4, i+32, i+76, i+40, i+8)\}$$

$$B_i^{(4)} = \{(i, i+12, i+76, i+16), (i, i+3, i+30, i+73, i+38, i+7)\}$$

$$(i = 1, 2, \dots, 81).$$

Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{81} .

Example 4. A balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{101} .

Construct 505 (C_4, C_6) -bowties as follows:

$$B_i^{(1)} = \{(i, i+11, i+87, i+16), (i, i+1, i+32, i+83, i+42, i+6)\}$$

$$B_i^{(2)} = \{(i, i+12, i+89, i+17), (i, i+2, i+34, i+86, i+44, i+7)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_i^{(3)} &= \{(i, i + 13, i + 91, i + 18), (i, i + 3, i + 36, i + 89, i + 46, i + 8)\} \\
B_i^{(4)} &= \{(i, i + 14, i + 93, i + 19), (i, i + 5, i + 40, i + 95, i + 50, i + 10)\} \\
B_i^{(5)} &= \{(i, i + 15, i + 95, i + 20), (i, i + 4, i + 38, i + 92, i + 48, i + 9)\} \\
&\quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 101).
\end{aligned}$$

Then they comprise a balanced (C_4, C_6) -bowtie decomposition of K_{101} .

References

- [1] C. J. Colbourn and A. Rosa, Triple Systems. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1999).
- [2] P. Horák and A. Rosa, Decomposing Steiner triple systems into small configurations, *Ars Combinatoria* 26 (1988), pp. 91–105.
- [3] W. D. Wallis, Combinatorial Designs. Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel (1988).