

## BCK-論理式の証明の個数\*

古森 雄一, 広川 佐千男

静岡 大学, 九州 大学

### Abstract

BCK-論理式とは次の公理  $B = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) - c \rightarrow b$ ,  $C = (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$ ,  $K = a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a$  から代入と modus ponens を用いて導かれる論理式のことである. BCK-論理式の中で, 自明でない代入では他の BCK-論理式から導けないものを最小論理式という.  $\alpha$  が最小論理式でかつ,  $\beta$  が  $\alpha$  から代入で得られるとき  $\alpha$  は  $\beta$  の最小論理式という. 本稿では, 与えられた BCK-論理式  $\alpha$  に対し, その正規形証明図の個数は  $\alpha$  の最小論理式の個数と一致することを示す. 系として BCK-論理式  $\alpha$  に対し, 正規形の証明図が唯一となる必要十分条件は  $\alpha$  の最小論理式が唯一であることを示す.

### Number of proofs for BCK-formulas

Yuichi Komori, Sachio Hirokawa

Shizuoka University, Kyushu University

Shizuoka 422 JAPAN, Fukuoka 810 JAPAN

### Abstract

A formula is a BCK-formula iff it is derivable from axioms  $B = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) - c \rightarrow b$ ,  $C = (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$  and  $K = a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a$  by substitution and modus ponens. A formula is BCK-minimal iff it is BCK-provable and it is not a non-trivial substitution instance of any BCK-formula. A BCK-minimal formula  $\alpha$  is a minimal formula of  $\beta$  iff  $\beta$  is a substitution instance of  $\alpha$ . It is shown that given a BCK-formula  $\alpha$ , the number of normal proof figures for  $\alpha$  is identical to the number of minimal formulas of  $\alpha$ . As a corollary, it is shown that a BCK-formula  $\alpha$  has the unique normal form proof iff  $\alpha$  has the unique minimal form.

---

\*This work was partially supported by a Grand-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists No.02740115 of the Ministry of Education.

BCK-formulas are the formulas which are derivable from axioms  $B = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow c \rightarrow b$ ,  $C = (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$  and  $K = a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a$  by substitution and modus ponens. They are identical to the set of provable formulas in the natural deduction system with the following two inference rules.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [\gamma] \\ \vdots \\ \delta \end{array}}{\gamma \rightarrow \delta} (\rightarrow I) \quad \frac{\gamma \rightarrow \delta \quad \gamma}{\delta} (\rightarrow E)$$

Here  $\gamma$  occurs at most once in  $(\rightarrow I)$ . By formulae-as-types correspondence [7], the set is identical to the type-schemes of closed BCK- $\lambda$ -terms. (See [3].) A BCK- $\lambda$ -term is a  $\lambda$ -term in which no variable occurs twice. Basic notions are referred to [2] concerning to type assignment system.

By coherence theorem in cartesian closed categories [1, 9], balanced formulas has unique proofs. It was shown in [5] that the proofs for balanced formulas are BCK-proofs. Relevantly balanced formulas were defined in [6], and it was proved that such formulas have unique normal form proofs. Balanced formulas and one-two-formulas are included in the set of relevantly balanced formulas. In this note, we show a necessary and sufficient condition for a BCK-formula to have the unique normal form proof.

The notion of BCK-minimality was introduced by Komori [8]. A formula is *BCK-minimal* iff it is a BCK-formula and it is not a non-trivial substitution instance of other BCK-formula. A BCK-formula  $\alpha^*$  is a *minimal formula of  $\alpha$*  iff  $\alpha^*$  is BCK-minimal and  $\alpha$  is a substitution instance of  $\alpha^*$ . A principal type-scheme of a  $\lambda$ -term is a most general type-scheme of the term with respect to substitution. It is clear that a BCK-minimal formula is a principal type-scheme of a closed BCK- $\lambda$ -term.

**Lemma 1** ([4]) *If two closed BCK- $\lambda$ -terms have the same principal type, then they are identical. ■*

**Lemma 2** ([5]) *A BCK-formula is BCK-minimal iff it is a principal type-scheme of a closed BCK- $\lambda$ -term in  $\eta$ -normal form. ■*

**Theorem 1** *Given a BCK-formula  $\alpha$ , the number of closed BCK- $\lambda$ -terms in  $\beta$ - $\eta$ -normal form which is stratifiable to  $\alpha$  is identical to the number of minimal formulas of  $\alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  be a BCK-formula. Note that every BCK- $\lambda$ -terms have types. (See Hindley [3].) So  $\alpha$  is a principal type-scheme of some closed

BCK- $\lambda$ -term  $M$  in  $\beta$ - $\eta$ -normal form. By principal type-scheme theorem (Theorem 15.26 of [2]),  $M$  has a principal type-scheme  $\alpha^*$ . By Lemma 2,  $\alpha^*$  is minimal formula of  $\alpha$ . This defines a function from the set of closed BCK- $\lambda$ -terms in  $\beta$ - $\eta$ -normal form which is stratifiable to  $\alpha$  to the set of minimal formulas of  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 2, this function is surjective. By Lemma 1, this function is injective. Thus the theorem holds. ■

**Corollary 1** *A BCK-formula has the unique proof in  $\beta$ - $\eta$ -normal form iff it has the unique minimal formula.* ■

#### 参 考 文 献

- [1] Babaev, A.A., Solov'ev, S.V., A coherence theorem for canonical morphism in cartesian closed categories, *Zapiski nauchnykh Seminarov Leningradskogo Otdeleniya matematicheskogo Instituta im. V.A. Steklova An SSSR* **88** (1979) 3-29.
- [2] Hindley, J.R. Seldin, J.P., Introduction to Combinators and Lambda-Calculus, (Cambridge University Press, London, 1986)
- [3] Hindley, J.R., BCK-combinators and linear lambda-terms have types, *Theoret. Comp. Sci.* **64**(1989) 97-105.
- [4] Hirokawa, S., Principal types of BCK-lambda terms, submitted
- [5] Hirokawa, S., Linear lambda-terms and coherence theorem, submitted
- [6] Hirokawa, S., BCK-formulas having unique normal form proofs, Manuscript 1990.
- [7] Howard, W.A., The formulae-as-types notion of construction, in: Hindley and Seldin (eds.), *To H.B. Curry, Essays on Combinatory Logic, Lambda Calculus and Formalism* (Academic Press 1980) 479-490.
- [8] Komori, Y., BCK algebras and lambda calculus, in: Proc. 10th Symp. on Semigroups, Sakado 1986, (Josai University, Sakado 1987) 5-11.
- [9] Mints, G.E., A simple proof of the coherence theorem for cartesian closed categories, Manuscript 1982.