Regular Paper

Sigma Coloring and Edge Deletions

Agnes D. Garciano^{1,a)} Reginaldo M. Marcelo^{1,b)} Mari-Jo P. Ruiz^{1,c)} Mark Anthony C. Tolentino^{1,d)}

Received: December 31, 2019, Accepted: September 10, 2020

Abstract: A vertex coloring $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ of a non-trivial graph *G* is called a *sigma coloring* if $\sigma(u) \neq \sigma(v)$ for any pair of adjacent vertices *u* and *v*. Here, $\sigma(x)$ denotes the sum of the colors assigned to vertices adjacent to *x*. The *sigma chromatic number* of *G*, denoted by $\sigma(G)$, is defined as the fewest number of colors needed to construct a sigma coloring of *G*. In this paper, we consider the sigma chromatic number of graphs obtained by deleting one or more of its edges. In particular, we study the difference $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e)$ in general as well as in restricted scenarios; here, G - e is the graph obtained by deleting an edge *e* from *G*. Furthermore, we study the sigma chromatic number of graphs obtained via multiple edge deletions in complete graphs by considering the complements of paths and cycles.

Keywords: sigma coloring, edge deletion, neighbor-distinguishing coloring, complement

1. Introduction

A neighbor-distinguishing graph coloring is a coloring of the vertices and/or edges of a graph that induces a vertex labelling under which any pair of adjacent vertices is assigned different labels. The most studied example of a neighbordistinguishing coloring is the well-studied proper vertex coloring. Several neighbor-distinguishing colorings have been introduced and studied in the literature such as in Refs. [2] and [5]. In Ref. [4], Chartrand, Okamoto, and Zhang introduced a new kind of neighbor-distinguishing vertex coloring defined as follows.

Definition 1 (Chartrand et al. [4]). For a non-trivial connected graph G, let $c : V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ be a vertex coloring of G. For each $v \in V(G)$, the **color sum** of v, denoted by $\sigma(v)$, is defined to be the sum of the colors of the vertices adjacent to v. If $\sigma(u) \neq \sigma(v)$ for every two adjacent $u, v \in V(G)$, then c is called a **sigma coloring** of G. The minimum number of colors required in a sigma coloring of G is called its **sigma chromatic number** and is denoted by $\sigma(G)$.

The notion of sigma coloring is related to the vertex colorings/labellings discussed in Refs. [1], [8], [11]. These colorings/labellings also use the sum of the colors/labels of a vertex's neighbors. Sigma colorings of different families of graphs have already been studied in Refs. [4], [6], and [9].

In this paper, we study the sigma chromatic number in relation to edge deletion. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq V$ and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq E$. We denote by $G - \mathcal{V}$ the graph obtained by deleting from G all vertices in \mathcal{V} and all edges with at least one end vertex in \mathcal{V} . Moreover, we denote by $G - \mathcal{E}$ the graph obtained by deleting from G all edges in \mathcal{E} . For simplicity, when \mathcal{V} or \mathcal{E} is a singleton, say $\{k\}$, we denote $G - \mathcal{V}$ or $G - \mathcal{E}$ simply by G - k.

Previous work has been done on chromatic numbers in relation to edge deletion. For instance, it is well-known that $0 \le \chi(G) - \chi(G-e) \le 1$. In Ref. [10], the notion of critical edges (and vertices) was considered and defined as follows: An edge (or vertex) in a graph is *critical* if its deletion reduces the chromatic number of the graph by one. The paper studied the complexity of the problem of testing for the existence of critical vertices and edges in *H*-free graphs and showed that an edge in a graph is critical if and only if its contraction reduces the chromatic number by one.

In Ref. [7], *b*-colorings were studied in relation to edge-deleted subgraphs. A *b*-coloring of a graph *G* with *k* colors is a proper coloring of *G* that uses *k* colors such that for each color class, there is a vertex that has a neighbor in each of the other color classes. The *b*-chromatic number of *G*, denoted by b(G), is the largest positive integer *k* for which *G* has a *b*-coloring using *k* colors. In Ref. [7], it was shown that $b(G) - b(G - e) \ge 2 - \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

In Ref. [2], Chartrand et al. studied edge deletion in relation to another neighbor-distinguishing coloring called set coloring. Let $c: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a vertex coloring of a non-trivial connected graph *G* and denote by NC(*x*) the set of colors assigned to vertices adjacent to *x*. Then *c* is called a *set coloring* if NC(*u*) \neq NC(*v*) for any pair of adjacent vertices *u* and *v*. The *set chromatic number* of *G*, denoted by $\chi_S(G)$, is defined as the least number of colors needed to construct a set coloring of *G*. Since a set coloring induces a proper vertex coloring using the neighborhood of each vertex, it is interesting to study the effect of edge deletion (i.e., the removal of a neighbor from two vertices) on the set chromatic number. In Ref. [2], Chartrand et al. proved the following:

Theorem 2 (Ref. [2]).

(1) If e is an edge of a graph G, then

$$|\chi_S(G) - \chi_S(G - e)| \le 2.$$

¹ Ateneo de Manila University, Quezon City, Philippines 1108

a) agarciano@ateneo.edu

 ^{b)} rmarcelo@ateneo.edu
 ^{c)} mruiz@ateneo.edu

c) mruiz@ateneo.edu
 d) mtolentino@ateneo.edu

d) mtolentino@ateneo.edu

(2) If e = uv is an edge of a graph G that is not a bridge such that $d_{G-e}(u, v) \ge 4$, then

$$|\chi_S(G) - \chi_S(G - e)| \le 1.$$

Since a sigma coloring also induces a proper vertex coloring using the neighborhood of each vertex, it is natural to also study the effect of edge deletion on the sigma chromatic number of a graph and establish bounds analagous to those in Theorem 2. It is worth noting that a proper vertex coloring of a graph *G* induces, in different ways, both a sigma coloring and a set coloring of *G*; that is, $\chi(G)$ is a natural upper bound for both $\sigma(G)$ and $\chi_S(G)$.

2. Sigma Coloring and Edge Deletion

Our first result is on the bounds for $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G-e)$ for general *G*. The result is analogous to the result in Theorem 2. **Theorem 3.** *If* e = uv *is an edge of a graph G, then*

$$|\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e)| \le 2.$$

Proof. We first show that $\sigma(G - e) - \sigma(G) \le 2$. Let *c* be a sigma coloring of *G* that uses $\sigma(G)$ colors. We will show that G - e can be sigma colored using $\sigma(G) + 2$ colors. Define the coloring \overline{c} on G - e as follows:

$$\overline{c}(x) = \begin{cases} c(x), & x \notin \{u, v\} \\ c(x) + S, & x \in \{u, v\}, \end{cases}$$

where $S := \sum_{x \in V(G)} c(x)$. Note that \overline{c} uses at most $\sigma(G) + 2$ colors. For a vertex $x \in V(G - e)$, we denote by $\overline{\sigma}(x)$ the color sum of x with respect to \overline{c} . Then since $\sigma(x) \leq S - c(x) < S$ for every $x \in V(G)$, we have $\overline{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u) - c(v) < S$ and $\overline{\sigma}(v) = \sigma(v) - c(u) < S$. If y is adjacent to u or v (possibly both), then it is clear that $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \sigma(y) + S > S$ or $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \sigma(y) + 2S > S$; and so $\overline{\sigma}(y) \notin \{\overline{\sigma}(u), \overline{\sigma}(v)\}$. Now, suppose that x_1 and x_2 , where both x_1 and x_2 are neither u nor v, are adjacent in G - e. Then exactly one of the following holds for x_1 (resp. x_2): (1) it is not adjacent to both u and v, (2) it is adjacent to u or v but not both, or (3) it is adjacent to both u and v. Thus,

$$\overline{\sigma}(x_1) \in \{\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_1) + S, \sigma(x_1) + 2S\}$$

and

$$\overline{\sigma}(x_2) \in \{\sigma(x_2), \sigma(x_2) + S, \sigma(x_2) + 2S\}.$$

Since $\sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$ and by the definition of *S*, it follows that $\overline{\sigma}(x_1) \neq \overline{\sigma}(x_2)$. Hence, \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of G - e that uses at most $\sigma(G) + 2$ colors.

Now, we show that $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e) \le 2$. Let *c* be a sigma coloring of G - e that uses $\sigma(G - e)$ colors. We will show that *G* can be sigma colored using at most $\sigma(G - e) + 2$ colors. Note that the addition of edge *e* to G - e (to form *G*) changes the color sums of only *u* and *v*. Define the coloring \overline{c} on *G* as follows:

$$\overline{c}(x) = \begin{cases} c(x), & x \notin \{u, v\} \\ c(x) + S, & x = u, \\ c(x) + 2S, & x = v, \end{cases}$$

where $S := \sum_{x \in V(G-e)} c(x)$. Note that \overline{c} uses at most $\sigma(G - e) + 2$

colors. Again, for a vertex $x \in V(G)$, we denote by $\overline{\sigma}(x)$ the color sum of x with respect to \overline{c} . We have $\sigma(x) < S$ for every $x \in V(G - e) (= V(G)$. Also, $0 < \sigma(u) + c(v) \le S$ and $0 < \sigma(v) + c(u) \le S$ since $uv \notin E(G - e)$. It follows that

$$2S < \overline{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u) + c(v) + 2S \le 3S$$

and

$$S < \overline{\sigma}(v) = \sigma(v) + c(u) + S \le 2S$$

Thus, $\overline{\sigma}(u) \neq \overline{\sigma}(v)$.

Now, suppose y is a vertex that is neither u nor v.

- If y is adjacent to u but not to v, then $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \sigma(y) + S \le 2S < \overline{\sigma}(u)$.
- If y is adjacent to v but not to u, then $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \sigma(y) + 2S > 2S \ge \overline{\sigma}(v)$.
- If y is adjacent to both u and v, then $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \sigma(y) + 3S$, which is clearly strictly greater than both $\overline{\sigma}(u)$ and $\overline{\sigma}(v)$.

Now, suppose x_1 and x_2 , both not u nor v, are adjacent in G, then x_1 and x_2 are also adjacent in G - e. Similar to the previous argument, we have

$$\overline{\sigma}(x_1) \in \{\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_1) + S, \sigma(x_1) + 2S, \sigma(x_1) + 3S\}$$

and

$$\overline{\sigma}(x_2) \in \{\sigma(x_2), \sigma(x_2) + S, \sigma(x_2) + 2S, \sigma(x_2) + 3S\}$$

Since $\sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$ and by the definition of *S*, it follows that $\overline{\sigma}(x_1) \neq \overline{\sigma}(x_2)$. Hence, \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of *G* that uses at most $\sigma(G) + 2$ colors.

Example 4. For all $m \ge 6$ and $k \in \{-1, 0\}$, there is a connected graph *G*, with order *m*, that has an edge *e* so that *G* – *e* is connected and $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e) = k$.

Proof. Consider the graph G given below.

Clearly, $\sigma(G) = 1$. Moreover, $\sigma(G - e_1) = 1$ and $\sigma(G - e_2) = 2$.

In the above example, we considered only -1 and 0 as values for k. The case where k = 1 or k = 2 is addressed in the following. We study the existence of sequences of edge deletions each of which decreases the sigma chromatic number of a graph by one. We consider this problem for path complements, which we define as follows:



Fig. 1 The graph G with order 4 + n.



Fig. 2 The path complement $\overline{P}_{4,7}$.

Definition 5. The complement of a path P_m , $m \ge 2$, in the complete graph K_n , $n \ge m$, is the graph obtained by deleting the edges of a subgraph of K_n that is isomorphic to P_m . This graph is denoted by $\overline{P}_{m,n}$.

As an example, the graph $\overline{P}_{4,7}$ is shown in **Fig.2** where the deleted edges are indicated using dashed segments.

Observation 6. It is easy to see that $\overline{P}_{2,n}$, $n \ge 3$, has sigma chromatic number n - 2; that is, deleting one edge from K_n decreases the sigma chromatic number by two.

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1 in Ref. [4], it is worth noting that there is no sequence of edge deletions in K_n that will decrease the sigma chromatic number to n - 1.

Our result on the sigma chromatic number of path complements is the following.

Proposition 7. *For* $n \ge 4$ *and* $m = 2, 3, ..., \lceil n/2 \rceil$ *,*

$$\sigma(P_{m,n})=n-m$$

Proof. First, note that the graph $\overline{P}_{m,n}$ has exactly one subgraph *S* that is isomorphic to K_{n-m} . Moreover, for each $s \in V(S)$, $N[s] = V(\overline{P}_{m,n})$. Hence, $\sigma(\overline{P}_{m,n}) \ge n - m$.

We are now left to show that $\overline{P}_{m,n}$ has a sigma coloring that uses n - m colors. Let c be a sigma coloring of K_n ; naturally, cuses n colors. Moreover, by setting $d = \Delta(K_n) + 1 = n$, we can choose the colors used by c to be

$$1, d, d^2, \ldots, d^{n-1}.$$

We proceed by considering the following cases.

Case 1. Suppose n = 5 and $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil = 3$. This case pertains to $\overline{P}_{3,5}$, for which it is easy to verify that the sigma chromatic number is 5 - 3 = 2.

Case 2. Suppose $n \ge 7$ is odd and $m = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. Let *a* and *b* be the endvertices of the path P_m whose edges were deleted from K_n to form $\overline{P}_{m,n}$. Construct the coloring \overline{c} on $\overline{P}_{m,n}$ as follows: if $x \in V(S)$, set $\overline{c}(x) = c(x)$; moreover, we define \overline{c} on $V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$ so that

$$(1) \ \overline{c}(V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)) \subseteq \overline{c}(S),$$

(2) $\overline{c}(a) = \overline{c}(b)$,

(3) $\overline{c}(x) \neq \overline{c}(a)$ for all $x \in V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$, and

(4) $\overline{c}(x) \neq \overline{c}(y)$ for all $x, y \in V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$.

Note that such a coloring is possible since the vertices in $V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$ use only m-1 colors and $m-1 = \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1 = n-m = |V(S)|$. We now show that \overline{c} is a sigma coloring. Suppose x_1 and x_2 are adjacent in $\overline{P}_{m,n}$.

• Case 2.1: Suppose x_1 and x_2 are both in V(S). Then $\overline{\sigma}(x_1) = \sigma(x_1)$ and $\overline{\sigma}(x_2) = \sigma(x_2)$; hence, $\overline{\sigma}(x_1) \neq \overline{\sigma}(x_2)$.

- Case 2.2: Suppose x₁ is in V(S) while x₂ is in V(P
 _{m,n})−V(S). Then deg x₁ = n − 1 while deg x₂ = n − 2. By the choice of colors of c, σ(x₁) ≠ σ(x₂).
- Case 2.3: Suppose $x_1 = a$ and $x_2 = b$. Then deg $x_1 =$ deg $x_2 = n 2$. Since $m \ge 4$, then x_1 and x_2 do not have the same neighbors in $V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) V(S)$. By the construction of $\overline{c}, \sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$.
- Case 2.4: Suppose $x_1 \in \{a, b\}$ and $x_2 \in V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) (V(S) \cup \{a, b\})$. Then deg $x_1 = n 2$ and deg $x_2 = n 3$. By the choice of colors of $c, \sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$.
- Case 2.5: Suppose x_1 and x_2 are both in $V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) (V(S) \cup \{a, b\})$. Then deg $x_1 = \deg x_2 = n 3$ and $\overline{c}(x_1) \neq \overline{c}(x_2)$. Hence, $\sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$.

Therefore, \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of $\overline{P}_{m,n}$ that uses n - m colors. **Case 3.** Suppose *n* is even or $2 \le m \le \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$. Construct the coloring \overline{c} on $\overline{P}_{m,n}$ as follows: if $x \in V(S)$, set $\overline{c}(x) = c(x)$; moreover, we define \overline{c} on $V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$ so that

(1) $\overline{c}(V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)) \subseteq \overline{c}(S)$, and

(2) $\overline{c}(x) \neq \overline{c}(y)$ for all $x, y \in V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$.

Note that such a coloring is possible since the vertices in $V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) - V(S)$ use only *m* colors and $m \le n - m = |S|$. We now show that \overline{c} is a sigma coloring. Suppose x_1 and x_2 are adjacent in $\overline{P}_{m,n}$.

- Case 3.1: Suppose x_1 and x_2 are both in V(S). Then $\overline{\sigma}(x_1) = \sigma(x_1)$ and $\overline{\sigma}(x_2) = \sigma(x_2)$; hence, $\overline{\sigma}(x_1) \neq \overline{\sigma}(x_2)$.
- Case 3.2: Suppose x₁ is in V(S) while x₂ is in V(P
 _{m,n})−V(S). Then deg x₁ = n − 1 while deg x₂ = n − 2. By the choice of colors of c, σ(x₁) ≠ σ(x₂).
- Case 3.3: Suppose $x_1 \in \{a, b\}$ and $x_2 \in V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) (V(S) \cup \{a, b\})$. Then deg $x_1 = n 2$ and deg $x_2 = n 3$. By the choice of colors of $c, \sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$.
- Case 3.4: Suppose $(x_1 = a \text{ and } x_1 = b)$ or $(x_1 \text{ and } x_2 \text{ are both in } V(\overline{P}_{m,n}) (V(S) \cup \{a, b\}))$. Then deg $x_1 = \deg x_2$ and $\overline{c}(x_1) \neq \overline{c}(x_2)$. Hence, $\sigma(x_1) \neq \sigma(x_2)$.

Therefore, \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of $\overline{P}_{m,n}$ that uses n-m colors. Proposition 7 implies the following: Consider a subgraph of K_n isomorphic to a path $P_m : v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_m$, where each v_i is a vertex of K_n . The deletion of edge v_1v_2 decreases the sigma chromatic number by two. Then in the sequence of deletions of edges v_iv_{i+1} where *i* runs from 2 to m-1, each edge deletion decreases the sigma chromatic number by one. This is illustrated for K_7 in **Fig. 3**. For comparison, the same sequence of edge deletions in Fig. 3 produces the following sequence of chromatic numbers: $\chi = 6, \chi = 6, \chi = 5$.

Example 4, Observation 6, and Proposition 7 imply the following:

Corollary 8. For each $m \ge 6$ and for each $k \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2\}$, there is a connected graph G, with order m, that has an edge e for which G - e is connected and $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e) = k$.

We have not found a graph G that has an edge e for which $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e) = -2$. But as in Ref. [2], we have also found sufficient conditions for the inequality $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e) \ge -1$ to hold.

Theorem 9. Let e = uv be an edge in a graph G. If e is a bridge or $d_{G-e}(u, v) \ge 4$, then $\sigma(G) - \sigma(G - e) \ge -1$.

Proof. Let *c* be a sigma coloring of *G* that uses $\sigma(G)$ colors. We will show that G - e can be colored using $\sigma(G) + 1$ colors. Define







Fig. 3 A sequence of edge deletions in K_7 .

 \overline{c} on G - e as follows:

$$\overline{c}(x) = \begin{cases} S, & x \in \{u, v\}, \\ c(x), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $S := \sum_{x \in V(G)} c(x)$.

Note that \overline{c} uses at most $\sigma(G) + 1$ colors. We will show \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of G - e. Let x and y be adjacent vertices in G - e. As detailed in Ref. [3], we can make a change of colors to ensure that $\overline{\sigma}(x) \neq \overline{\sigma}(y)$ whenever x and y are vertices of different degrees. For instance, we may first choose the colors used by c to be $1, d, d^2, \ldots, d^{\sigma(G)-1}$, where $d := \Delta(G) + 1$ and update $S := d^{\sigma(G)}$, which is greater than $\sum_{x \in V(G)} c(x)$. With this choice of colors, two adjacent vertices may have equal color sums only if they have equal degrees. Hence, we only need to consider the case that deg $x = \deg y$.

Case 1. Suppose x = u. Then *y* cannot be adjacent to *v* since this will create a u - v path of length 2. Also, $\sigma(y) - c(u) \ge 0$ as *u* and *y* are adjacent. In this case, $\overline{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u) - c(v) < S$ and $\overline{\sigma}(y) = \sigma(y) - c(u) + S \ge S$. Then $\overline{\sigma}(y) \ge S > \overline{\sigma}(u)$.

Case 2. Suppose x = v. Then this case proceeds in a similar manner as Case 1.

We now consider the case where $\{x, y\} \cap \{u, v\} = \emptyset$. If x is adjacent to u, then x and y must not be adjacent to v since this would

create a u - v path of length 2 or 3. Moreover, $\sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y)$ since x and y are also adjacent in G.

Case 3. Suppose $x \in N(u)$ and $y \in N(u)$. Then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) - c(u) + S \neq \sigma(y) - c(u) + S = \overline{\sigma}(y)$.

Case 4. Suppose $x \in N(u)$ and $y \notin N(u)$. Then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) - c(u) + S \neq \sigma(y) = \overline{\sigma}(y)$.

Case 5. Suppose $x \notin N(u)$ and $y \notin N(u)$. Then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y) = \overline{\sigma}(y)$.

Therefore, \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of G - e that uses $\sigma(G) + 1$ colors.

In the following, we consider edge deletions in regular graphs of order at least 2.

Proposition 10. Suppose G is a connected regular graph with order at least 2.

- (1) For any edge e = uv in G, $\sigma(G e) \le \sigma(G)$.
- (2) If G is not complete and $e = uv \notin E(G)$, then $\sigma(G + e) \le \sigma(G) + 1$.
- *Proof.* (1) Suppose *c* is a sigma coloring of *G* that uses $\sigma(G)$ colors. Let \overline{c} be the coloring of G e so that $\overline{c}(x) = c(x)$ for each $x \in V(G e) = V(G)$. We show that \overline{c} is a sigma coloring of G e. First, $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x)$ for each $x \notin \{u, v\}$. Let *x* and *y* be adjacent vertices in G e. If they have different degrees, then $\overline{\sigma}(x) \neq \overline{\sigma}(y)$ (possibly needing a change of colors as in the proof of Theorem 9). If they have equal degrees, then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y) = \overline{\sigma}(y)$.
- (2) Let *c* be a sigma coloring of *G* that uses *σ*(*G*) colors. Let *c* be the coloring of *G* + *e* where *c*(*x*) = *c*(*x*) if *x* ≠ *v* and *c*(*v*) = *S* := ∑_{z∈V(G)} *c*(*z*). Let *x*, *y* be adjacent vertices of *G*+*e* with equal degrees. Then {*x*, *y*} = {*u*, *v*} or {*x*, *y*} ∩ {*u*, *v*} = Ø.
 (a) If *x* and *y* are both not in N_G(*v*), then *σ*(*x*) = *σ*(*x*) ≠ *σ*(*y*) = *σ*(*y*);
 - (b) If x and y are both in $N_G(v)$, then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) c(v) + S \neq \sigma(y) c(v) + S = \overline{\sigma}(y)$;
 - (c) If exactly one of x and y is in $N_G(v)$, say $x \in N_G(v)$ and $y \notin N_G(v)$, then $\overline{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) - c(v) + S > \sigma(y) = \overline{\sigma}(y)$. This also covers the case where $\{x, y\} = \{u, v\}$.

3. On the Sigma Chromatic Number of Complements of Paths and Cycles

In this section, we determine a lower bound for the sigma chromatic number of the complement of a cycle or a path. For convenience, we introduce the following notations. For a cycle $C_n = v_1v_2\cdots v_nv_1$, $n \ge 3$ and for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, we denote by A_k the triple of vertices $(v_{2k-1}, v_{2k}, v_{2k+1})$ and by B_k the triple of vertices $(v_{2k-2}, v_{2k-1}, v_{2k})$ (Note that the subscripts are computed modulo *n*). For example, in $C_7 = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6v_7v_1$, we have

 $A_1 = (v_1, v_2, v_3), \quad A_2 = (v_3, v_4, v_5), \quad A_3 = (v_5, v_6, v_7),$

and

 $B_1 = (v_7, v_1, v_2), \quad B_2 = (v_2, v_3, v_4), \quad B_3 = (v_4, v_5, v_6).$

Given an ordered triple T of vertices (e.g., some A_k or B_k) and a vertex coloring c of C_n or $\overline{C_n}$, we denote by c(T) the multiset of colors used in the vertices in *T*. Note that c(T) is a multiset and not an ordered triple. The following is an important observation. **Observation 11.** If *c* is a sigma coloring of $\overline{C_n}$, then for any triple *T* and *T'* of consecutive vertices in C_n , we must have $c(T) \neq c(T')$ if $|T \cap T'| \leq 1$. In particular, for any distinct *k*, *j*, we must have $c(A_k) \neq c(A_j)$ and $c(B_k) \neq c(B_j)$.

The above observation follows from the fact that if *v* is the middle vertex in a triple *T*, then $\sigma(v) = S - \sum_{x \in T} c(x)$, where $S := \sum_{z \in V(\overline{C_n})} c(z)$.

Proposition 12. Let *m* be a positive integer and set $M = \binom{m+2}{3}$. Then $\sigma(\overline{C_n}) > m$ for all $n \ge 2M + 1$.

Proof. Suppose c is a vertex coloring of $\overline{C_n}$ that uses m colors. Moreover, assume that the colors are $1, d, d^2, \ldots, d^{m-1}$, where d = n - 2. Then the number of 3-multisets that can be formed using these m colors (repetition of colors allowed) is M. By the choice of colors, it also follows that there are M possible color sums.

Suppose $n \ge 2M + 2$. Then $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor > \frac{n}{2} - 1 \ge M$. By Observation 11, we must have $M \ge \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Therefore, *c* is not a sigma coloring of $\overline{C_n}$ and $\sigma(\overline{C_n}) > m$.

Now, suppose n = 2M + 1. Then $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor = M$. For *c* to be a sigma coloring, by Observation 11, $c(A_1), c(A_2), \ldots, c(A_M)$ must be distinct triples. Furthermore, $c(B_1)$ must be distinct from $c(A_2), c(A_3), \ldots, c(A_M)$. Then $c(B_1) = c(A_1)$. Similarly, $c(B_2)$ must be distinct from $c(A_3), c(A_4), \ldots, c(A_M)$ and $c(B_1) = c(A_1)$; thus, $c(B_2) = c(A_2)$. Proceeding in this manner, we conclude that we must have $c(A_k) = c(B_k)$ for all k = $1, 2, \ldots, M$. Now, consider the triple $T = (v_{2M}, v_{2M+1}, v_1)$. Again, for *c* to be a sigma coloring, we must have c(T) distinct from $c(A_1), c(A_2), \ldots, c(A_{M-1})$ and $c(B_M) = c(A_M)$. But since *T* is a triple not in $\{A_k, B_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots, M\}, c(T)$ will have to be one of $c(A_1), c(A_2), \ldots, c(A_{M-1}), c(A_M)$, which implies that *c* is not a sigma coloring of $\overline{C_n}$. Therefore, $\sigma(\overline{C_n}) > m$.

We now turn to the complements of paths. Suppose $P_n = v_1v_2\cdots v_n$, $n \ge 3$. Note that the vertices $v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{n-1}$, which are of degree n-3 in $\overline{P_n}$, will also have color sums corresponding to 3-multisets of colors. Hence, by arguing in a similar manner as in Proposition 12, we obtain the following.

Proposition 13. Let *m* be a positive integer and set $M = \binom{m+2}{3}$. Then $\sigma(\overline{P_n}) > m$ for all $n \ge 2M + 3$.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the Office of the Vice President for the Loyola Schools and the Department of Mathematics BCA fund of Ateneo de Manila University for supporting our attendance at this conference. Moreover, we would like to thank the organizers of JCDCG³ 2019 for warmly welcoming us and hosting a wonderful conference. Finally, we would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments that resulted in the improvement of this paper.

References

- Akbari, S., Ghanbari, M., Manaviyat, R. and Zare, S.: On the Lucky Choice Number of Graphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, Vol.29, No.2, pp.157–163 (2013).
- [2] Chartrand, G., Okamoto, F., Rasmussen, C.W. and Zhang, P.: The Set Chromatic Number of a Graph, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, Vol.29, No.3, pp.545–561 (2009).

[3] Chartrand, G., Okamoto, F. and Zhang, P.: Neighbor-distinguishing

vertex colorings of graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., Vol.74, pp.223-251 (2010).

- [4] Chartrand, G., Okamoto, F. and Zhang, P.: The Sigma Chromatic Number of a Graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, Vol.26, pp.755–773 (2010).
- [5] Czerwiński, S., Grytczuk, J. and Żelazny, W.: Lucky Labelings of Graphs, *Information Processing Letters*, Vol.109, pp.1078–1081 (2009).
- [6] da Soledade Gonzaga, L.G. and de Almeida, S.M.: Sigma Coloring on Powers of Paths and Some Families of Snarks, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol.346, pp.485–496 (2019).
- [7] Francis, P. and Raj, S.F.: Bounds for the b-chromatic number of subgraphs and edge-deleted subgraphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, Vol.36, pp.959–976 (2016).
- [8] Dafik, A.I.K., Utoyo, M.I., Slamin, Alfarisi, R., Agustin, I.H. and Venkatachalam, M.: Local Irregularity Vertex Coloring of Graphs, *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, Vol.10, No.3, pp.1606–1616 (2019).
- [9] Luzon, P.A., Ruiz, M.-J. and Tolentino, M.A.: The Sigma Chromatic Number of the Circulant Graphs $C_n(1,2)$, $C_n(1,3)$, and $C_{2n}(1,n)$, *Discrete and Computational Geometry and Graphs. JCDCGG 2015*, Akiyama, J., Ito, H., Sakai, T. and Uno, Y. (Eds.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol.9943, Springer (2016).
- [10] Picouleau, C., Paulusma, D. and Ries, B.: Reducing the Chromatic Number by Vertex or Edge Deletions, *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, Vol.62, No.3, pp.243–248 (Nov. 2017).
- [11] Slamin: On Distance Irregular Labelling of Graphs, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol.102, No.5, pp.919–932 (2017).



Agnes D. Garciano is an Associate Professor of the Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines. She obtained her Ph.D. in Mathematics from Ateneo de Manila University in 1998 under the direction of Professor Jose Marasigan and Professor Yutaka Hiramine. She was a visiting researcher at Sophia University, Tokyo, in

April, 2004. Her research work lies in the area of Combinatorics and Graph Theory. In particular, she has been interested in graph coloring and in the structure of zero-divisor graphs. She is also currently engaged in a study on the Calculus readiness of freshmen university students.



Reginaldo M. Marcelo is an associate professor at the Mathematics Dept. of the Ateneo de Manila University. He obtained his B.S., M.S., and D.Sc. degrees in Mathematics from AdMU in 1985, University of the Philippines in Diliman in 1989, and at Sophia University in Japan in 1994, respectively. His research interests include

graph coloring, domination in graphs, and applications of groups in graphs.



Mari-Jo P. Ruiz is Professor Emeritus at Ateneo de Manila University. Her research work is in the area of Graph Theory. Her master's degree is from the Courant Institute of the Mathematical Sciences of New York University while her Ph.D. is from Ateneo. She has spent time at Tokai University, Sophia Univer-

sity, and Rikkyo University either on sabbatical or on research grants from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. She is co-author with Jin Akiyama of a book popularizing mathematics entitled "A Day's Adventure in Math Wonderland," now available in seven languages.



Mark Anthony C. Tolentino is an Assistant Professor of the Ateneo de Manila University (ADMU). He obtained his Ph.D. in Mathematics from the same university in 2015 under the direction of Professor Hidetoshi Tahara of Sophia University in Tokyo, where he was also a joint researcher from 2014 to 2015. His

research interests include graph theory, particularly neighbordistinguishing colorings. Moreover, he is a member of ADMU's mathplus team that is working on the design and development of mobile and web applications for mathematics education in the grade school and high school levels.