

Balanced bowtie decomposition algorithm of complete tripartite multi-graphs

Kazuhiko Ushio Hideaki Fujimoto

Department of Industrial Engineering Department of Electronic Engineering

Faculty of Science and Technology

Kinki University

ushio@is.kindai.ac.jp fujimoto@ele.kindai.ac.jp

1. Introduction

Let K_{n_1, n_2, n_3} denote the complete tripartite graph with partite sets V_1, V_2, V_3 of n_1, n_2, n_3 vertices each. The complete tripartite multi-graph $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ is the complete tripartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, n_3} in which every edge is taken λ times. The bowtie (or the 2-windmill) is a graph of 2 edge-disjoint triangles with a common vertex and the common vertex is called the center of the bowtie. When $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ is decomposed into edge-disjoint sum of bowties, it is called that $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ has a bowtie decomposition. Moreover, when every vertex of $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ appears in the same number of bowties, it is called that $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition and this number is called the replication number.

2. Balanced bowtie decomposition of $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$

Notation. We denote a bowtie passing through $v_1-v_2-v_3-v_1-v_4-v_5-v_1$ by $\{(v_1, v_2, v_3), (v_1, v_4, v_5)\}$.

Lemma 1. If $\lambda K_{n, n, n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition, then $s\lambda K_{n, n, n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition.

Lemma 2. If $\lambda K_{n, n, n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition, then $\lambda K_{sn, sn, sn}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition.

Theorem 1. $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition if and only if $\lambda n_1 = \lambda n_2 = \lambda n_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, $n_1 \geq 2$.

Proof. (Necessity) Suppose that $\lambda K_{n_1, n_2, n_3}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition. Let b be the number of bowties and r be the replication number. Then $b = \lambda(n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3)/6$ and $r = 5\lambda(n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3)/6(n_1 + n_2 + n_3)$. Among r bowties having vertex v in V_i , let r_{ij} be the number of bowties in which the centers are in V_j . Then $r_{11} + r_{12} + r_{13} = r_{21} + r_{22} + r_{23} = r_{31} + r_{32} + r_{33} = r$. Counting the number of vertices adjacent to vertex v in V_1 , $2r_{11} + r_{12} + r_{13} = \lambda n_2$ and $2r_{11} + r_{12} + r_{13} = \lambda n_3$. Counting the number of vertices adjacent to vertex v in V_2 , $r_{21} + 2r_{22} + r_{23} = \lambda n_1$ and $r_{21} + 2r_{22} + r_{23} = \lambda n_3$. Counting the number of vertices adjacent to vertex v in V_3 , $r_{31} + r_{32} + 2r_{33} = \lambda n_1$ and $r_{31} + r_{32} + 2r_{33} = \lambda n_2$. Therefore, $n_1 = n_2 = n_3$.

Put $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n$. Then $b = \lambda n^2/2$, $r = 5\lambda n/6$, $r_{11} = r_{22} = r_{33} = \lambda n/6$ and $r_{12} + r_{13} = r_{21} + r_{23} = r_{31} + r_{32} = 2\lambda n/3$. Thus $\lambda n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Since a bowtie is a subgraph of $\lambda K_{n, n, n}$, $n \geq 2$.

(Sufficiency) Case 1. $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Put $n = 6s$. When $s = 1$, let $V_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$, $V_2 = \{7, 8, \dots, 12\}$, $V_3 = \{13, 14, \dots, 18\}$.

Construct a balanced bowtie decomposition of $K_{6, 6, 6}$:

$$B_1 = \{(1, 7, 13), (1, 8, 14)\}$$

$$B_2 = \{(2, 7, 14), (2, 8, 13)\}$$

$$B_3 = \{(3, 9, 15), (3, 10, 16)\}$$

$$B_4 = \{(4, 9, 16), (4, 10, 15)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_5 &= \{(5, 11, 17), (5, 12, 18)\} \\
B_6 &= \{(6, 11, 18), (6, 12, 17)\} \\
B_7 &= \{(7, 15, 5), (7, 16, 6)\} \\
B_8 &= \{(8, 15, 6), (8, 16, 5)\} \\
B_9 &= \{(9, 17, 1), (9, 18, 2)\} \\
B_{10} &= \{(10, 17, 2), (10, 18, 1)\} \\
B_{11} &= \{(11, 13, 3), (11, 14, 4)\} \\
B_{12} &= \{(12, 13, 4), (12, 14, 3)\} \\
B_{13} &= \{(13, 5, 9), (13, 6, 10)\} \\
B_{14} &= \{(14, 5, 10), (14, 6, 9)\} \\
B_{15} &= \{(15, 1, 11), (15, 2, 12)\} \\
B_{16} &= \{(16, 1, 12), (16, 2, 11)\} \\
B_{17} &= \{(17, 3, 7), (17, 4, 8)\} \\
B_{18} &= \{(18, 3, 8), (18, 4, 7)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda K_{n,n,n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition.

Case 2. $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Put $n = 3s$. When $s = 1$, let $V_1 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $V_2 = \{4, 5, 6\}$, $V_3 = \{7, 8, 9\}$.

Construct a balanced bowtie decomposition of $2K_{3,3,3}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \{(1, 4, 7), (1, 5, 8)\} \\
B_2 &= \{(2, 5, 8), (2, 6, 9)\} \\
B_3 &= \{(3, 6, 9), (3, 4, 7)\} \\
B_4 &= \{(4, 8, 3), (4, 9, 1)\} \\
B_5 &= \{(5, 9, 1), (5, 7, 2)\} \\
B_6 &= \{(6, 7, 2), (6, 8, 3)\} \\
B_7 &= \{(7, 3, 5), (7, 1, 6)\} \\
B_8 &= \{(8, 1, 6), (8, 2, 4)\} \\
B_9 &= \{(9, 2, 4), (9, 3, 5)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda K_{n,n,n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition.

Case 3. $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Put $n = 2s$. When $s = 1$, let $V_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $V_2 = \{3, 4\}$, $V_3 = \{5, 6\}$.

Construct a balanced bowtie decomposition of $3K_{2,2,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \{(1, 3, 5), (1, 4, 6)\} \\
B_2 &= \{(2, 3, 6), (2, 4, 5)\} \\
B_3 &= \{(3, 5, 1), (3, 6, 2)\} \\
B_4 &= \{(4, 5, 2), (4, 6, 1)\} \\
B_5 &= \{(5, 1, 3), (5, 2, 4)\} \\
B_6 &= \{(6, 1, 4), (6, 2, 3)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda K_{n,n,n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition.

Case 4. $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Let $V_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $V_2 = \{1', 2', \dots, n'\}$, $V_3 = \{1'', 2'', \dots, n''\}$.

Construct a balanced bowtie decomposition of $6K_{n,n,n}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{ij}^{(1)} &= \{(i, j', (i + j - 1)''), (i, (j + 1)', (i + j)'')\} \\
B_{ij}^{(2)} &= \{(i', j'', i + j - 1), (i', (j + 1)'', i + j)\} \\
B_{ij}^{(3)} &= \{(i'', j, (i + j - 1)'), (i'', j + 1, (i + j)')\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda K_{n,n,n}$ has a balanced bowtie decomposition.

References

- [1] P. Horák and A. Rosa, Decomposing Steiner triple systems into small configurations, *Ars Combinatoria* 26 (1988), pp. 91–105.
- [2] W. D. Wallis, *Combinatorial Designs*. Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel (1988).