

正則 2 部グラフに対する単純なマッチングアルゴリズム

牧野和久, 高畑貴志, 藤重悟

560-8531 大阪府豊中市待兼山町 1-3 大阪大学大学院基礎工学研究科システム科学分野
{makino, takabatake, fujishig}@sys.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

あらまし 本論文では, Δ -正則 2 部グラフに対する完全マッチング問題を考察する. ただし, グラフ G は, n 節点, m 枝, すなわち, $\frac{1}{2}n\Delta = m$ とする. 我々は, まず, Gabow の方法に基づく新しい単純な $O(m \log n)$ アルゴリズムを与える. 次に, Cole と Hopcroft が提案した正則 2 部グラフに対する辺疎化手法を取り入れることにより, そのアルゴリズムを $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ に改善する. 我々のアルゴリズムは, 動的木やスプレイ木などの高度なデータ構造を必要としない.

和文キーワード: 2 部マッチング, 辺彩色, グラフアルゴリズム

A Simple Matching Algorithm for Regular Bipartite Graphs

Kazuhisa Makino, Takashi Takabatake and Satoru Fujishige

Division of Systems Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University,
Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-8531, Japan.

{makino, takabatake, fujishig}@sys.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

abstract We consider the perfect matching problem for a Δ -regular bipartite graph with n vertices and m edges, i.e., $\frac{1}{2}n\Delta = m$. We first give a new simple $O(m \log n)$ algorithm based on Gabow's approach, and then improve it to a faster $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ algorithm by incorporating Cole and Hopcroft's edge-sparsification for regular bipartite graphs. Our algorithms employ no sophisticated data structure such as dynamic tree and splay tree.

英文 **key words**: Bipartite matching, Edge-coloring, Graph algorithm.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that any regular bipartite graph has a perfect matching and that the perfect matching problem for regular bipartite graphs is related to the edge-coloring problem for general bipartite graphs. For example, Kapoor and Rizzi [6] showed that the edge-coloring problem for a bipartite graph G with m edges and the maximum degree Δ can be solved in $T + O(m \log \Delta)$ time, where T is the time required to compute a perfect matching in a k -regular bipartite graph with $O(m)$ edges and $k \leq \Delta$.

In this paper, we consider the perfect matching problem for regular bipartite graphs with possible multiple edges. The perfect matching problem for regular bipartite graphs has been studied and a lot of algorithms have been proposed in the literature (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8]). Cole and Hopcroft [2] presented an $O(m + n \log n \log^2 \Delta)$ algorithm for computing a perfect matching in a Δ -regular bipartite graph with n vertices and m edges. This time complexity was improved by Cole [1] and Rizzi [7] to $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$. Schrijver [8] also presented an $O(m\Delta)$ algorithm and Cole, Ost, and Schirra [3] obtained an $O(m)$ algorithm by improving Schrijver's algorithm [8] by using splay trees, one for each chain, as a data structure.

We present in this paper a simple $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ algorithm for the perfect matching problem for Δ -regular bipartite graphs. Our algorithm can be obtained by extending Gabow's algorithm [5] for computing a perfect matching in a 2^t -regular bipartite graph for a positive integer t . Our algorithm uses no sophisticated data structure such as dynamic tree and splay tree employed in [1, 3], and runs in linear time for regular bipartite graphs with $\Delta \geq \log n \log \Delta$. It is expected that our algorithm will achieve good practical performance, while efficient implementations and computational experiments of the above algorithms (including ours) deserve further study. Note that Cole's algorithm [1] makes use of a dynamic tree and that our algorithm is simpler than Rizzi's [7].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first present an $O(m \log n)$ algorithm for computing a perfect matching in a regular bipartite graph, which will give us a basis for a faster algorithm. By using the edge-sparsification technique due to Cole and Hopcroft [2], we present a faster algorithm for computing a perfect matching in a regular bipartite graph in Section 3.

2. An $O(m \log n)$ algorithm

In this section, we present an $O(m \log n)$ algorithm for computing a perfect matching in a regular bipartite graph, which will be made faster in the next section. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a Δ -regular bipartite graph with n vertices and m edges. Here V has a bipartition

(V^+, V^-) , i.e., any edge $e \in E$ is incident to a vertex in V^+ and a vertex in V^- . Note that $m = n \Delta / 2$ and $|V^+| = |V^-| = n/2$.

Let us first note that a perfect matching in a 2^t -regular bipartite graph G with a positive integer t can be computed in linear time [5]. We first find an Eulerian orientation of G that consists of Eulerian tours, one for each connected component of G , and then remove those edges in G that are oriented from V^- to V^+ . This gives a 2^{t-1} -regular subgraph of G . By repeating this procedure t times, we finally obtain a 1-regular subgraph (i.e., a perfect matching) of G . Since an Eulerian orientation of G can be found in $O(m)$ time, Gabow's algorithm [5] requires $O(m + \frac{1}{2}m + \frac{1}{4}m + \dots) = O(m)$ time. However, if a given regular graph is not 2^t -regular for any positive integer t , the above algorithm does not work, since it ends up with a $(2k + 1)$ -regular subgraph for some integer $k \geq 1$ that has no Eulerian orientation.

Therefore, our algorithm first makes G 2^t -regular by adding new edges to G . More precisely, let $G = (V, E)$ be a Δ -regular bipartite graph such that $2^{t-1} < \Delta \leq 2^t$ for some positive integer t . Let M_1 be a perfect matching of the complete bipartite graph $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ with the bipartition (V^+, V^-) of V . We first construct a 2^t -regular bipartite graph $\hat{G} = (V, \hat{E})$ by adding $2^t - \Delta$ copies of M_1 to G . A new edge $\hat{e} \in \hat{E} \setminus E$ is called *dummy* if there exists no edge e in E such that e and \hat{e} are parallel. Note that the number of dummy edges in \hat{G} is at most $\frac{n}{2}(2^t - \Delta) < \frac{|\hat{E}|}{2}$. We then apply Gabow's algorithm [5] to \hat{G} to find a perfect matching M_2 in \hat{G} . Here, for an Eulerian orientation, if the number of dummy edges oriented from V^+ to V^- is at most the half of the number of all dummy edges, to get M_2 we remove those edges that are oriented from V^- to V^+ ; otherwise we remove those edges that are oriented from V^+ to V^- . Note that M_2 has at most $\frac{n}{4} (= \frac{|V^+|}{2})$ dummy edges. In other words, the size of the matching formed by the non-dummy edges in M_2 is at least $|V^+| - \frac{|V^+|}{2} (= \frac{|V^+|}{2})$. We again construct a 2^t -regular bipartite graph \hat{G} by adding $2^t - \Delta$ copies of M_2 to G , and apply Gabow's algorithm to it. Let M_3 be the obtained matching in \hat{G} . Since \hat{G} contains at most $\frac{n}{4}(2^t - \Delta) < \frac{|\hat{E}|}{4}$ dummy edges, M_3 has at most $\frac{|V^+|}{4}$ dummy edges (i.e., the size of the matching formed by non-dummy edges in M_3 is at least $|V^+| - \frac{|V^+|}{4} (= \frac{3|V^+|}{4})$). By repeating this procedure at most $\lceil \log |V^+| \rceil$ times, we finally obtain a perfect matching of G .

Formally, the algorithm described above can be given as follows.

Algorithm ADD-SPLIT

Input: A Δ -regular bipartite graph $G = (V, E)$ such that $2^{t-1} < \Delta \leq 2^t$ for some positive integer t .

Output: A perfect matching M in G .

Step 1: Compute a perfect matching M of $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ and put $k := 1$.

Step 2: Construct a 2^t -regular bipartite graph $\hat{G} = (V, \hat{E})$ by adding $2^t - \Delta$ copies of M to G .

Step 3: While \hat{G} is not 1-regular do

(3-I) Find an Eulerian orientation of \hat{G} .

(3-II) If the number of dummy edges oriented from V^+ to V^- is at most the half of the number of all dummy edges in \hat{G} , then remove those edges that are oriented from V^- to V^+ ; otherwise remove those edges that are oriented from V^+ to V^- . Denote the resultant graph by $\hat{G} = (V, \hat{E})$ again.

Step 4: Put $M := \hat{E}$ and $k := k + 1$. If $k \leq \lceil \log |V^+| \rceil$, then go to Step 2. Otherwise return M and halt. \square

Note that when M in Step 4 contains no dummy edge before we get $k > \lceil \log |V^+| \rceil$, we can output M and halt.

Theorem 2.1: *Algorithm ADD-SPLIT correctly computes a perfect matching of G in $O(m \log n)$ time.*

Proof. Since the above argument shows the correctness of the algorithm, we consider its time complexity. It is clear that Steps 1, 2 and 4 require $O(m)$ time. From the result in [5], Step 3 can be done in $O(m)$ time. Since the number of iterations between Step 2 and Step 4 is $\lceil \log n \rceil$, the algorithm requires $O(m \log n)$ time in total. \square

In concluding this section, we remark that the time complexity can be improved to $O(m \log_{\Delta} n)$ by effectively using the information on \hat{G} obtained in the previous iteration between Step 2 and Step 4.

3. An $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ algorithm

An edge-sparsification technique for regular bipartite graphs was proposed by Cole and Hopcroft [2] and has been used to obtain faster algorithms for computing a perfect matching in a regular bipartite graph [1, 2, 3, 7]. We also employ this technique to devise a faster version of our algorithm.

Given a Δ -regular bipartite graph $G = (V, E)$ with $2^{t-1} < \Delta \leq 2^t$ for some positive integer t , the *edge-sparsification* produces a subgraph $G^* = (V, E^*)$ of G having an edge capacity function $c : E^* \rightarrow \{1, 2, 2^2, \dots, 2^t\}$ such that

(i) for each $v \in V$, the sum of the edge capacities $c(e)$ for all edges e incident to v is equal to Δ , i.e.,

$$\sum \{ c(e) \mid e \in E^* \text{ is incident to } v \} = \Delta,$$

(ii) for each $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, t\}$, the set of all edges e with $c(e) = 2^\ell$ forms a forest (i.e., it contains no cycle).

Cole and Hopcroft [2] showed that the edge-sparsification can be done in linear time without using any sophisticated data structure. We identify an edge e having capacity $c(e)$ with parallel edges formed by $c(e)$ copies of e . It follows from (i) that G^* can be regarded as a Δ -regular graph, and hence it always contains a perfect matching which can also be regarded as a perfect matching in the original graph G . By (ii), G^* has at most $(n-1)\lceil \log \Delta \rceil$ edges.

Intuitively speaking, we apply Algorithm ADD-SPLIT to graph G^* instead of G . Since G^* has at most $(n-1)\lceil \log \Delta \rceil$ edges, the algorithm requires $O(m + (n-1)\log \Delta \times \log n) = O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ time, where the time required for the edge-sparsification is $O(m)$.

Let us assume that $2^{t-1} < \Delta < 2^t$ for some positive integer t , since a perfect matching of a 2^t -regular bipartite graph can be obtained in linear time [5]. We need some notations to describe the details of our faster algorithm. Apply the edge-sparsification procedure to a graph formed by $(2^t - \Delta) (\leq 2^{t-1})$ copies of a perfect matching M in $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$. Denote by M^* the resultant graph with edge capacities. Let $\hat{G} = (V, \hat{E})$ be the graph obtained by adding M^* to G^* , and let \hat{E}_ℓ ($\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$) be the set of all edges e in \hat{E} with $c(e) = 2^\ell$. Note that \hat{G} with edge capacities can be regarded as a 2^t -regular graph. A 2^{t-1} -regular subgraph $H = (V, F)$ of \hat{G} can be computed as follows.

- (1) Compute an Eulerian orientation of \hat{E}_0 and choose those edges that are oriented from V^+ to V^- (or V^- to V^+). Denote by R_1 the set of such edges.
- (2) For each $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, t-2\}$ define

$$F_\ell = \begin{cases} R_1 \cup \hat{E}_1 & \text{if } \ell = 0 \\ \hat{E}_{\ell+1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

and put $F = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{t-2} F_\ell$.

Note that each edge $e \in F_\ell$ ($\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t-2$) has the capacity $c(e) = 2^\ell$. Therefore, Step 3 in Algorithm ADD-SPLIT can be performed as follows. Let $R_0 = \emptyset$ and for each $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ let R_ℓ be the edge set obtained from an Eulerian orientation of $\hat{E}_{\ell-1} \cup R_{\ell-1}$. Then we get a perfect matching of \hat{G} from an Eulerian orientation of $\hat{E}_{t-1} \cup R_{t-1}$.

Now, we have the following algorithm.

Algorithm BITWISE-ADD-SPLIT

Input: A Δ -regular bipartite graph $G = (V, E)$ such that $2^{t-1} < \Delta \leq 2^t$ for some positive integer t .

Output: A perfect matching M in G .

Step 0: If $\Delta = 2^t$, then compute a perfect matching M of G by applying Gabow's algorithm to G , and halt.

Step 1: Apply the edge-sparsification procedure to G . Denote by G^* the resultant graph with edge capacities. Let M be a perfect matching of $K_{\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}}$ and put $k := 1$.

Step 2: Let M^* be the graph, with edge capacities, obtained from $2^t - \Delta$ copies of M by the edge-sparsification procedure and construct a 2^t -regular bipartite graph $\hat{G} = (V, \hat{E})$ by adding M^* to G^* .

Step 3: Put $R_0 := \emptyset$. For $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$ do

(3-I) Find an Eulerian orientation of $\hat{E}_\ell \cup R_\ell$.

(3-II) If the number of dummy edges oriented from V^+ to V^- is at most the half of the number of all dummy edges, then remove those edges that are oriented from V^- to V^+ ; otherwise remove those edges that are oriented from V^+ to V^- . Denote the resultant edge set by $R_{\ell+1}$.

Step 4: Put $M := R_t$ and $k := k + 1$. If $k \leq \lceil \log |V^+| \rceil$, then go to Step 2. Otherwise return M and halt. \square

Similarly as in algorithm ADD-SPLIT, if M in Step 4 contains no dummy edge before we get $k > \lceil \log |V^+| \rceil$, we can output M and halt. Note further that in Step 2, the edge-sparsification for $2^t - \Delta$ copies of M can be done in $O(n \log \Delta)$ time by considering the binary representation of $2^t - \Delta$.

Let us examine the properties of $\hat{E}_\ell \cup R_\ell$ ($\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$) in Step 3 of the algorithm.

Lemma 3.1: Define $H_\ell = (V, \hat{E}_\ell \cup R_\ell)$ for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$. Then the following two statements hold for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$:

(i) The degree of each vertex in H_ℓ is even.

(ii) H_ℓ has at most $3n$ edges.

Proof. Since \hat{G} is 2^t -regular, the first statement holds. The second one is shown by induction on ℓ , since \hat{E}_ℓ and R_ℓ have at most $(n - 1) + n/2 \leq 3n/2$ and $3n/2$ edges, respectively. \square

Theorem 3.2: Algorithm BITWISE-ADD-SPLIT finds a perfect matching of a Δ -regular bipartite graph G in $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ time.

Proof. Since the discussion in Sections 2 and 3 shows the correctness of the algorithm, we only consider its time complexity. Steps 0 and 1 can be done in $O(m)$ time [5, 2], and Step 2 requires $O(n \log \Delta)$ time, since $|E^*|, |M^*| \leq (n - 1) \log \Delta$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that Step 3 requires $O(n \log \Delta)$ time. Moreover, Step 4 requires $O(n)$ time. Since the number of iterations between Step 2 and Step 4 is $\lceil \log n \rceil$, the algorithm requires $O(m + n \log n \log \Delta)$ time in total. \square

By combining it with the result by Kapoor and Rizzi [6], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3: *A minimum edge-coloring of a Δ -bipartite graph can be found in $O((m + n \log n) \log \Delta)$ time.* \square

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

References

- [1] R. Cole. *Two Problems in Graph Theory*. Ph.D. thesis. Cornell University, August 1982.
- [2] R. Cole and J. Hopcroft. On edge coloring bipartite graphs. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 11: 540–546, 1982.
- [3] R. Cole, K. Ost, and S. Schirra. Edge-coloring bipartite multigraphs in $O(E \log D)$ time. *Combinatorica*, 21: 5–12, 2001.
- [4] J. Csima and L. Lovász. A matching algorithm for regular bipartite graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 35: 197–203, 1992.
- [5] H. N. Gabow. Using Euler partitions to edge color bipartite multigraphs. *International Journal of Computer and Information Sciences*, 5(4):345–355, 1976.
- [6] A. Kapoor and R. Rizzi. Edge-coloring bipartite graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 34: 390–396, 2000.
- [7] R. Rizzi. Finding 1-factors in bipartite regular graphs, and edge-coloring bipartite graphs. Preprint, October 1999.

- [8] A. Schrijver. Bipartite edge coloring in $O(\Delta m)$ time. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 28: 841–846, 1998.