

On a Numerical Calculation of the Finite Fourier Integrals with the High Frequency

NAOTAKA IMADA*

Abstract

In the machine calculation of the finite Fourier integrals

$$F(\omega) \equiv \int_a^b f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

by the ordinary approximation formulas of numerical integration such as Simpson's, a difficulty arises for high frequency ω , because the stepsize must be taken smaller than in low frequency in order to secure the accuracy of the method in such a case. It should be noted that the same situation also occurs in applying faster algorithm like as Goertzel's or Cooley-Tukey's. For these values to be calculated must be exactly the same as those by the ordinary approximation.

Filon's method which approximates $f(t)$ by series of parabolic arcs and uses the integration by parts on the series covers this fault to the extent of the truncation error $O(1/\omega^2)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. But as long as such an approximation is used, it seems that improvement on this error bound can not be expected.

Extending the Filon's idea, the author produced a formula which agrees with $F(\omega)$ within the truncation error of $O(1/\omega^{2K+1})$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, where K stands for any desired positive integer. The formula does not make it necessary to compute any derivatives, but it consists in combining values of the analytic continuation of $f(t)$ which are taken at different points in the complex domain.

1. Introduction

The finite Fourier integral is defined by the form

$$F(\omega) \equiv \int_a^b f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt, \quad (1)$$

where $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, frequency ω is a real number, and $f(t)$ is a real and analytic function of real parameter t on the interval (a, b) .

From here to the end of this section, let us put $a=0$, $b=2\pi$, $\omega=\Omega$, where Ω is an integer. Dividing the interval $(0, 2\pi)$ into N equal sized subintervals, and putting $f_n = f(nh)$, where stepsize $h=2\pi/N$, then the step function of Ω

This paper first appeared in Japanese in Joho Shori (the Journal of the Information Processing Society of Japan), Vol. 11, No. 10 (1970), pp. 567-576.

* The 1st Research Center of Technical Research and Development Institute, Japan Defense Agency.

$$\tilde{F}_1(\Omega) = \frac{2\pi}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f_n e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{N} \Omega n} \quad (2)$$

is obtained as an approximation formula of the form (1). Simpson's rule is also written in the form (2) replacing f_n by suitable quantities.

In the equation (2) it is desirable, if possible, to choose the number N so that the function $f(t)$ may not include the frequency greater than or equal to $N/2$. If such a number is not selectable, the formula (2) may have an unignorably large error by the aliasing phenomenon.

For example, if $f(t)$ is taken such as

$$f(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(t-\pi) \quad (0 < t < 2\pi),$$

$$f(0) = f(2\pi) = 0,$$

then the followings are obtained

$$F(\Omega) = -i\pi/\Omega, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{F}_1(\Omega) = -i\frac{\pi h}{2} \cot \frac{1}{2}\Omega h$$

$$(\Omega \neq mN, \text{ where } m \text{ is an integer}). \quad (4)$$

These values are shown in Table 1 in the case of $N=32$. The left side of each

Table 1. Table of values of $\tilde{F}_1(\Omega)$, $F(\Omega)$, $E_1(\Omega)$ for the function

$$f(t) = -\frac{1}{2}(t-\pi) \quad (0 < t < 2\pi), \quad f(0) = f(2\pi) = 0$$

Ω	① $\tilde{F}_1(\Omega)((2))$ *	② $F(\Omega)((3))$	③ $E_1(\Omega) (= ① - ②)$	④ $\tilde{F}_1(\Omega)((4))$
0	0.28573E+11	0.	0.28573E-11	0.
1	-0.14286E-10	-0.31315E 01	0.	0.31315E 01
2	-0.14286E-11	-0.15506E 01	0.	0.15506E 01
3	0.30001E-10	-0.10167E 01	0.	0.10167E 01
4	0.10395E-22	-0.74460E 00	0.	0.74460E 00
5	-0.18972E-10	-0.57702E 00	0.	0.57702E 00
6	0.71432E-11	-0.46159E 00	0.	0.46159E 00
7	0.29287E-10	-0.37582E 00	0.	0.37582E 00
8	-0.42859E-11	-0.30843E 00	0.	0.30843E 00
9	-0.85718E-11	-0.25312E 00	0.	0.25312E 00
10	-0.71432E-11	-0.20608E 00	0.	0.20608E 00
11	0.16631E-21	-0.16486E 00	0.	0.16486E 00
12	0.10395E-22	-0.12775E 00	0.	0.12775E 00
13	-0.42859E-11	-0.93560E-01	0.	0.93560E-01
14	0.21429E-10	-0.61350E-01	0.	0.61350E-01
15	0.40002E-10	-0.30377E-01	0.	0.30377E-01
16	-0.57143E-11	0.	-0.57143E-11	0.
17	0.85718E-11	0.30377E-01	0.	0.30377E-01
18	0.10000E-10	0.61350E-01	0.	0.61350E-01
19	-0.42859E-11	0.93560E-01	0.	0.93560E-01
20	0.10395E-22	0.12775E 00	0.	0.12775E 00
21	-0.71432E-11	0.16486E 00	0.	0.16486E 00
22	0.14286E-11	0.20608E 00	0.	0.20608E 00
23	-0.10715E-10	0.25312E 00	0.	0.25312E 00
24	-0.42859E-11	0.30843E 00	0.	0.30843E 00
25	0.25715E-10	0.37582E 00	0.	0.37582E 00
26	0.42859E-11	0.46159E 00	0.	0.46159E 00
27	-0.25715E-10	0.57702E 00	0.	0.57702E 00
28	0.10395E-22	0.74460E 00	0.	0.74460E 00
29	0.18972E-10	0.10167E 01	0.	0.10167E 01
30	-0.24287E-10	0.15506E 01	0.	0.15506E 01
31	-0.80003E-10	0.31315E 01	0.	0.31315E 01

* using (2) by Cooley-Tukey's method ($N=32$)

column denotes the real part of complex numbers, and the light side denotes the imaginary parts.

Tables used in this report were made by the electronic computer TOSBAC-

3400-30E (48 bits/word, mantissa part=36 bits).

In general, it is necessary to take small stepsize h and large N to seek $F(\omega)$ for high frequency by the equation (2). But there is another method that divides the integral interval into several parts at the points where function $f(t)$ varies rapidly and integrates on each divided subintervals and sums these integrated values. The detail will be stated in the following sections.

2. Extension of Filon's method

We will follow the Filon's idea.

Let ω be greater than 1 in the equation (1) and interval (a, b) be consisted of subintervals (t_{n-1}, t_n) ($n=1, 2, \dots, N$), and suppose that $f(t)=g_n(t)$ on (t_{n-1}, t_n) , where $g_n(t)$ is real valued and $K+2$ times continuously differentiable function on a certain open interval which include the closed interval $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$. Then, using the integration by parts, the next formula is obtained:

$$F(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt = \sum_{n=1}^N F_n^*(\omega), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^*(\omega) &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} g_n(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \\ &= \left\{ \frac{g_n(t_{n-1})}{i\omega} + \frac{g_n'(t_{n-1})}{(i\omega)^2} + \dots + \frac{g_n^{(K)}(t_{n-1})}{(i\omega)^{K+1}} \right\} e^{-i\omega t_{n-1}} \\ &\quad - \left\{ \frac{g_n(t_n)}{i\omega} + \frac{g_n'(t_n)}{(i\omega)^2} + \dots + \frac{g_n^{(K)}(t_n)}{(i\omega)^{K+1}} \right\} e^{-i\omega t_n} + E_n^*(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

The truncation error $E_n^*(\omega)$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^*(\omega) &\equiv \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} g_n^{(K+1)}(t) \frac{1}{(i\omega)^{K+1}} e^{-i\omega t} dt \\ &= O(1/\omega^{K+2}) \quad (\omega \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

If we put $g_0(t)=g_{N+1}(t)=0$ and

$$I_n^{(1)} \equiv g_{n+1}^{(1)}(t_n) - g_n^{(1)}(t_n) \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots, N), \quad (7)$$

an approximation formula of $F(\omega)$ is given by

$$\tilde{F}_2(\omega) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^N \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^K \frac{I_n^{(l)}}{(i\omega)^{l+1}} \right\} e^{-i\omega t_n} \quad (8)$$

which is an economical form of calculation on $e^{-i\omega t_n}$. The truncation error is

$$E_2(\omega) \equiv \tilde{F}_2(\omega) - F(\omega) = O(1/\omega^{K+2}). \quad (9)$$

In the Filon's method, $I_n^{(l)}$ are put as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} I_n^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2h} (f_{2n+2} - 4f_{2n+1} + 6f_{2n} - 4f_{2n-1} + f_{2n-2}) \\ I_n^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{h^2} (f_{2n+2} - 2f_{2n+1} + 2f_{2n-1} - f_{2n-2}) \\ &\quad (f_n = f(a+nh)). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10)$$

It may contain an error even in the 1st derivative of the given function $f(t)$,

namely in $I_n^{(1)}$ due to the approximation of $f(t)$ with parabolic arcs. In this case the order of the truncation error is $O(1/\omega^2)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. If other curves of higher order polynomial is adopted in the approximation, the error would be also $O(1/\omega^2)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to eliminate this fault these approximating curves must not be used but the original $f(t)$ should be used. But then, it is difficult to calculate numerically derivatives $f'(t)$, $f''(t)$, etc. so as not to contain such an error.

Is there not any good technique? The answer to this question is in the following theorem.

3. Approximation formula $\tilde{F}_3(\omega)$

Theorem. Let f , g_n be complex functions such that

$$f(z) = g_n(z) \quad (\text{Re } z \in (t_{n-1}, t_n)) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots, N) \quad (11)$$

where $g_n(z)$ is regular function on a certain complex region which contains the closed interval $[t_{n-1}, t_n]$, and let $g_0(z) = g_{N+1}(z) = 0$. Then there exists an approximation formula of $F(\omega)$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_3(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{i\omega} \sum_{n=0}^N \left[\sum_{k=1}^K c_k \left\{ g_{n+1} \left(t_n + \frac{p_k}{i\omega} \right) - g_n \left(t_n + \frac{p_k}{i\omega} \right) \right\} \right] e^{-i\omega t_n}, \quad (12)$$

where the pairs of constants $\{p_k, c_k\}$ are defined by the relation

$$\sum_{k=1}^K c_k p_k^l = l! \quad (l=0, 1, 2, \dots, 2K-1), \quad (13)$$

and the truncation error is

$$E_3(\omega) \equiv \tilde{F}_3(\omega) - F(\omega) = O(1/\omega^{2K+1}) \quad (\omega \rightarrow \infty). \quad (14)$$

Proof. As mentioned before, we have an approximation formula for $F(\omega)$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_*(\omega) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^N \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{2K-1} \frac{g_{n+1}^{(l)}(t_n) - g_n^{(l)}(t_n)}{(i\omega)^{l+1}} \right\} e^{-i\omega t_n} \quad (15)$$

with the truncation error $O(1/\omega^{2K+1})$.

Here we define a polynomial function $\Phi = \Phi(K, \varphi, t, \eta)$ such that the term of η^l is $l!$ times as large as that of the Taylor expansion of $\varphi(z)$ ($z=t+\eta$) at the center t , i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(K, \varphi, t, \eta) &\equiv \varphi(t) + \varphi'(t)\eta + \varphi''(t)\eta^2 + \dots + \varphi^{(l)}(t)\eta^l \\ &\quad + \dots + \varphi^{(2K-1)}(t)\eta^{2K-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Using the polynomial Φ in the formula (15), we have

$$\tilde{F}_*(\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega} \sum_{n=0}^N \Phi \left(K, g_{n+1} - g_n, t_n, \frac{1}{i\omega} \right) e^{-i\omega t_n}, \quad (17)$$

Let us define another function

$$\Psi(K, \varphi, t, \eta) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^K c_k \varphi(t + p_k \eta). \quad (18)$$

Then, as described later, we can decide constants $\{p_k, c_k\}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, K$) so that Ψ may coincide with Φ till the term of η^{2K-1} . Hence using Ψ if we put

Table 2. Values of constants $\{p_k, c_k\}$.

K	k	p_k	c_k
2	1	0.585778643762690495120E 00	0.85355339059327376220E 00
	2	0.34142135623730950488E 01	0.14644660940672623780E 00
3	1	0.41577455678347908331E 00	0.71109300992917301545E 00
	2	0.22942803602790417198E 01	0.27851773356924084880E 00
	3	0.62899450829374791969E 01	0.10389256501586135749E-01
4	1	0.32254768961939231180E 00	0.60315410434163360164E 00
	2	0.17457611011583465797E 01	0.35741869243779968664E 00
	3	0.45366202969211279835E 01	0.38887908515005384273E-01
	4	0.93950709123011331292E 01	0.53929470556132745011E-03
5	1	0.26356031971814091020E 00	0.5217556105820865248E 00
	2	0.14134030591065167922E 01	0.398666681108317592745E 00
	3	0.35964257710407220812E 01	0.75942449681707595386E-01
	4	0.70858100058588375569E 01	0.36117586799220484544E-02
	5	0.12640800844275782659E 02	0.23369972385776227890E-04
6	1	0.22284660417926068948E 00	0.45896467394996359359E 00
	2	0.11889321016726230308E 01	0.41700083077212099410E 00
	3	0.29927363260593140797E 01	0.1133733820740449573E 00
	4	0.57751435691045105121E 01	0.10399197453149074896E-01
	5	0.98374674183825899192E 01	0.26101720281493205940E-03
	6	0.15982873980601701783E 02	0.89854790642962129703E-06

Table 3. $\tilde{F}_3(\omega)$, $F(\omega)$, $E_3(\omega)$ using constants of $K=5$ in Table 2 for $f(t)=e^{-t}(0 \leq t \leq \pi)$, $e^t(\pi < t < 2\pi)$.

ω	$\tilde{F}_3(\omega)$	$F(\omega)$	$E_3(\omega)$
1	279.22399665415	279.09482043981	279.83778107166
2	102.66144673345	204.55701629444	204.55767056404
3	55.979566775545	147.27473742547	147.27474021944
4	30.19457398261	120.32804164559	120.32804156839
5	21.25298326071	107.22867964766	107.22867963556
6	13.87318248048	82.92878540292	82.92878540512
7	11.19351134484	78.06247876398	78.06247877422
8	7.897104234810	62.94082174310	7.89704233751
9	6.82531181251	61.19880739592	6.82531177555
10	5.08225498499	50.63308679957	50.63308679957
11	4.58750467316	50.2744306992	4.587504665507
12	3.812504674	42.43946976874	42.43946976897
13	3.29220924476	42.43946907748	3.29220923790
14	2.40542317536	36.34273333425	2.605623313487
15	2.47644062194	37.00812836698	2.47644061615
16	1.99730464016	31.83776975329	1.99730645451
17	1.92991577544	32.68625958543	1.92991576911
18	1.57940852381	28.32336978334	1.57940849247
19	1.54606515313	29.26572803105	1.54606514875
20	1.28006923443	25.505943973/8	1.28006923015
21	1.26623466198	26.49179143851	1.26623439781
22	1.05836564643	23.197261114	1.05836564643
23	1.06666666666	24.374431304	1.06666666666
24	0.89941486562	21.27116159419	0.89941486180
25	0.894n5464814	22.26793664463	0.894050446446
26	0.75820943334	19.63995360885	0.75820942017
27	0.76667889821	20.62315975409	0.76667889459
28	0.65389529888	18.24081139569	0.65389526071
29	0.66449789499	19.20437635108	0.66449789202
30	0.56970900520	17.02795305124	0.56970900259
31	0.58178340183	17.96804901864	0.58178339858
32	0.50078808488	15.96547673526	0.50078808200

$$\tilde{F}_3(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{i\omega} \sum_{n=0}^N \Psi \left(K, g_{n+1} - g_n, t_n, \frac{1}{i\omega} \right) e^{-i\omega t_n}, \quad (19)$$

the formula $\tilde{F}_3(\omega)$ coincide with $\tilde{F}_*(\omega)$ with the error $O(1/\omega^{2K+1})$, so that the truncation error $E_3(\omega) \equiv \tilde{F}_3(\omega) - F(\omega) = O(1/\omega^{2K+1})$.

4. Calculation of constants $\{p_k, c_k\}$

By comparison the coefficient of terms of Φ with that of Taylor expansion of Ψ

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi = & \left(\sum_{k=1}^K c_k \varphi(t) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^K c_k p_k \right) \varphi'(t) \eta + \left(\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{k=1}^K c_k p_k^2 \right) \varphi''(t) \eta^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{(2K-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^K c_k p_k^{2K-1} \right) \varphi^{(2K-1)}(t) \eta^{2K-1} + \cdots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

we have the non-linear equation of p_k and c_k

$$\sum_{k=1}^K c_k p_k^l = l! \quad (l=0, 1, 2, \dots, 2K-1). \quad (21)$$

Eliminating c_k from the equation (21), we have a linear equation of S_1, S_2, \dots, S_K :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_K - S_{K-1} + 2! S_{K-2} - 3! S_{K-3} \\ + \cdots + (-1)^{K-1} (K-1)! S_1 = & (-1)^{K-1} K!, \\ S_K - 2! S_{K-1} + 3! S_{K-2} - 4! S_{K-3} \\ + \cdots + (-1)^{K-1} K! S_1 = & (-1)^{K-1} (K+1)!, \\ 2! S_K - 3! S_{K-1} + 4! S_{K-2} - 5! S_{K-3} \\ + \cdots + (-1)^{K-1} (K+1)! S_1 = & (-1)^{K-1} (K+2)!, \\ \dots, \\ (K-1)! S_K - K! S_{K-1} + (K+1)! S_{K-2} - (K+2)! S_{K-3} \\ + \cdots + (-1)^{K-1} (2K-2)! S_1 = & (-1)^{K-1} (2K-1)!, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (22)$$

where S_1, S_2, \dots, S_K are fundamental symmetric functions of p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K . So, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K are given as the solutions of an algebraic equation

$$p^K - S_1 p^{K-1} + S_2 p^{K-2} - \cdots + (-1)^K S_K = 0. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_K are obtained from a linear equation as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^K c_k p_k^l = l! \quad (l=0, 1, 2, \dots, K-1). \quad (24)$$

Thus we have obtained the values of constants $\{p_k, c_k\}$. These values are listed in Table 2.

An application of the formula $\tilde{F}_3(\omega)$ is shown in Table 3, by using constants of $n=5$ in Table 2 for the function $f(t) = e^{-t} (0 \leq t < \pi) = e^t (\pi \leq t < 2\pi)$.

References

- [1] Tranter, C. J.: Integral transforms in mathematical physics, 2nd ed. pp. 60-76 (1956).
- [2] Yoshizawa, T.: Numerical analysis II, Iwanami, pp. 136-151 (1968).