

# On Some Iterative Formulas for Solving Nonlinear Scalar Equations

TAKAHIKO MURAKAMI\*

First, we show fourth order multipoint iterative formulas which find better approximations to a zero of a function  $f(x)$ . These formulas require one evaluation of  $f(x)$ ,  $f'(x)$  and  $f''(x)$  respectively per iteration. Next, we show some third order one-point iterative formulas containing two parameters, and consider the monotonic global convergence of them.

## 1. Introduction

We will study numerical iterative formulas for the computation of the solution of the nonlinear scalar equation

$$f(x) = 0 \tag{1}$$

where  $f(x)$  is a real function of the real variable  $x$ . In [1, 2], various types of iterative formulas have been shown. Traub [1, PP. 192-197, PP. 200-204] showed two types of fourth order multipoint iteration functions. Both of them contain two parameters. One of them requires three evaluations of  $f'(x)$  and one of  $f(x)$  per iteration, the other one evaluation of  $f(x)$  and three of  $f'(x)$  per iteration. Jarratt [3] showed fourth order multipoint iterative formulas containing one parameter and requiring one evaluation of  $f(x)$  and two of  $f'(x)$  per iteration. Furthermore, in [6], we showed two types of fourth order multipoint iterative formulas. One of them requires one evaluation of  $f'(x)$  and two of  $f(x)$  per iteration. The other requires one evaluation of  $f(x)$  and two of  $f'(x)$  per iteration.

The following one-point iteration functions are well-known [1, p. 90], [2, P. 111]

$$\Phi_{2,0}(x) = x - h - A_2 h^2$$

$$\Phi_{1,1}(x) = x - \frac{h}{1 - A_2 h} \quad (\text{Hally's iteration function})$$

$$\Phi(x) = x - \frac{h}{\sqrt{1 - 2A_2 h}} \quad (\text{Ostrowski's iteration function})$$

where  $h = \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$ , and  $A_2 = \frac{f''(x)}{2f'(x)}$ .

All of them have cubic convergence for all the simple zeros of Eq. (1), and require one evaluation of  $f(x)$ ,  $f'(x)$  and  $f''(x)$  respectively per iteration.

\*Department of Mathematics, Kobe University of Mercantile Marine.

In this paper, we will study a class of iterative formulas of the type

$$x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2}$$

where  $\phi(x) = x - hR(X)$ , and  $X = h \frac{f''(x + \alpha h)}{f'(x)}$ ,  $\alpha$  is a

parameter, and  $R(t)$  is a function of  $t$ . In 2, we will show that for the class of iterative formulas (2), fourth order formulas can be obtained by suitable choices of the parameter  $\alpha$  and the function  $R(t)$ . In 3, 4 and 5, we will show some third order one-point iterative methods and consider the monotonic global convergence of them to the zeros of  $f(x)$  in Eq. (1). In 6, we will give some numerical examples.

## 2. Derivation of Formulas

We assume that  $\zeta$  is the simple zero of  $f(x)$  in Eq. (1) and that  $f(x)$  and  $R(t)$  are as smooth as necessary in  $x$  and  $t$  respectively. Developing  $X$  and  $R(X)$ , we obtain

$$X = 2A_2 h + 6\alpha A_3 h^2 + 12\alpha^2 A_4 h^3 + O(h^4) \tag{3}$$

and

$$R(X) = R(0) + R'(0)X + \frac{1}{2!} R''(0)X^2 + \frac{1}{3!} R^{(3)}(0)X^3 + O(h^4) \tag{4}$$

where  $h \equiv h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$ ,  $X \equiv X(x) = \frac{f''(x + \alpha h)}{f'(x)} h$ , and

$$A_j \equiv A_j(x) = \frac{f^{(j)}(x)}{j! f'(x)}, \quad j = 2, 3, 4.$$

It then follows from (3) and (4) that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -hR(X) &= -R(0)h - 2R'(0)A_2 h^2 - 2R''(0)A_2^2 h^3 \\ &\quad - 6\alpha R'(0)A_3 h^3 - \frac{4}{3} R^{(3)}(0)A_2^3 h^4 \\ &\quad - 12\alpha R''(0)A_2 A_3 h^4 - 12\alpha^2 R'(0)A_4 h^4 \\ &\quad + O(h^5). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since the basic sequence [1, PP. 78-88] is given by

$$E_5(x) = x - h - A_2 h^2 - 2A_2^2 h^3 + A_3 h^3 - 5A_2^3 h^4 + 5A_2 A_3 h^4 - A_4 h^4,$$

it follows from Eq. (2) and (5) that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) - E_5(x) &= [1 - R(0)]h + [1 - 2R'(0)]A_2 h^2 \\ &+ [2 - 2R''(0)]A_2^2 h^3 \\ &+ [-1 - 6\alpha R'(0)]A_3 h^3 \\ &+ \left[5 - \frac{4}{3}R^{(3)}(0)\right]A_2^3 h^4 \\ &+ [-5 - 12\alpha R''(0)] \\ &\times A_2 A_3 h^4 + [1 - 12\alpha^2 R'(0)]A_4 h^4 \\ &+ O(h^5). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 5-2 [1, PP. 86-87] that for Eq. (2) to be fourth order, the following system of equations must be satisfied:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 1 - R(0) &= 0 \\ 1 - 2R'(0) &= 0 \\ 2 - 2R''(0) &= 0 \\ -1 - 6\alpha R'(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6)$$

From the system (6), we obtain

$$R(0) = 1, \quad R'(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad R''(0) = 1, \quad \alpha = -\frac{1}{3}. \quad (7)$$

In the case of (7), it follows from Theorem 5-2 [1, PP. 86-87] that the asymptotic error constant of  $\phi(x)$   $C$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi(x) - E_5(x)}{h^4} \\ &= \left[5 - \frac{4}{3}R^{(3)}(0)\right] \bar{A}_2^3 - \bar{A}_2 \bar{A}_3 + \frac{1}{3} \bar{A}_4 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\bar{A}_j = A_j(\zeta)$ ,  $j = 2, 3, 4$ .

Next, we give some forms of  $R(X)$  and the asymptotic error constant  $C$  which satisfies (7) and (8) respectively.

$$\text{[I]} \quad R(X) = \frac{1}{2} X^2 + \frac{1}{2} X + 1, \quad C = 5\bar{A}_2^3 - \bar{A}_2 \bar{A}_3 + \frac{1}{3} \bar{A}_4$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[II]} \quad R(X) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\theta}\right) X + \frac{1}{2} \left(2 - \frac{1}{\theta^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2\theta^2(\theta X + 1)}, \\ C &= (4\theta + 5)\bar{A}_2^3 - \bar{A}_2 \bar{A}_3 + \frac{1}{3} \bar{A}_4 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $\theta$  is a parameter, and  $\theta \neq 0$ .

### 3. One-point Iterative Formulas

If  $\alpha = 0$  in Eq. (2), then we obtain the following iterative formulas

$$x_{n+1} = \phi(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (10)$$

where  $\phi(x) = x - hR(X)$ , and  $X = h \frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)}$ .

Then, the order of convergence for Eq. (10) is equal to 3 for all simple zeros of Eq. (1), iff  $R(0) = 1$  and  $R'(0) = 1/2$ . Furthermore, since the basic sequence [1, PP. 78-88] is given by

$$E_4(x) = x - h - A_2 h^2 - 2A_2^2 h^3 + A_3 h^3,$$

the asymptotic error constant of  $\phi(x)$   $C$  is given by

$$C = \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi(x) - E_4(x)}{h^3} = \{2 - 2R''(0)\} \bar{A}_2^2 - \bar{A}_3.$$

Next, we give some examples of  $R(X)$  and the asymptotic error constant  $C$ .

$$\text{Example 1.} \quad R(X) = \frac{(\theta + 1/2)X + 1}{\beta X^2 + \theta X + 1},$$

$$C = (2 + 2\theta + 4\beta)\bar{A}_2^2 - \bar{A}_3$$

( $\beta, \theta$ : parameters).

Especially, if  $\beta = \theta = 0$ , then

$$\phi(x) = \Phi_{2,0}(x), \quad C = 2\bar{A}_2^2 - \bar{A}_3.$$

Next, if  $\beta = 0$  and  $\theta = -1/2$ , then

$$\phi(x) = \Phi_{1,1}(x), \quad C = \bar{A}_2^2 - \bar{A}_3.$$

$$\text{Example 2.} \quad R(X) = \frac{a + \sqrt{b}}{a + \sqrt{b} - \sqrt{b}(a + \sqrt{b})X}$$

$$C = \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{a}{2\sqrt{b}}\right] \bar{A}_2^2 - \bar{A}_3$$

( $a, b$ : parameters).

If  $b = 1$ , then

$$\phi(x) \equiv \lambda(x)$$

$$= x - \frac{a+1}{a + \sqrt{1-(a+1)X}} h. \quad [\text{Eq. (2.13) in [5]}]$$

Furthermore, if  $a = 0$ , then

$$\phi(x) = \Phi(x).$$

### 4. Monotonicity of Convergence

Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial of exact degree  $r > 1$  with only real zeros given by the form

$$f(x) = \prod_{k=1}^r (x - \zeta_k) \quad (11)$$

where  $\zeta_k \leq \zeta_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, r-1$ ).

Then, it has been shown that both Ostrowski's method [2, PP. 110-115] and Laguerre's method [2, PP. 353-362] converge globally and monotonically to the zeros of  $f(x)$ .

Let  $f(x)$  be given by the form

$$f(x) = x^m \exp(a + bx - cx^2) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\alpha_k}\right) \times \exp\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_k}\right) \tag{12}$$

where  $m$  is non-negative integer,  $a, b, c$  are real, and  $c \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_k$  are real, and  $\sum \alpha_k^{-2} < \infty$ . Then, it has been shown that both Ostrowski's method [2, PP. 124-126] and Halley's method [4] converge globally and monotonically to the zeros of  $f(x)$ .

Hansen and Patrick [5] have introduced the methods that form the sequence  $x_n$  by the iteration rule:

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and have shown that if  $f(x)$  is a polynomial with real coefficients or if  $f(x)$  is given by the form (12), then these methods converge globally and monotonically to the real zeros of  $f(x)$  under some assumptions.

Next, we consider the iterative methods for  $R(X)$  of Ex 1 in 3 that form the sequence  $x_n$  by the iteration rule:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - K(x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{13}$$

where  $K(x) \equiv h \frac{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1}{\beta X^2 + \theta X + 1}$ .

At first, let  $f(x)$  be given by the form (11).

Then, it follows from Rolle's theorem that  $f'(x)$  has  $r-1$  real zeros  $\zeta_k$  such that  $\zeta_k \leq \zeta_{k+1}$  ( $k=1, \dots, r-1$ ).

Especially, if  $\zeta_k < \zeta_{k+1}$  for some  $k$ , we obtain  $\zeta_k < \zeta'_k < \zeta_{k+1}$ . For any real  $x \neq \zeta_k$ , we can define the associated zero  $\zeta(x)$  of  $f(x)$  to be  $\zeta(x) = \zeta_1$  if  $x < \zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta(x) = \zeta_r$  if  $x > \zeta_r$ ,  $\zeta(x) = \zeta_k$  if  $\zeta_k < x < \zeta'_k$ , and  $\zeta(x) = \zeta_{k+1}$  if  $\zeta'_k < x < \zeta_{k+1}$ . On the other hand, if  $\zeta_k = \zeta_{k+1}$  ( $k=2, \dots, r$ ), we can define the associated zero  $\zeta(x)$  of  $f(x)$  to be  $\zeta(x) = \zeta_1$  if  $x \neq \zeta_1$ . Furthermore,  $f(x)/f'(x)$  and  $x - \zeta(x)$  have the same sign.

Next, taking the logarithmic derivative of (11) and differentiating it, we obtain

$$\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{x - \zeta_k} \tag{14}$$

$$-\left(\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}\right)' = \frac{\{f'(x)\}^2 - f(x)f''(x)}{\{f(x)\}^2} = \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{(x - \zeta_k)^2} \tag{15}$$

From (15), it follows that

$$1 - X = h^2 \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{1}{(x - \zeta_k)^2} \geq 0. \tag{16}$$

Next, rearranging  $r-1$  zeros except  $\zeta(x)$  such that  $\zeta_1 \leq \dots \leq \zeta_{r-1}$ , we obtain

$$1 - X - \frac{h^2}{|x - \zeta(x)|^2} = h^2 \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(x - \zeta_k)^2} \tag{17}$$

Therefore it follows from (17) that

$$|x - \zeta(x)| > \frac{|h|}{\sqrt{1 - X}}. \tag{18}$$

The following lemma is needed below.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}X} \leq \frac{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1}{\beta X^2 + \theta X + 1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - X}} \quad (X < 1). \tag{19}$$

**Proof.** It now follows from the assumption that we

obtain  $-\frac{3}{2} \leq \theta \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1 = -\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)$

$(1 - X) + \theta + \frac{3}{2} > 0$ . Now, putting  $g(X) = \beta X^2 + \theta X + 1$ ,

we obtain  $g'(X) = 2\beta X + \theta < 2\beta + \theta \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ . On the

other hand, since  $g(1) = \beta + \theta + 1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\theta + \frac{3}{2}\right)^2 \geq 0$ , we

obtain  $g(X) > 0$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1}{\beta X^2 + \theta X + 1} &\geq \frac{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1}{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X^2 + \theta X + 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}X}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using elementary inequality  $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$ , we obtain

$$\left\{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1\right\} \sqrt{1 - X} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left\{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1\right\}^2 + 1 - X \right].$$

Thus

$$\left\{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1\right\} \sqrt{1 - X} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 X^2 + \theta X + 1.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \beta$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\right)X + 1}{\beta X^2 + \theta X + 1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - X}}.$$

Lemma 1 is completely proved.

It now follows from (18) and Lemma 1 that

$$|x - \zeta(x)| > |K(x)|. \tag{20}$$

Then, on the monotonic convergence of the rule (13) to  $\zeta$  we obtain:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f(x)$  be given by the form (11). Then, if

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and if we take the real starting value in (13)  $x_0$  such that  $f(x_0)f'(x_0) \neq 0$ , the sequence  $x_n$  in (13) converges monotonically to  $\zeta(x_0)$ .

**Proof.** Assume that we take  $x_0 > \zeta(x_0)$  such that

$$f(x_0)/f'(x_0) > 0, \quad K(x_0) > 0.$$

Then, using (13) and (20), we obtain

$$x_0 > x_1 > \zeta(x_0),$$

and by the definition of  $\zeta(x)$ ,  $\zeta(x_0) = \zeta(x_1)$ . Furthermore, by repetition of the same argument, we obtain

$$x_0 > x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > \zeta(x_0).$$

Therefore, it follows that the sequence  $x_n$  converges monotonically to a limit  $\zeta$ :

$$x_n \rightarrow \zeta \geq \zeta(x_0).$$

It now follows from (13) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(x_n) = 0$ . On the other hand, it follows from (14) and (17) that

$$1 - X(x_n) = \frac{1 + \{x_n - \zeta(x_0)\}^2 \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(x_n - \zeta_k)^2}}{\left[ 1 + \{x_n - \zeta(x_0)\} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{x_n - \zeta_k} \right]^2}$$

Therefore,  $-\infty < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X(x_n) < 1$ . Hence, it follows from (19) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(X(x_n)) > 0$ . Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)/f'(x_n) = 0$  and hence  $f(\zeta) = 0$ , that is  $\zeta = \zeta(x_0)$ . In the same way, if we take  $x_0 < \zeta(x_0)$  such that  $f(x_0)/f'(x_0) < 0$ ,  $K(x_0) < 0$ , we can show that the sequence  $x_n$  converges monotonically to  $\zeta(x_0)$ . Theorem 1 is proved.

Next, let  $f(x)$  be given by the form (12). Then if the real  $x_0$  is neither less nor greater than all  $\alpha_k$ , by repetition of the same argument as the above, we can establish the monotonic convergence of the rule (13) (see [4]). More precisely:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f(x)$  be given by the form (12). Then, if

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and if we take the real  $x_0$  such that  $f(x_0)f'(x_0) \neq 0$  and  $x_0$  is neither less nor greater than all  $\alpha_k$ , the sequence  $x_n$  in (13) converges monotonically to  $\zeta(x_0)$ .

We have established the monotonic global convergence of the rule (13) under the same assumptions as those on Ostrowski's method for the starting values  $x_0$ .

**Remarks.** (a) Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial of exact degree  $r > 1$  with real coefficients, let  $\zeta$  be an arbitrary real zero of  $f(x)$ , and let  $r-1$  zeros of  $f(x)$  except  $\zeta$  be  $\zeta_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, r-1$ ). Then, in the case where  $f(x)$  has complex zeros, if  $\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{h^2}{(x-\zeta_k)^2}$  is positive, it follows from

(15) that  $\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}$  is monotonically decreasing and from

(17) that (18) holds. Therefore, also in the case where

$f(x)$  has complex zeros, if  $\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \frac{h^2}{(x-\zeta_k)^2}$  is positive, then

it can be seen that Theorem 1 holds.

(b) Before long, we will present the results on the global convergence of iterative formulas for  $R(X)$  of Ex 2 in 3.

## 5. Modification for Multiple Zeros

In the case where  $\zeta$  is a zero of  $f(x)$  of multiplicity  $m > 1$ , we consider the order of convergence for Eq. (10).

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= (x-\zeta)^m g(x), \quad g(\zeta) \neq 0, \\ f'(x) &= m(x-\zeta)^{m-1} g(x) + (x-\zeta)^m g'(x), \\ f''(x) &= m(m-1)(x-\zeta)^{m-2} g(x) + 2m(x-\zeta)^{m-1} g'(x) \\ &\quad + (x-\zeta)^m g''(x). \end{aligned}$$

From these formulas, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h &\equiv \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} = \frac{(x-\zeta)g}{mg + (x-\zeta)g'} \\ X &\equiv h \frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)} \\ &= \frac{m(m-1)g^2 + 2m(x-\zeta)gg' + (x-\zeta)^2 g g''}{\{mg + (x-\zeta)g'\}^2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $g \equiv g(x)$ ,  $g' \equiv g'(x)$ ,  $g'' \equiv g''(x)$ .

Since  $\frac{h}{x-\zeta} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m}$ ,  $X \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{m}(x-\zeta)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi(x) - \zeta}{x - \zeta} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{x - hR(X) - \zeta}{x - \zeta} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{m} R \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $R \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m} \right) \neq m$ , then the convergence of  $x_n$

to  $\zeta$  is only linear. Also in this case, we can still obtain cubic convergence of  $x_n$  to  $\zeta$  by the iterative formulas

$$x_{n+1} = \phi_m(x_n), \quad n=0, 1, \dots \quad (21)$$

where  $\phi_m(x) = x - mhR(1 - m + mX)$ .

Then, the asymptotic error constant of  $\phi_m(x)$   $C_m$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_m &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi(x) - \zeta}{(x - \zeta)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2(m+1)^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{m}{2} - 2R''(0) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{f^{(m+1)}(\zeta)}{f^{(m)}(\zeta)} \right\}^2 - \frac{1}{m(m+1)(m+2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{f^{(m+2)}(\zeta)}{f^{(m)}(\zeta)}. \tag{22}$$

To prove that the above contents hold, it suffices to prove that (22) holds. Here, it follows from (21) that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi_m(x) - \zeta}{(x - \zeta)^3} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{x - mhR(1 - m + mX) - \zeta}{(x - \zeta)^3}.$$

Then, since

$$R(1 - m + mX) = R(0) + (1 - m + mX)R'(0) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - m + mX)^2 R''(0) + \dots,$$

$$R(0) = 1 \text{ and } R'(0) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ we obtain}$$

$$R(1 - m + mX) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - m + mX) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - m + mX)^2 R''(0) + \dots \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$1 - m + mX = \frac{2m g g' k + \{(1 - m)g'^2 + m g g''\} k^2}{(m g + k g')^2} \tag{24}$$

where  $k \equiv x - \zeta$ .

Hence, it follows from (23) and (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} x - mhR(1 - m + mX) - \zeta &= \left[ \frac{m(m + 3)g g'^2 - m^2 g^2 g''}{2(m g + k g')^3} - \frac{2m^3 g^3 g'^2}{(m g + k g')^5} R''(0) \right] k^3 + O(k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi_m(x) - \zeta}{(x - \zeta)^3} = \frac{\{g'(\zeta)\}^2}{m^2 g^2(\zeta)} \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{m}{2} - 2R''(0) \right\} - \frac{g''(\zeta)}{2m g(\zeta)}.$$

Finally, since

$$g(\zeta) = \frac{f^{(m)}(\zeta)}{m!}, \quad g'(\zeta) = \frac{f^{(m+1)}(\zeta)}{(m+1)!},$$

$$\text{and } g''(\zeta) = \frac{2f^{(m+2)}(\zeta)}{(m+2)!},$$

we obtain (22), and the above contents are proved.

Next, we consider the case of Ex 1 in 3.

Then, if  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \leq \beta \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right)$ , it follows from (19) that

$$R \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m} \right) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m} \right)}} = \sqrt{m} < m.$$

Therefore, the convergence of  $x_n$  in (13) to  $\zeta$  is only

linear. Modifying (13) by (21), we obtain

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - mh_n R(1 - m + mX_n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{25}$$

$$\text{where } h_n \equiv h(x_n), \quad X_n \equiv X(x_n), \text{ and } R(X) = \frac{\left( \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right) X + 1}{\beta X^2 + \theta X + 1}.$$

Then, it follows from (22) that the asymptotic error constant  $C_m$  is given by

$$C_m = \frac{1}{m^2(m+1)} \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{m}{2} + 2\theta + 4\beta \right) \left\{ \frac{f^{(m+1)}(\zeta)}{f^{(m)}(\zeta)} \right\}^2 - \frac{1}{m(m+1)(m+2)} \frac{f^{(m+2)}(\zeta)}{f^{(m)}(\zeta)}. \tag{26}$$

On the monotonicity of convergence of the rule (25), we obtain:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f(x)$  be given by the form (11). Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the sequence  $x_n$  in (25) converges monotonically to  $\zeta(x_0)$ . Furthermore, we obtain:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f(x)$  be given by the form (12). Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 2, the sequence  $x_n$  in (25) converges monotonically to  $\zeta(x_0)$ .

In the case where  $\zeta$  is a zero of multiplicity  $m > 1$  and  $r > m$ , we can rearrange  $r - m$  zeros except  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta_1 \leq \dots \leq \zeta_{r-m}$ . Then, (16) can be expressed in the form

$$1 - X = \begin{cases} \frac{mh^2}{(x - \zeta)^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{r-m} \frac{h^2}{(x - \zeta_k)^2} & (r > m) \\ \frac{mh^2}{(x - \zeta)^2} & (r = m). \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Therefore, it follows from (27) that

$$|x - \zeta(x)| \geq \frac{\sqrt{m} |h|}{\sqrt{1 - X}}. \tag{28}$$

Furthermore, since  $1 - m + mX < 1$ , using (19), we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{1 - X}} \geq mR(1 - m + mX). \tag{29}$$

Hence, it follows from (28) and (29) that

$$|x - \zeta(x)| \geq m |h| R(1 - m + mX). \tag{30}$$

Consequently, these Theorems can be obtained in the way similar to the proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

### 6. Numerical Examples

If  $\theta = -1$  in Eq. (9), then the iterative formula takes the particularly simple form:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{1}{2} h_n - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n) - f'' \left( x_n - \frac{1}{3} h_n \right) h_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{31}$$

Table I

$x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = s_0 = t_0$ $= 0.45000000 + 02$			
$n$	$x_n$	$z_n$	$n$
1	0.392242359577475979768120651655480+02	0.303675578006148833767010695192200+02	1
2	0.34362151299856942391638650682150+02	0.224213689092217440241749078075170+02	2
3	0.302998744189340751765644242023320+02	0.190299695433586846558908909158430+02	3
4	0.269434544812658017785951632290700+02	0.183341078689286543235802695136790+02	4
5	0.242169016886751740011889070413190+02	0.183205082158741560625426058113490+02	5
6	0.220606250826053981945377202476260+02	0.18320508075688772935274618447520+02	6
7	0.204301095874321814613816296681510+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	7
8	0.192941151683507938131346036642620+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	8
9	0.186264697323015827121542151792340+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	9
10	0.183628595265379139643603523377510+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	10
11	0.183214756014771720791583704327560+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	11
12	0.1832050859646555520117394804230+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	12
13	0.183205080756889239252665016194490+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	13
14	0.183205080756887729352744634277510+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	14
15	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	15
16	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	16
$n$	$y_n$	$t_n$	$n$
1	0.334621973696769935817795435759380+02	0.3392335776411702675461851506855970+02	1
2	0.257645197367672936940326409348850+02	0.264046346928347950105595150289770+02	2
3	0.21037779524154901507670986734540+02	0.21648849971846537639693388460210+02	3
4	0.187605206128409353249358577612780+02	0.191316385027886616799663393546160+02	4
5	0.183230166417382999564049461740750+02	0.183607185433021344938490870802150+02	5
6	0.183205080756935185114035045829570+02	0.183205165069312168157455159416980+02	6
7	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	0.18320508075688773015377340856970+02	7
8	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	8
9	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	0.183205080756887729352744634150590+02	9



where  $h_n \equiv h(x_n)$ .  
 Furthermore, if  $\theta = -\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{16}$  in the formulas (13),  
 then we obtain the iterative formula:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{4} X_n}{\frac{1}{16} X_n^2 - \frac{3}{4} X_n + 1} h_n, \quad n=0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (32)$$

where  $X_n \equiv X(x_n)$ .

Next, we give numerical examples by the following five iterative methods:

Newton's method:  $x_{n+1} = x_n - h(x_n)$

The method by (31):  $y_{n+1} = \phi(y_n)$

Ostrowski's method:  $z_{n+1} = \Phi(z_n)$

Halley's method:  $s_{n+1} = \Phi_{1,1}(s_n)$

The method by (32):  $t_{n+1} = \phi(t_n)$ ,  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

Here, we consider the polynomial

$$f(x) = x^7 - 7x^6 - 499x^5 + 2565x^4 + 64835x^3 - 204821x^2 - 992593x + 1130519.$$

The exact zeros of  $f(x)$  are  $1 \pm 10\sqrt{3}$ ,  $1 \pm 10\sqrt{2}$ ,  $1 \pm 2\sqrt{5}$ , and 1.

Let  $\zeta$  be an arbitrary zero of  $f(x)$ . Then, it follows from Corollary 6.4K [7, P. 457] that we obtain

$$|\zeta| < 2 \max(7, 499^{1/2}, 2565^{1/3}, 64835^{1/4}, 204821^{1/5}, 992593^{1/6}, 1130519^{1/7}) = 2 \cdot 499^{1/2} < 45.$$

Therefore, we take  $|x_0| = |y_0| = |z_0| = |s_0| = |t_0| \leq 45$ .

In Table 1, we obtain  $\zeta < z_n < t_n < s_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). In Table

2, we obtain  $\zeta > z_n > t_n > s_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).

In general, let  $z_0$  be an arbitrary real number, and let us take  $t_0 = s_0 = z_0$ . Then, it follows from (19) that we obtain  $\zeta < z_1 < t_1 < s_1$  if  $h(z_0) > 0$ , and  $\zeta > z_1 > t_1 > s_1$  if  $h(z_0) < 0$ . But, theoretically it cannot be concluded that we obtain  $\zeta < z_n < t_n < s_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) if  $h(z_0) > 0$ , and  $\zeta > z_n > t_n > s_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) if  $h(z_0) < 0$ .

From Table 2, it can be seen that the monotonicity of convergence for the method by (31) does not hold. All calculations are made with quadruple precision.

**Acknowledgement**

The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions that improved the original manuscript.

**References**

1. TRAUB, J. F. Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations, Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1964).
2. OSTROWSKI, A. M. Solution of Equations in Euclidean and Banach Spaces, Academic Press, New York and London (1973).
3. JARRATT, P. Some Fourth Order Multipoint Iterative Methods for Solving Equations, *Math. Comp.*, **20** (1966), 434-437.
4. DAVIS, M. and DAWSON, B. On the Global Convergence of Halley's Iteration Formula, *Numer. Math.*, **24** (1975), 133-135.
5. HANSEN, E. and PATRICK, M. A Family of Root Finding Methods, *Numer. Math.*, **27** (1977), 257-269.
6. MURAKAMI, T. On the Attainable Order of Convergence for Some Multipoint Iteration Functions, *J. Inf. Process.*, **13** (1990), 514-521.
7. HENRICI, P. Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, 1, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1974).

(Received April 19, 1991; revised October 23, 1991)